PROPAGATION OF REGULARITY IN L^p -SPACES FOR KOLMOGOROV TYPE HYPOELLIPTIC OPERATORS

Zhen-Qing Chen and Xicheng Zhang

ABSTRACT. Consider the following Kolmogorov type hypoelliptic operator

$$\mathcal{L}:=\textstyle\sum_{j=2}^n x_j\cdot\nabla_{x_{j-1}}+\Delta_{x_n},$$

where $n \ge 2$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n = \mathbb{R}^{nd}$. Let $\{\mathcal{T}_t; t \ge 0\}$ be the semi-group associated with \mathscr{L} . For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, we show that there is a constant C = C(p, n, d) > 0 such that for any $f(t, x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$,

$$\left\|\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}\int_0^\infty \mathcal{T}_t f(t+s,x)\mathrm{d}t\right\|_p \leqslant C\|f\|_p, \ j=1,\cdots,n,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the usual L^p -norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd}; \mathrm{d}s \times \mathrm{d}x)$. To show this type of estimates, we first study the propagation of regularity in L^2 -space from variable x_n to x_1 for the solution of the transport equation $\partial_t u + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} u = f$.

1. Introduction

Let $n \ge 2$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper we consider the following Kolmogorov type hypoelliptic operator:

$$\mathcal{L}_t := \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_t^{ij} \partial_{x_{ni}} \partial_{x_{nj}} + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ with $x_j = (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jd}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\nabla_{x_j} = (\partial_{x_{j1}}, \dots, \partial_{x_{jd}})$, and $a_t = (a_t^{ij}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{M}^d_{sym}$ is a measurable map. Here \mathbb{M}^d_{sym} stands for the set of all symmetric $d \times d$ -matrices. Suppose that

$$\kappa^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} \leqslant a_t \leqslant \kappa \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} \tag{1.2}$$

for some $\kappa \ge 1$. Let $\nabla := (\nabla_{x_1}, \dots, \nabla_{x_n}), \nabla^2_{x_n} := (\partial_{x_{ni}} \partial_{x_{nj}})_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ and

$$A = A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & 0_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} \\ 0_{d \times d} & \cdots & \cdots & 0_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{nd} \times nd}$$

$$(1.3)$$

We can rewrite \mathcal{L}_t as the following compact form:

$$\mathscr{L}_t = \operatorname{tr}(a_t \cdot \nabla^2_{x_n}) + Ax \cdot \nabla,$$

where "tr" denotes the trace of matrix.

Consider the following linear stochastic differential equations (SDEs):

$$dX_{s,t} = AX_{s,t}dt + \sigma_t^a dW_t, X_{s,s} = x, t > s, \tag{1.4}$$

where $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a standard *nd*-dimensional Brownian motion and

$$\sigma_t^a := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)d \times (n-1)d}, & 0_{(n-1)d \times d} \\ 0_{d \times (n-1)d}, & (\sqrt{2a_t})_{d \times d} \end{pmatrix}_{nd \times nd}.$$
 (1.5)

It is easy to see that the solution $X_{s,t}$ is explicitly given by

$$X_{s,t} := X_{s,t}(x) := e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-r)A}\sigma_{r}^{a}dW_{r},$$

where e^{tA} is the exponential matrix with the expression

$$\mathbf{e}^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & t \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & \frac{t^2 \mathbb{I}_{d \times d}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{t^{n-1} \mathbb{I}_{d \times d}}{(n-1)!} \\ \mathbf{0}_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & t \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & \cdots & \frac{t^{n-2} \mathbb{I}_{d \times d}}{(n-2)!} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} & t \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} \\ \mathbf{0}_{d \times d} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{d \times d} & \mathbb{I}_{d \times d} \end{pmatrix}_{nd \times nd}$$

$$(1.6)$$

Notice that if $a_t = a$ does not depend on t (i.e., time homogeneous), then

$$X_{s,t}(x) \stackrel{(d)}{=} Z_{t-s}(x)$$
 with $Z_t(x) := e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{rA}\sigma^a dW_r$.

In this case, $Z_t(x)$ is an (nd)-dimensional Gaussian random variable with density

$$p_t(x,y) = \frac{e^{-(\Theta_{t^{-1/2}}(y - e^{tA}x))^* \Sigma^{-1} \Theta_{t^{-1/2}}(y - e^{tA}x)}}{((2\pi)^{nd} t^{n^2d} \det(\Sigma))^{1/2}},$$

where $\Theta_r:\mathbb{R}^{nd} \to \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ is the dilation operator defined by

$$\Theta_r(x) = (r^{2n-1}x_1, r^{2n-3}x_2, \cdots, rx_n),$$
 (1.7)

and $\Sigma := \int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{rA} \sigma^a (\sigma^a)^* \mathrm{e}^{rA^*} \mathrm{d}r$ is the covariance matrix (see [7] or (2.2) below). For $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$, define

$$\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(X_{s,t}(x)). \tag{1.8}$$

It is well-known that \mathcal{L}_t is the infinitesimal generator of $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}$, i.e.,

$$\partial_s \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f + \mathcal{L}_s \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

The goal of this paper is to show the following L^p -maximal regularity estimate.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $j = 1, \cdots, n$. Under (1.2), there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ and $\lambda \geqslant 0$,

$$\left\| \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s} f(t+s,x) dt \right\|_p \leqslant C \|f\|_p, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} := -(-\Delta_{x_j})^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}$ is the fractional Laplacian acting on the variable x_j .

One of the motivation of studying the estimate (1.10) comes from the study of the following n + 1-order stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = b_t(X_t, X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(n)})dt + \sigma_t(X_t, X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(n)})dW_t,$$
(1.11)

where $X_t^{(n)}$ denotes the *n*-order derivative of X_t with respect to the time variable and $b: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)d} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)d} \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ are two measurable functions. Notice that if we let

$$\mathbf{X}_{t} := (X_{t}, X_{t}^{(1)}, \cdots, X_{t}^{(n)})$$

then \mathbf{X}_t solves the following one order stochastic differential equation

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = (X_t^{(1)}, \cdots, X_t^{(n)}, b_t(\mathbf{X}_t))dt + (0, \cdots, 0, \sigma_t(\mathbf{X}_t)dW_t), \quad \mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_i)_{i=0,\dots,n} = ((x_{ij})_{j=1,\dots,d})_{i=0,\dots,n}$. In particular, the infinitesimal generator of Markov process $\mathbf{X}_t(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d (\sigma_t^{ik} \sigma_t^{jk})(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{x_{ni}} \partial_{x_{nj}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_j \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{j-1}} f(\mathbf{x}) + b_t(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_n} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

which takes the same form as (1.1). Thus, the estimate (1.10) could be used to study the well-posedness of SDE (1.11) with rough coefficients b and σ . Indeed, when n=1 and σ is bounded and uniformly nondegenerate, the second named author [10] studied the strong well-posedness of SDE (1.11) with $(\mathbb{I} - \Delta_{\mathbf{x_1}})^{1/3}b$, $\nabla \sigma \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some p > 4d + 2. See also [5] for similar results when $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$.

Let us now recall some related results in literature about the estimate (1.10). In [3], the authors adopted Coifman-Weiss' theorem to show the estimate (1.10) for j = n. When n = 2, in [4], we used Fefferman-Stein's theorem to show the estimate (1.10) for j = 1, 2 even for nonlocal operators. It should be noticed that the methods used in [3] and [4] are quite different. In [3], the key point is to show some weak 1-1 type estimate. While in [4], the main point is to show that the operator in (1.10) is bounded from L^{∞} to some BMO spaces. In particular, to show the propagation of the regularity from the nondegenerate component to the degenerate component, in [4], we have used Bouchet's result [2]. More precisely, Bouchet studied the following transport equation:

$$\partial_t u + x_2 \cdot \nabla_{x_1} u = f$$

and showed that for any $\alpha \ge 0$,

$$\|\Delta_{x_1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_2 \leqslant C\|\Delta_{x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_2^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\|f\|_2^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}},$$

where $C = C(\alpha, d) > 0$. A simplified proof of this type estimate was provided in [1]. Thus, the first goal of this paper is to extend the above estimate to the following more general transport equation:

$$\partial_t u + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} u = f.$$

That is, we want to show that for any $j=1,\cdots,n-1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, there is a constant $C=C(\alpha,d,j,n)>0$ such that

$$\|\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_2 \leqslant C\|\Delta_{x_{j+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_2^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\|f\|_2^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}.$$

It seems that this type estimate is not trivial compared with [1], see Section 3.

Although the above result is proven for Laplacian operator, we can extend it to more general nonlocal operator as in [4] without any difficulty. Indeed, let us consider the following nonlocal operator:

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\sigma}^{\nu}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f(x+\sigma y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)]\nu(\mathrm{d}y),$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}^d$ is a $d \times d$ matrix and ν is a symmetric Lévy measure. Let $n \ge 2$ and

$$\mathscr{L}_t f(x) := \widetilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\sigma_t, x_n}^{\nu_t} f(x) + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} f(x),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\sigma_t,x_n}^{v_t}$ means that the operator acts on the variable x_n . Suppose that

$$\|\sigma\|_{\infty} + \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty} < \infty$$

and for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$,

$$v_1^{(\alpha)} \leq v_s \leq v_2^{(\alpha)},$$

where $v_1^{(\alpha)}$ and $v_2^{(\alpha)}$ are two symmetric and nondegenerate α -stable Lévy measures (see [4]). Under the above assumptions, as in [4], we can show that for any $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\left\| \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha(n-j))}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}^{\nu,\sigma} f(t+s,x) dt \right\|_p \leqslant C \|f\|_p, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{\nu,\sigma}$ is defined as in (1.8) by using the non-stationary Lévy process L_t with Lévy measure ν_t to replace the Brownian motion. We note that at the almost same time, Huang, Menozzi and Priola [6] have obtained (1.12) for time-independent σ and ν by using Coifman-Weiss' theorem. As mentioned above, our proof is based on Fefferman-Stein's theorem.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prepare some estimates about the distribution density of $X_{s,t}(x)$, and give the necessary Fefferman-Stein's theorem. In Section 3, we show the propagation of the regularity for transport equation. In Section 4, we prove our main result.

Throughout this paper, we use the following convention: The letters C and c with or without subscripts will denote a positive constant, whose value may change in different places. Moreover, we use $A \leq B$ to denote $A \leq CB$ for some constant C > 0.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Estimate of density of $X_{s,t}(x)$. In this subsection we show some necessary estimate about the density of $X_{s,t}(x)$.

Lemma 2.1. Under (1.2), $X_{s,t}(0)$ admits a smooth density $p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y)$ so that for each $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, there are constants C, c > 0 only depending on n, β, d and κ such that for all s < t and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$,

$$|\nabla_{y_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \nabla_{y_n}^{\beta_n} p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y)| \le C(t-s)^{-(n^2d + \sum_{i=1}^n (2(n-i)+1)\beta_i)/2} e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}y}|^2},$$
(2.1)

where Θ_r is the dilation operator defined by (1.7).

Proof. Since $(cW_{\cdot/c^2}) \stackrel{(d)}{=} W$ for $c \neq 0$, by the change of variables, we have

$$X_{s,t}(0) = \int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-r)A} \sigma_{r}^{a} dW_{r} \stackrel{(d)}{=} (t-s)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{(t-s)(1-r)A} \sigma_{s+(t-s)r}^{a} dW_{r}.$$

Hence, by definitions (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7),

$$\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}X_{s,t}(0) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 e^{(1-r)A} \sigma^a_{s+(t-s)r} dW_r \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 e^{rA} \tilde{\sigma}^a_r dW_r =: Z,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_r^a := \sigma_{s+(t-s)(1-r)}^a$. Since *Z* is a *nd*-dimensional Gaussian random variable with mean value zero and covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \int_0^1 e^{rA} \tilde{\sigma}_r^a (\tilde{\sigma}_r^a)^* e^{rA^*} dr,$$

we have

$$p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y) = \frac{e^{-(\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}y)^* \Sigma^{-1}(\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}y)}}{((2\pi)^{nd}(t-s)^{n^2d} \det(\Sigma))^{1/2}}.$$
(2.2)

On the other hand, by (1.5), (1.6) and (1.3), we have for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$,

$$y^* \Sigma y = \int_0^1 |y^* e^{rA} \tilde{\sigma}_r^a|^2 dr \ge 2\kappa^{-1} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} y_j \right|^2 dr$$
$$\ge 2\kappa^{-1} |y|^2 \inf_{|\omega|=1} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \omega_j \right|^2 dr.$$

Since the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{nd} is compact, and for each $\mathbb{R}^{nd} \ni \omega \neq 0$,

$$\delta(\omega) := \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \omega_j \right|^2 \mathrm{d}r > 0,$$

we obtain that for some $c_0 = c_0(n, \kappa, d) > 0$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$,

$$y^* \Sigma y \ge 2\kappa^{-1} |y|^2 \inf_{|\omega|=1} \delta(\omega) \Rightarrow y^* \Sigma^{-1} y \ge c_0 |y|^2.$$
 (2.3)

The desired estimate now follows by the chain rule, (2.2) and (2.3).

For $\alpha \in (0,2]$, the fractional Laplacian $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ in \mathbb{R}^d is defined by Fourier's transformation as

$$\widehat{\Delta^{\alpha/2}f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of Schwartz rapidly decreasing functions. For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, up to a multiplying constant, an alternative definition of $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ is given by the following integral form (cf. [8]):

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta_z^{(2)} f(x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz,$$
(2.4)

where $\delta_z^{(2)} f(x) := f(x+z) + f(x-z) - 2f(x)$. Observe that for $\alpha \in (0,1)$,

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta_z^{(1)} f(x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz \text{ with } \delta_z^{(1)} f(x) := f(x+z) - f(x).$$
 (2.5)

Corollary 2.2. For any $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, there is a constant C > 0 such that for all $f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$ and s < t,

$$\|\Delta_{x_i}^{\alpha/2} \nabla_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \nabla_{x_n}^{\beta_n} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f\|_{\infty} \leq C|t-s|^{-(\sum_{i=1}^n (2(n-i)+1)\beta_i + (2(n-j)+1)\alpha)/2} \|f\|_{\infty}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|\nabla_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \nabla_{x_n}^{\beta_n} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} \Delta_{x_j}^{\alpha/2} f\|_{\infty} \leq C|t-s|^{-(\sum_{i=1}^n (2(n-i)+1)\beta_i + (2(n-j)+1)\alpha)/2} \|f\|_{\infty}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\Delta_{x_i}^{\alpha/2}$ means that the fractional Laplacian acts on the variable x_i .

Proof. Below we only show (2.6) and (2.7) for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Let $p_{s,t}(x, y)$ be the distribution density of $X_{s,t}(x) = e^{(t-s)A}x + X_{s,t}(0)$. We have

$$p_{s,t}(x,y) = p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y - e^{(t-s)A}x). (2.8)$$

For simplicity of notation, we write

$$h_{y}(x) := \nabla_{x_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{x_{n}}^{\beta_{n}} p_{s,t}(x,y), \ \gamma := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2(n-i)+1)\beta_{i}/2$$

and

$$\delta_{z_j}^{(2)} h_y(x) = h_y(x + \tilde{z}_j) + h_y(x - \tilde{z}_j) - 2h_y(x), \quad \tilde{z}_j = (0, \dots, z_j, \dots, 0).$$

By (2.1), (2.8) and the chain rule, we have

$$|\delta_{z_{j}}^{(2)}h_{y}(x)| \leq C(t-s)^{-n^{2}d/2-\gamma} \left(e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}(y-e^{(t-s)A}(x+\tilde{z}_{j}))|^{2}} + e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}(y-e^{(t-s)A}(x-\tilde{z}_{j}))|^{2}} + e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}(y-e^{(t-s)A}x)|^{2}} \right),$$

$$(2.9)$$

and also by the mean value formula,

$$|\delta_{z_i}^{(2)} h_y(x)| \le C(t-s)^{-n^2d/2 - \gamma - (2(n-j)+1)} e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}(y-e^{(t-s)A}\tilde{x})|^2} |z_j|^2$$
 (2.10)

for some $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ depending on z_j . By formula (2.4), we have

$$\Delta_{x_j}^{\alpha/2} h_y(x) = \left(\int_{|z_j| > (t-s)^{(2(n-j)+1)/2}} + \int_{|z_j| \le (t-s)^{(2(n-j)+1)/2}} \right) \frac{\delta_{z_j}^{(2)} h_y(x)}{|z_j|^{d+\alpha}} dz_j$$

=: $I_1(x, y) + I_2(x, y)$.

For $I_1(x, y)$, by (2.9) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |I_1(x,y)| \mathrm{d}y \lesssim (t-s)^{-\gamma} \int_{|z_j| > (t-s)^{(2(n-j)+1)/2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_j}{|z_j|^{d+\alpha}} \lesssim (t-s)^{-\gamma - (2(n-j)+1)\alpha/2},$$

where we have used that

$$(t-s)^{-n^2d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}}e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}(y)|^2}dy=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}}e^{-c|y|^2}dy.$$

For $I_2(x, y)$, by (2.10) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |I_2(x,y)| dy \lesssim (t-s)^{-\gamma - (2(n-j)+1)} \int_{|z_j| \leq (t-s)^{(2(n-j)+1)/2}} \frac{|z_j|^2}{|z_j|^{d+\alpha}} dz_j$$

$$\lesssim (t-s)^{-\gamma - (2(n-j)+1)\alpha/2}.$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain

$$\left|\Delta_{x_j}^{\alpha/2} \nabla_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \nabla_{x_n}^{\beta_n} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f(x)\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_{x_j}^{\alpha/2} h_y(x) f(y) dy\right| \leqslant C(t-s)^{-\gamma-(2(n-j)+1)\alpha/2} ||f||_{\infty}.$$

Thus we proved (2.6). Similarly, we can show (2.6).

2.2. **Fefferman-Stein's theorem.** First of all, we introduce a family of "balls" in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd} . For any r > 0 and point $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd}$, we define

$$Q_r(t_0, x_0) := \{ (t, x) : \ell(t - t_0, x - e^{(t - t_0)A} x_0) \le r \},$$

where

$$\ell(t,x) := \max\left(|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |x_1|^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}, |x_2|^{\frac{1}{2n-3}}, \cdots, |x_{n-1}|^{\frac{1}{3}}, |x_n|\right).$$

The set of all such balls is denoted by \mathbb{Q} . We have

- **Lemma 2.3.** (i) $\ell(r^2t, \Theta_r x) = r\ell(t, x)$ for any r > 0, where Θ_r is the dilation operator defined by (1.7).
- (ii) $|Q_r(t_0, x_0)| = \omega_d^n r^{n^2 d + 2}$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean volume and ω_d is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d .
- (iii) For all (t, x), (s, y), $(r, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd}$, we have

$$\ell(s - t, y - e^{(s - t)A}x) \le 3\ell(t - s, x - e^{(t - s)A}y) \le$$

$$\le 12(\ell(t - r, x - e^{(t - r)A}z) + \ell(r - s, z - e^{(r - s)A}y)).$$
(2.11)

(iv) Suppose that $Q_r(t_0, x_0) \cap Q_r(t_0', x_0') \neq \emptyset$, then

$$Q_r(t_0, x_0) \subset Q_{20 \cdot r}(t_0', x_0').$$
 (2.12)

(v) For (t, x), $(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd}$, define

$$\rho((t, x), (s, y)) := \ell(t - s, x - e^{(t - s)A}y) + \ell(s - t, y - e^{(s - t)A}x),$$

and for $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd}$ and r > 0,

$$\tilde{Q}_r(t_0, x_0) := \{(t, x) : \rho((t, x), (t_0, x_0)) \le r\}.$$

Then $Q_r(t_0, x_0) \subset \tilde{Q}_r(t_0, x_0) \subset Q_{4r}(t_0, x_0)$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are direct by definition.

(iii) We only prove the second inequality in (2.11). The first one is similar. Observing that for all (t, x), $(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+nd}$,

$$\ell(t+s, x+y) \le \ell(t, x) + \ell(s, y), \tag{2.13}$$

we have

$$\ell(t-s, x-e^{(t-s)A}y) \le \ell(t-r, x-e^{(t-r)A}z) + \ell(r-s, e^{(t-r)A}z-e^{(t-s)A}y).$$

For simplicity, we write

$$a := \ell(t - r, x - e^{(t-r)A}z), b := \ell(r - s, z - e^{(r-s)A}y).$$

By the definition of ℓ , we have

$$|t-r| \le a^2$$
, $|(z-e^{(r-s)A}y)_j| \le b^{1+2(n-j)}$, $j=1,\dots,n$.

Hence, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|(e^{(t-r)A}z - e^{(t-s)A}y)_{i}| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e^{(t-r)A})_{ij} (z - e^{(r-s)A}y)_{j} \right|$$

$$\stackrel{(1.6)}{\leq} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{|t-r|^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} b^{1+2(n-j)} \leq \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{a^{2(j-i)}}{(j-i)!} b^{1+2(n-j)}$$

$$\leq (a \vee b)^{1+2(n-i)} \sum_{j=i}^{n} \frac{1}{(j-i)!} \leq 3(a \vee b)^{1+2(n-i)},$$
(2.14)

and

$$\ell(t - s, x - e^{(t-s)A}y) \le a + 3(a \lor b) \le 4(a + b).$$

(iv) and (v) are easy consequences of (iii).

Now, for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$, we define the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function by

$$\mathcal{M}f(t,x) := \sup_{r>0} \int_{Q_r(t,x)} |f(t',x')| \mathrm{d}x' \mathrm{d}t',$$

and the sharp function by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\sharp} f(t,x) := \sup_{r>0} \int_{Q_r(t,x)} |f(t',x') - f_{Q_r(t,x)}| dx' dt',$$

where for a $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$f_{\mathcal{Q}} := \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(t', x') \mathrm{d}x' \mathrm{d}t' = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(t', x') \mathrm{d}x' \mathrm{d}t'.$$

One says that a function $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ if $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp} f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$. Clearly, $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ if and only if there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$, and for some $c_O \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{Q}|f(t',x')-c_{Q}|\mathrm{d}x'\mathrm{d}t'\leq C.$$

The following version of Fefferman-Stein's theorem can be proven as in [4, Theorem 2.12] by Lemma 2.3. We omit the details.

Theorem 2.4. For $q \in (1, \infty)$, let \mathscr{P} be a bounded linear operator from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ and also from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ to $BMO(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$. Then for any $p \in [q, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$,

$$\|\mathscr{P}f\|_p \leqslant C\|f\|_p,$$

where the constant C depends only on p, q and the norms of $\|\mathcal{P}\|_{L^q \to L^q}$ and $\|\mathcal{P}\|_{L^\infty \to BMO}$.

3. Propagation of regularity in L^2 -space for transport equations

Fix $n \ge 2$ and $\lambda \ge 0$. Let *A* be as in (1.3). In this section we consider the following linear transport equation in \mathbb{R}^{nd} :

$$\partial_s u + Ax \cdot \nabla u - \lambda u + f = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Taking Fourier's transformation in the spatial variable x, we obtain

$$\partial_s \hat{u} - A^* \xi \cdot \nabla \hat{u} - \lambda \hat{u} + \hat{f} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where A^* is the transpose of A, and ξ is the dual variable. Multiplying both sides by the complex conjugate of \hat{u} , we get

$$\partial_s |\hat{u}|^2 - A^* \xi \cdot \nabla |\hat{u}|^2 - \lambda |\hat{u}|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}, \bar{\hat{u}}) = 0.$$

Let $\phi(\xi)$ be a smooth function and define

$$g_{\phi} := 2\phi \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}, \bar{\hat{u}}) + A^* \xi \cdot \nabla \phi |\hat{u}|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

We have

$$\partial_s(|\hat{u}|^2\phi) - A^*\xi \cdot \nabla(|\hat{u}|^2\phi) - \lambda(|\hat{u}|^2\phi) + g_\phi = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

and if \hat{u} has compact support, then

$$(|\hat{u}|^2\phi)(s,\xi) = -\int_0^\infty \partial_t \left(e^{-\lambda t} (|\hat{u}|^2\phi)(t+s,e^{-tA^*}\xi) \right) dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g_\phi(t+s,e^{-tA^*}\xi) dt,$$
(3.5)

where $e^{-tA^*} = (e^{-tA})^*$ is the transpose of exponential matrix in (1.6).

The following propagation of regularity in L^2 -space is the key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f, u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ so that (3.1) holds in the weak sense. For any $\alpha \ge 0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, there is a constant $C = C(\alpha, j, d) > 0$ such that

$$\|\Delta_{x_{i}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_{2} \leq C\|\Delta_{x_{i+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_{2}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\|f\|_{2}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}.$$
(3.6)

In particular,

$$\|\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+(n-j)\alpha)}}u\|_{2} \leq C\|\Delta_{x_{n}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_{2}^{\frac{1+(n-j-1)\alpha}{1+(n-j)\alpha}}\|f\|_{2}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+(n-j)\alpha}}.$$
(3.7)

Proof. Let $\rho:[0,\infty)\to[0,1]$ be a smooth function with $\rho(s)=1$ for s<1 and $\rho(s)=0$ for s>2. For R>0, let $\chi_R(s,\xi):=\rho(s/R)\rho(|\xi|/R)$ and

$$\hat{u}_R := \chi_R \hat{u}, \quad \hat{f}_R := (A^* \xi \cdot \nabla \chi_R - \partial_s \chi_R) \hat{u} + \chi_R \hat{f}.$$

Since \hat{u}_R satisfies

$$\partial_s \hat{u}_R - A^* \xi \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_R - \lambda \hat{u}_R + \hat{f}_R = 0,$$

if we can show that for some $C = C(\alpha, j, d) > 0$,

$$\|\Delta_{x_{i}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u_{R}\|_{2} \leqslant C\|\Delta_{x_{i+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{R}\|_{2}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\|f_{R}\|_{2}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}},$$

then letting $R \to \infty$, we get (3.6). Hence, without loss of generality, in the following, we may and shall assume that \hat{u} has compact support. We use the induction method.

Let us first look at the case of j = 1. We follow the simple argument of Alexander [1]. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Planchel's identity, we have

$$\begin{split} ||\Delta_{x_{1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u||_{2}^{2} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\{\varepsilon|\xi_{2}| > |\xi_{1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)}\}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\{\varepsilon|\xi_{2}| \le |\xi_{1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)}\}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &=: I_{1}(\varepsilon) + I_{2}(\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

For $I_1(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$I_1(\varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_2|^{2\alpha} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^2 d\xi ds = \varepsilon^{2\alpha} ||\Delta_{x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u||_2^2.$$

For $I_2(\varepsilon)$, letting $\Omega := \{\varepsilon | \xi_2 | \le |\xi_1|^{1/(1+\alpha)} \}$ and by (3.5) with $\phi = 1$, we have

$$I_{2}(\varepsilon) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\Omega}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}, \hat{\bar{u}})(t+s, e^{-tA^{*}} \xi) dt d\xi ds$$

$$= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}} \xi)_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\Omega}(e^{tA^{*}} \xi) e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}, \hat{\bar{u}})(t+s, \xi) dt d\xi ds$$

$$= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}} \xi)_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\Omega}(e^{tA^{*}} \xi) e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}, \hat{\bar{u}})(s, \xi) ds d\xi.$$

Observing that

$$e^{tA^*}\xi = \left(\xi_1, t\xi_1 + \xi_2, \frac{t^2}{2}\xi_1 + t\xi_2 + \xi_3, \cdots, \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\xi_1 + \cdots + t\xi_{n-1} + \xi_n\right),\,$$

since $\lambda \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}}\xi)_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\Omega}(e^{tA^{*}}\xi) e^{-\lambda t} dt = |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{\{\varepsilon|\xi_{2}+t\xi_{1}|\leqslant|\xi_{1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)}\}} e^{-\lambda t} dt
\leq |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_{\{|\xi_{2}|/|\xi_{1}|-|\xi_{1}|^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)}/\varepsilon\leqslant t\leqslant|\xi_{2}|/|\xi_{1}|+|\xi_{1}|^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)}/\varepsilon\}} dt = 2|\xi_{1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}/\varepsilon.$$
(3.8)

Hence, by Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{split} I_{2}(\varepsilon) & \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} (|\hat{f}| \, |\hat{u}|)(s,\xi) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\xi \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}|^{2}(s,\xi) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\xi + \frac{8}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\hat{f}|^{2}(s,\xi) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\xi \\ & = \frac{1}{2} ||\Delta_{x_{1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u||_{2}^{2} + \frac{8}{\varepsilon^{2}} ||f||_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_{x_1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_2^2 \leqslant \varepsilon^{2\alpha}\|\Delta_{x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta_{x_1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_2^2 + \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2}\|f\|_2^2$$

which in turn gives (3.6) for j=1 by letting $\varepsilon=(\|f\|_2/\|\Delta_{x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_2)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}$.

Suppose now that (3.6) has been proven for $j = 1, \dots, k$ with $k \le n - 2$. We want to show that (3.6) holds for j = k + 1. For $\delta > 0$, we define

$$\phi_{\delta}(\xi) := \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi \Big(|\xi_{j}| / (\delta^{k-j+1} |\xi_{k+1}|^{(1+(k-j+2)\alpha)/(1+\alpha)}) \Big),$$

and write

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u\|_{2} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} (1 - \phi_{\delta}(\xi)) |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \phi_{\delta}(\xi) |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &=: K_{1}(\delta) + K_{2}(\delta). \end{split}$$

We first treat $K_1(\delta)$. By the induction hypothesis, one sees that for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$\|\Delta_{x_i}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+(k-j+2)\alpha)}}u\|_2 \leqslant C\|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_2^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}}\|f\|_2^{\frac{(k-j+1)\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}}.$$
(3.9)

Observing that

$$1 - \phi_{\delta}(\xi) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} 1_{\{|\xi_{j}| \geqslant \delta^{k-j+1} | \xi_{k}|^{(1+(k-j+2)\alpha)/(1+\alpha)}\}},$$

by (3.9) and Young's inequality, we have

$$K_{1}(\delta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} 1_{\{|\xi_{j}| \geqslant \delta^{k-j+1}|\xi_{k}|^{(1+(k-j+2)\alpha)/(1+\alpha)}\}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta^{-\frac{2(k-j+1)\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{j}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}} |\hat{u}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta^{-\frac{2(k-j+1)\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}} ||\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{2\alpha}{2(1+(k-j+2)\alpha)}} u||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta^{-\frac{2(k-j+1)\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}} \|\Delta_{x_{k}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u\|_{2}^{\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}} \|f\|_{2}^{\frac{2(k-j+1)\alpha}{1+(k-j+2)\alpha}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u\|_{2}^{2} + C\delta^{-2} \|f\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Next we treat the trouble term $K_2(\delta)$. Let

$$\xi_{(k)} := (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_k), \ \xi^{(k)} := (\xi_{k+1}, \xi_{k+2}, \cdots, \xi_n)$$

and define

$$h_{\delta}(s,\xi^{(k)}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kd}} (|\hat{u}|^2 \phi_{\delta})(s,\xi_{(k)},\xi^{(k)}) d\xi_{(k)}.$$

Integrating both sides of (3.4) with respect to variable $\xi_{(k)}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \partial_s h_\delta - A_{n-k} \xi^{(k)} \cdot \nabla_{\xi^{(k)}} h_\delta - \lambda h_\delta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kd}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_j \nabla_{\xi_{j+1}} (|\hat{u}|^2 \phi_\delta) - g_{\phi_\delta} \right) \mathrm{d} \xi_{(k)} =: g_{\phi_\delta}^{(k)}, \end{split}$$

and

$$h_{\delta}(s,\xi^{(k)}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g_{\phi_{\delta}}^{(k)}(t+s,e^{-tA_{n-k}^{*}}\xi^{(k)}) dt.$$

Let

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) := \chi \Big(\varepsilon |\xi_2^{(k)}| / |\xi_1^{(k)}|^{1/(1+\alpha)} \Big) = \chi \Big(\varepsilon |\xi_{k+2}| / |\xi_{k+1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)} \Big).$$

We make the following decomposition:

$$K_{2}(\delta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \left(1 - \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)})\right) h_{\delta}(s, \xi^{(k)}) d\xi^{(k)} ds$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) h_{\delta}(s, \xi^{(k)}) d\xi^{(k)} ds$$

$$= K_{21}(\delta, \varepsilon) + K_{22}(\delta, \varepsilon).$$

For $K_{21}(\delta, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{split} K_{21}(\delta,\varepsilon) &\leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varepsilon | \xi_{k+2}| > |\xi_{k+1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)}\}} h_{\delta}(s,\xi^{(k)}) \mathrm{d}\xi^{(k)} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leqslant \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+2}|^{2\alpha} |\hat{u}|^2(s,\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}s = \varepsilon^{2\alpha} ||\Delta_{x_{k+2}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u||_2^2. \end{split}$$

For $K_{22}(\delta, \varepsilon)$, in view of $\xi_1^{(k)} = \xi_{k+1}$, we have

$$K_{22}(\delta,\varepsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} |\xi_{1}^{(k)}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g_{\phi}^{(k)}(t+s, e^{-tA_{n-k}^{*}} \xi^{(k)}) dt d\xi^{(k)} ds$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA_{n-k}^{*}} \xi^{(k)})_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \psi_{\varepsilon}(e^{tA_{n-k}^{*}} \xi^{(k)}) e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) g_{\phi}^{(k)}(s, \xi^{(k)}) d\xi^{(k)} ds.$$

Letting

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) &:= \int_{0}^{\infty} |(\mathrm{e}^{tA_{n-k}^{*}} \xi^{(k)})_{1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \psi_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}^{tA_{n-k}^{*}} \xi^{(k)}) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{d}t \\ &= |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi(\varepsilon |\xi_{k+2} + t\xi_{k+1}| / |\xi_{k+1}|^{1/(1+\alpha)}) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{d}t, \end{split}$$

and recalling

$$g_{\phi_{\delta}}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kd}} g_{\phi_{\delta}}(\xi_{(k)}, \cdot) d\xi_{(k)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kd}} \xi_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\xi_{j+1}}(|\hat{u}|^{2}\phi_{\delta}) d\xi_{(k)},$$

we may write

$$K_{22}(\delta, \varepsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} \gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{\phi_{\delta}}(s, \xi_{(k)}, \xi^{(k)}) d\xi_{(k)} d\xi^{(k)} ds$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} \gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi_j \cdot \nabla_{\xi_{j+1}} (|\hat{u}|^2 \phi_{\delta}) d\xi_{(k)} d\xi^{(k)} ds$$

$$=: K_{221}(\delta, \varepsilon) + K_{222}(\delta, \varepsilon).$$

As in estimating (3.8), we have

$$\gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) \leqslant 4|\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}/\varepsilon,$$

and also by the definition of $g_{\phi_{\delta}}$

$$|g_{\phi_{\delta}}| \leq 2|\hat{f}||\hat{u}| + C\delta|\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

Hence,

$$K_{221}(\delta,\varepsilon) \leq \frac{8}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{f}| |\hat{u}| + \frac{C\delta}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}|^{2}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{C\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) ||\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u||_{2}^{2} + C\varepsilon^{-2} ||f||_{2}^{2}.$$

Moreover, by elementary calculations, we also have

$$|\nabla_{\xi_{k+1}}\gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)})| \leq C|\xi_{k+1}|^{-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}/\varepsilon.$$

Thus, since \hat{u} has compact support, by the integration by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{split} |K_{222}(\delta,\varepsilon)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kd}} \xi_k \nabla_{\xi_{k+1}} \gamma_{\varepsilon}(\xi^{(k)}) (|\hat{u}|^2 \phi_{\delta}) \mathrm{d}\xi_{(k)} \mathrm{d}\xi^{(k)} \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_k| \, |\xi_{k+1}|^{-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} (|\hat{u}|^2 \phi_{\delta}) \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_k| \, |\xi_{k+1}|^{-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi_k| \leqslant \delta|\xi_{k+1}|^{(1+2\alpha)/(1+\alpha)}\}} \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leqslant \frac{C\delta}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{k+1}|^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\hat{u}|^2 \mathrm{d}s = \frac{C\delta}{\varepsilon} ||\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}} u||_2^2. \end{split}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{C_{1}'\delta}{\varepsilon}\right)\|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_{2}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2\alpha}\|\Delta_{x_{k+2}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_{2}^{2} + C_{2}'(\varepsilon^{-2} + \delta^{-2})\|f\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Choosing $\delta = \varepsilon/(4C_1)$, we get

$$\|\Delta_{x_{k+1}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}}u\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\varepsilon^{2\alpha}\|\Delta_{x_{k+2}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_{2}^{2} + 2C_{2}'(1 + 16(C_{1}')^{2})\varepsilon^{-2}\|f\|_{2}^{2},$$

which then yields (3.6) for j = k + 1 by letting $\varepsilon = (\|f\|_2 / \|\Delta_{x_{k+2}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|_2)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

4.1. Case: p=2. Without loss of generality, we may assume $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$. It follows from Fourier's transformation and Hölder's inequality that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \Delta_{x_{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s} f(t+s,\cdot) dt \right\|_{2}^{2} ds
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{n}|^{2} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \widehat{\mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}} f(t+s,\xi) dt \right|^{2} d\xi ds
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\xi_{n}|^{2} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s+r}^{a})^{*} e^{-rA^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} \hat{f}(t+s,e^{-tA^{*}} \xi) dt \right|^{2} d\xi ds
\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s+r}^{a})^{*} e^{-rA^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} |\hat{f}(t+s,e^{-tA^{*}} \xi)|^{2} dt \right)
\times \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s+r}^{a})^{*} e^{-rA^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} dt \right) d\xi ds.$$

Let Θ_t be the dilation operator defined by (1.7). By definitions (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), it is easy to see that

$$t^{1/2}(\sigma_u^a)^* e^{-rtA^*} \xi = (\sigma_u^a)^* e^{-rA^*} \Theta_{t^{1/2}}(\xi).$$

Thus, by the change of variables and as in showing (2.3), we have for some c > 0,

$$\int_0^t |(\sigma_{s+r}^a)^* e^{-rA^*} \xi|^2 dr = \int_0^1 |(\sigma_{s+tr}^a)^* e^{-rA^*} \Theta_{t^{1/2}}(\xi)|^2 dr \geqslant c |\Theta_{t^{1/2}} \xi|^2,$$

and similarly,

$$\int_0^t |(\sigma_{s+r}^a)^* e^{(t-r)A^*} \xi|^2 dr \ge c |\Theta_{t^{1/2}} \xi|^2.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty |\xi_n|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |(\sigma_{s+r}^a)^* e^{-rA^*} \xi|^2 dr} dt \leq \int_0^\infty |\xi_n|^2 e^{-c|\Theta_{t^{1/2}} \xi|^2} dt \leq \int_0^\infty |\xi_n|^2 e^{-ct|\xi_n|^2} dt = c^{-1},$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^*}\xi)_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s-t+r}^{a})^{*} e^{(t-r)A^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} dt \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}}\xi)_{n}|^{2} e^{-c|\Theta_{t^{1/2}}\xi|^{2}} dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-j)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} |t^{n-j}\xi_{j}|^{2} e^{-ct^{2(n-j)+1}|\xi_{j}|^{2}} dt \leq 2c^{-1}.$$

Thus, by the change of variables and Fubini's theorem, we further have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \Delta_{x_{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s} f(t+s,\cdot) dt \right\|_{2}^{2} ds \\ &\leqslant c^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s+r}^{a})^{*} e^{-rA^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} |\hat{f}(t+s,e^{-tA^{*}} \xi)|^{2} dt \right) d\xi ds \\ &= c^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}} \xi)_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s+r}^{a})^{*} e^{(t-r)A^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} |\hat{f}(t+s,\xi)|^{2} dt \right) d\xi ds \\ &= c^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |(e^{tA^{*}} \xi)_{n}|^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |(\sigma_{s-t+r}^{a})^{*} e^{(t-r)A^{*}} \xi|^{2} dr} dt \right) |\hat{f}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds \\ &\leqslant 2c^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |\hat{f}(s,\xi)|^{2} d\xi ds = 2c^{-2} ||f||_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

The proof of (1.10) for p = 2 is thus complete by (3.7).

4.2. **Case:** $p \in (2, \infty)$. For $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, let us define

$$\mathscr{P}_{j}^{\varepsilon}f := \mathscr{P}_{j}^{a}f_{\varepsilon}(s,x) := \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}^{a} f_{\varepsilon}(t+s,x) dt,$$

where $f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = f(t) * \varrho_{\varepsilon}(x)$, $\varrho_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-nd}\varrho(x/\varepsilon)$ is a family of mollifiers with $\varrho \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$ and $\int \varrho = 1$, and the superscript a denotes the dependence on the diffusion coefficient a. To use Theorem 2.4, our main task is to show that $\mathscr{P}_j^{\varepsilon}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ to BMO. More precisely, we want to prove that for any $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, and any $Q = Q_r(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\mathscr{P}_{j}^{a} f_{\varepsilon}(s, x) - c_{j}^{\mathcal{Q}}|^{2} \leqslant C,$$
(4.1)

where c_j^Q is a constant depending on Q and f_{ε} , and C only depends on n, κ, p, d , and not on ε .

Lemma 4.1. (Scaling Property) For any $Q = Q_r(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$\int_{Q_r(t_0,x_0)} \left| \mathcal{P}_j^a f_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2 = \int_{Q_1(0)} \left| \mathcal{P}_j^{\tilde{a}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{a}_s := a_{r^2s+t_0}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := f_{\varepsilon}(r^2t + t_0, \Theta_r x + e^{tA}x_0)$. Here Θ_r is the dilation operator defined in (1.7).

Proof. Let us write

$$u_{\varepsilon}(s,x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}^a f_{\varepsilon}(t+s,x) dt.$$

By the change of variables, we have

$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s,x) := r^{-2}u_{\varepsilon}(r^{2}s + t_{0}, \Theta_{r}x + e^{sA}x_{0}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}^{\tilde{a}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(t+s,x) dt.$$

Noticing that

$$(\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})(s,x) = (\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}u_{\varepsilon})(r^2s + t_0,\Theta_rx + e^{sA}x_0),$$

by the change of variables again, we have

$$\int_{O_r(t_0,x_0)} \left| \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} u_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2 = \int_{O_1(0)} \left| \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2.$$

The proof is finished.

Noticing that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t+s}^a f_{\varepsilon}(t+s,x) dt = \int_s^\infty e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^a f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) dt,$$

for $s \in [-1, 1]$, we split $\mathscr{P}_{i}^{\varepsilon} f(s, x) = \mathscr{P}_{i1}^{\varepsilon} f(s, x) + \mathscr{P}_{i2}^{\varepsilon} f(s, x)$ with

$$\mathscr{P}_{j1}^{\varepsilon}f(s,x) := \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{s}^{2} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) dt,$$
$$\mathscr{P}_{j2}^{\varepsilon}f(s,x) := \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{2}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) dt.$$

Convention: Without extra declaration, all the constants contained in "\(\sigma\)" will depend only on n, κ, p, d .

Lemma 4.2. Under (1.2), there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \int_{Q_1(0)} |\mathcal{P}_{j1}^{\varepsilon} f(s,x)|^2 \le C. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. For $s \in [-1, 1]$, let

$$u_{\varepsilon}(s,x) := \int_{s}^{2} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) dt = \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} ((1_{[-1,2]} f_{\varepsilon})(t))(x) dt.$$

Since $||f||_{\infty} \le 1$, we have

$$||u_{\varepsilon}(s)||_{\infty} \le 3, \quad s \in [-1, 1]. \tag{4.4}$$

By (2.6), we have for any $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\|\nabla_{x_n} u_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\infty} \leqslant \int_{s}^{2} \|\nabla_{x_n} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\infty} dt \lesssim \int_{s}^{2} (t-s)^{-1/2} dt \lesssim 1.$$
 (4.5)

Let φ be a nonnegative smooth cutoff function in \mathbb{R}^{nd} with $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le n$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for |x| > 2n. Notice that

$$\partial_s u_\varepsilon + \mathcal{L}_s u_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon 1_{[-1,2]}(s) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $u_{\varepsilon}\varphi$ satisfies

$$\partial_s(u_{\varepsilon}\varphi) + \mathcal{L}_s(u_{\varepsilon}\varphi) = \mathcal{L}_s(u_{\varepsilon}\varphi) - (\mathcal{L}_su_{\varepsilon})\varphi - f_{\varepsilon}\varphi 1_{[-1,2]}(s) =: g_{\varepsilon}^{\varphi},$$

which implies by (1.9) that

$$(u_{\varepsilon}\varphi)(s,x) = \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} g_{\varepsilon}^{\varphi}(t,x) dt.$$

By the definition of $\mathscr{P}_{i1}^{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\int_{Q_{1}(0)} |\mathscr{P}_{j1}^{\varepsilon} f|^{2} = \int_{Q_{1}(0)} |\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+nd}} |(\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 \|\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} (u_{\varepsilon} \varphi)\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \|\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} (u_{\varepsilon} \varphi) - (\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi\|_{2}^{2} =: I_{1} + I_{2}.$$

For I_1 , by (1.10) for p = 2 and (4.4), (4.5), we have

$$I_{1} = \left\| \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} g_{\varepsilon}^{\varphi}(t,x) dt \right\|_{2} \lesssim \|g_{\varepsilon}^{\varphi}\|_{2} \lesssim 1.$$

For I_2 , if j = n, then by (4.4) and (4.5),

$$I_2 = 2 \left\| u_{\varepsilon} \Delta_{x_n} \varphi + 2 \nabla_{x_n} u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{x_n} \varphi \right\|_2^2 \lesssim 1;$$

if $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, then by definition (2.4) and (4.4),

$$I_{2} = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)} u_{\varepsilon} \, \delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)} \varphi}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dz_{j} \right\|_{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{||\delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)} u_{\varepsilon} \, \delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)} \varphi||_{2}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dz_{j}$$

$$\leq 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{||\delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)} \varphi||_{2}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dz_{j} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1 \wedge |z_{j}|}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dz_{j} \lesssim 1.$$

The proof is complete.

The next lemma is crucial for treating $\mathscr{P}_{2}^{\varepsilon}f$.

Lemma 4.3. Under (1.3), there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) - \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{0,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) \right| \mathrm{d}t \leqslant C. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Noticing that by (1.9), for each $s \in (0, t)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{0,t}f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) - \mathcal{T}_{s,t}f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \int_{0}^{s} \mathcal{L}_{r}\mathcal{T}_{r,t}f_{\varepsilon}(t,x)\mathrm{d}r, \tag{4.7}$$

we have

$$I_{j}^{\varepsilon}(s,t) := \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{0,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) - \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} (\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{L}_{r} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon})(t,0) dr.$$

For j = 1, we have for all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |I_{1}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)| \mathrm{d}t = \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \left(\Delta_{x_{1}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-1)}} \mathrm{tr}(a_{r} \cdot \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2}) \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon} \right) (t,0) \mathrm{d}r \right| \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\leq \kappa \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} |\Delta_{x_{1}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-1)}} \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}|(t,0) dr dt$$

$$\leq \kappa \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} (t-r)^{-3/2} dr dt \leq 1.$$

For j = n, we have for all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |I_{n}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)| dt = \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \left(\left(\Delta_{x_{n}} \operatorname{tr}(a_{r} \cdot \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2}) + (\nabla_{x_{n}} \cdot \nabla_{x_{n-1}}) \right) \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon} \right) (t,0) dr \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} \left(\kappa |\Delta_{x_{n}} \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}| + |(\nabla_{x_{n}} \cdot \nabla_{x_{n-1}}) \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}| \right) (t,0) dr dt$$

$$\stackrel{(2.6)}{\lesssim} \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} (t-r)^{-2} dr dt \lesssim 1.$$

For $j=2,\cdots,n-1$, since $\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}$ is a nonlocal operator, we have to carefully treat the trouble term $\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}(x_j \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon})(t,0)$. Fix

$$\gamma \in \left(\frac{2(n-j)+1}{2}, \frac{(2(n-j)+1)^2}{4(n-j)}\right).$$

By (2.4) and (4.7), we may write

$$I_{j}^{\varepsilon}(s,t) = \int_{|z_{j}| > t^{\gamma}} \left(\delta_{z_{j}} \mathcal{T}_{0,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) - \delta_{z_{j}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}}$$

$$+ \int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \delta_{z_{j}} \operatorname{tr}(a_{r} \cdot \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2}) \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) \mathrm{d}r \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}}$$

$$+ \int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \delta_{z_{j}} (Ax \cdot \nabla \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon})(t,0) \mathrm{d}r \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}}$$

$$=: I_{j1}^{\varepsilon}(s,t) + I_{j2}^{\varepsilon}(s,t) + I_{j3}^{\varepsilon}(s,t).$$

For $I_{j1}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)$, thanks to $\gamma > \frac{2(n-j)+1}{2}$, we have for all $s \in [-1,1]$,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |I_{j1}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)| \mathrm{d}t \lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{|z_{i}| > t^{\gamma}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \mathrm{d}t \lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} |t|^{-2\gamma/(1+2(n-j))} \mathrm{d}t \lesssim 1.$$

For $I_{j2}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)$, by (2.6) and $\gamma < \frac{(2(n-j)+1)^2}{2(2(n-j)-1)}$, we have for all $s \in [-1,1]$,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |I_{j2}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)| dt \lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} \left(\int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} |z_{j}| \, ||\nabla_{x_{j}} \nabla_{x_{n}}^{2} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \right) dr dt$$

$$\lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{s} (t-r)^{-(2(n-j)+3)/2} \left(\int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} \frac{|z_{j}| dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \right) dr dt$$

$$\lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} (t-1)^{-(2(n-j)+3)/2} t^{\gamma(1-2/(1+2(n-j)))} dt \lesssim 1.$$

For $I_{i3}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)$, letting $\tilde{z}_j = (0, \dots, 0, z_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ and observing that

$$\int_{|z_j| \le t^{\gamma}} \frac{z_j \mathrm{d} z_j}{|z_j|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} = 0,$$

by (2.6) and $\gamma < \frac{(2(n-j)+1)^2}{4(n-j)}$, we have for all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} |I_{j3}^{\varepsilon}(s,t)| dt = \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} z_{j} \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,\tilde{z}_{j}) \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dr \right| dt
= \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} z_{j} \cdot \nabla_{x_{j-1}} (\mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,\tilde{z}_{j}) - \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0)) \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dr \right| dt
\leq \int_{2}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|z_{j}| \leq t^{\gamma}} |z_{j}|^{2} ||\nabla_{x_{j}} \nabla_{x_{j-1}} \mathcal{T}_{r,t} f_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dr \right| dt
\leq \int_{2}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{s} (t-r)^{-2(n-j)-2} \int_{|z| \leq t^{\gamma}} \frac{|z_{j}|^{2} dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} dr \right) dt
\leq \int_{2}^{\infty} (t-1)^{-2(n-j)-2} t^{\gamma(2-2/(1+2(n-j)))} dt \lesssim 1.$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain the desired estimate.

Lemma 4.4. Under (1.3), there is a constant C > 0 depending only on n, κ, p, d such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \int_{Q_1(0)} |\mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\varepsilon} f(s,x) - \mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\varepsilon} f(0,0)|^2 \le C. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{split} |\mathscr{P}_{j2}^{\varepsilon}f(s,x) - \mathscr{P}_{22}^{\varepsilon}f(0,0)| &\leq \int_{2}^{\infty} |\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(s-t)} - \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}| \, ||\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t)||_{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \int_{2}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} |\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) - \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0)| \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \int_{2}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} |\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0) - \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{0,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t,0)| \mathrm{d}t \\ &=: I_{1}(s) + I_{2}(s,x) + I_{3}(s). \end{split}$$

Noticing that by (2.6),

$$\|\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1+2(n-j)}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim (t-s)^{-1},$$

$$\|\nabla_{x_k} \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim (t-s)^{-(2(n-k)+3)/2}.$$

we have for all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$I_{1} \lesssim \int_{2}^{\infty} |e^{\lambda(s-t)} - e^{-\lambda t}|(t-s)^{-1} dt$$

$$\lesssim |e^{\lambda s} - 1| \int_{2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt = |e^{\lambda s} - 1|e^{-2\lambda}/\lambda \lesssim 1,$$

and for all $(s, x) \in Q_1(0)$,

$$I_2(s,x) \lesssim \int_2^\infty \sum_{k=1}^n (t-s)^{-(2(n-k)+3)/2} dt \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover, by (4.6), we have for all $s \in [-1, 1]$,

$$I_3(s) \lesssim 1$$
.

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (4.8).

Now we can give

Proof of (1.10) *for* $p \in (2, \infty)$. By Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4, it is easy to see that $\mathscr{P}_j^{\varepsilon}$: $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd}) \to BMO$ is a bounded linear operator with bound independent of ε . Estimate (1.10) for $p \in (2, \infty)$ follows by Theorem 2.4 and the well-proved estimate for p = 2.

4.3. **Case:** $p \in (1, 2)$. We shall use duality argument to show (1.10) for $p \in (1, 2)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}^*$ be the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}$, that is,

$$\int g\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f=\int f\mathcal{T}_{s,t}^*g.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{s,t}^*f(x) := \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*,a}f(x) := \mathbb{E}f\left(e^{(s-t)A}x - \int_s^t e^{(s-r)A}\sigma_r^a dW_r\right).$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$, we introduce a new operator

$$\mathscr{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}f:=\mathscr{Q}_{j}^{a}f_{\varepsilon}(s,x):=\int_{-\infty}^{t}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(s-t)}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*,a}\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}f_{\varepsilon}(s,x)\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $f_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = f(t,\cdot) * \varrho_{\varepsilon}(x)$ so that $\mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}f$ is well defined for $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$. Notice that \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{a} can be considered as the adjoint operator of \mathcal{P}_{j}^{a} in the sense that

$$\int \mathscr{P}_{j}^{a} f g = \int \mathscr{Q}_{j}^{a} g f.$$

As in the previous subsection, we want to show that

 $\mathscr{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ to BMO.

First of all, as in Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\int_{Q_r(t_0,x_0)} \left| \mathcal{Q}_j^a f_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2 = \int_{Q_1(0)} \left| \mathcal{Q}_j^{\tilde{a}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(s,x) - c \right|^2,$$

where \tilde{a} and \tilde{f} are defined as in Lemma 4.1. We aim to prove that there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$\int_{Q_1(0)} \left| \mathcal{Q}_j^{\tilde{a}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(s, x) - c \right|^2 \leqslant C.$$

Below we drop \tilde{a} and the tilde, and make the following decomposition as above,

$$\mathscr{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}f(t,x) = \left(\int_{-2}^{t} + \int_{-\infty}^{-2}\right) e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,x) ds =: \mathscr{Q}_{j1}^{\varepsilon}f(t,x) + \mathscr{Q}_{j2}^{\varepsilon}f(t,x).$$

The following lemma is crucial for treating $\mathcal{Q}_{i1}^{\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$. For any $p \in [1,2]$, there are constants $C_{\varphi}, \beta > 0$ such that for all $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$ and $0 < t - s \leq 3$,

$$\|\Delta_{x_{i}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} (\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h) - \varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h))\|_{p} \leqslant C_{\varphi}(t-s)^{\beta-1} \|h\|_{2}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\|\Delta_{x_{i}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}(\varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)) - \varphi_{s,t}\Delta_{x_{i}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_{p} \leqslant C_{\varphi}(t-s)^{\beta-1}\|h\|_{2}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\varphi_{s,t}(x) := \varphi(e^{(t-s)A}x)$.

Proof. (1) Let $p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y)$ be the distribution density of $X_{s,t}(0)$. By the definition and the chain rule, we have

$$\nabla_{x_{j}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} f(y) \nabla_{x_{j}} p_{s,t}^{(0)}(y - e^{(t-s)A} \cdot)(x) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} f(y) \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\nabla_{y_{i}} p_{s,t}^{(0)})(y - e^{(t-s)A} x) \frac{(t-s)^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} f(y + e^{(t-s)A} x) \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\nabla_{y_{i}} p_{s,t}^{(0)})(y) \frac{(t-s)^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} dy.$$

By this formula, we have

$$\|\nabla_{x_{j}}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h) - \varphi_{s,t}\nabla_{x_{j}}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_{p} \\ \leq \|\nabla\varphi\|_{\infty}\|\varphi h\|_{p} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |y||\nabla_{y_{i}}p_{s,t}^{(0)}|(y)\frac{(t-s)^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} dy.$$
(4.11)

By (2.1), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |y| |\nabla_{y_i} p_{s,t}^{(0)}|(y) dy \lesssim (t-s)^{-(n^2d+2(n-i)+1)/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} |y_k| e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}y|^2} dy$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^n (t-s)^{-(n^2d+2(k-i))/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} e^{-c|\Theta_{(t-s)^{-1/2}}y|^2/2} dy \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^n (t-s)^{i-k}.$$

Substituting this into (4.11), we obtain

$$\|\nabla_{x_j}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^2h) - \varphi_{s,t}\nabla_{x_j}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_p \lesssim \|\varphi h\|_p (t-s)^{j-n} \lesssim \|h\|_2 (t-s)^{j-n}$$

and further,

$$\|\nabla_{x_i}(\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^2 h) - \varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h))\|_p \lesssim \|h\|_2 (t-s)^{j-n}.$$

Hence, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$,

$$\|\Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}(\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^2h)-\varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h))\|_p$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \|\delta_{z_{j}}(\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h) - \varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h))\|_{p} \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \\
\leq 2 \int_{|z_{j}|>(t-s)^{n-j}} (\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h)\|_{p} + \|\varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_{p}) \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \\
+ \int_{|z_{j}|\leq (t-s)^{n-j}} (\|\nabla_{x_{j}}(\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h) - \varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h))\|_{p}) \frac{|z_{j}|dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \\
\leq (\|\varphi^{2}h\|_{p} + \|\varphi h\|_{p}) \int_{|z_{j}|>(t-s)^{n-j}} \frac{dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \\
+ \|h\|_{2}(t-s)^{j-n} \int_{|z_{j}|\leq (t-s)^{n-j}} \frac{|z_{j}|dz_{j}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \leq \|h\|_{2}(t-s)^{-\frac{2(n-j)}{1+2(n-j)}}.$$

Thus we get (4.9). For j = n, (4.9) is direct by the chain rule.

(2) For $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, by (2.7) we have

$$\begin{split} &\|\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}(\varphi_{s,t}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)) - \varphi_{s,t}\Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_{p} \\ &\leq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\|\delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)}\varphi_{s,t}\|_{\infty}\|\delta_{z_{j}}^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h)\|_{p}}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \mathrm{d}z_{j} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi h\|_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1 \wedge ((t-s)^{n-j}|z_{j}|)}{|z_{j}|^{d+2/(1+2(n-j))}} \mathrm{d}z_{j} \lesssim \|h\|_{2}(t-s)^{\frac{2(n-j)}{1+2(n-j)}}, \end{split}$$

which gives (4.10). For j = n, (4.10) is direct by the chain rule.

Lemma 4.6. Under (1.3), there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \int_{O_1(0)} |\mathcal{Q}_{j1}^{\varepsilon} f(s,x)|^2 \le C. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. For $t \in [-2, 1]$, define

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \mathcal{Q}_{j1}^{\varepsilon} f(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} ((1_{[-2,1]} f_{\varepsilon})(s))(x) ds.$$

Let φ be a nonnegative smooth cutoff function in \mathbb{R}^{nd} with $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for |x| > 2. We have

$$||u||_{L^{2}(Q_{1}(0))} \leq ||1_{[-2,1]}u\varphi^{2}||_{2} = \sup_{||h||_{2} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+nd}} 1_{[-2,1]}u\varphi^{2}h$$

$$= \sup_{||h||_{2} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+nd}} 1_{[-2,1]} f_{\varepsilon} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(\cdot -t)} \mathcal{T}_{\cdot,t}(\varphi^{2}h(t)) dt$$

$$\leq \sup_{||h||_{2} \leq 1} \left\| 1_{[-2,1]} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h(t)) dt \right\|_{1}.$$

Since the support of $\varphi_{s,t}(x) = \varphi(e^{(t-s)A}x)$ is contained in $\{x : |x| \le n\}$ for $|t-s| \le 3$, by (4.9) and (4.10), we have for any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||h||_2 \le 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi^{2}h(t)) dt \right\|_{1} \\ & \stackrel{(4.9)}{\lesssim} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \varphi_{s,t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h(t)) dt \right\|_{1} + 1 \\ & \stackrel{(4.10)}{\lesssim} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \varphi_{s,t} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h(t)) dt \right\|_{1} + 1 \\ & \stackrel{(4.10)}{\lesssim} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \varphi_{s,t} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h(t)) dt \right\|_{2} + 1 \\ & \stackrel{(4.10)}{\lesssim} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{1} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \varphi_{s,t} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\varphi h(t)) dt \right\|_{2} + 1 \\ & \stackrel{(4.9)}{\lesssim} \left\| \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} \int_{\cdot}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}(\mathbf{1}_{[-2,1]} \varphi^{2}h(t)) dt \right\|_{2} + 1 \\ & \stackrel{(4.9)}{\lesssim} \left\| \varphi^{2}h \right\|_{2} + 1 \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is due to (1.10) for p = 2. The proof is complete.

The following lemma is the same as in Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.7. Under (1.3), there is a constant $C = C(n, \kappa, p, d) > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+nd})$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \int_{Q_1(0)} |\mathcal{Q}_{j2}^{\varepsilon} f(t,x) - \mathcal{Q}_{j2}^{\varepsilon} f(0,0)|^2 \leq C.$$

Proof. By (2.7), we have for all $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-2} \left| \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,0) - \mathcal{T}_{s,0}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,0) \right| ds$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} \left| \partial_r \mathcal{T}_{s,r}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,0) \right| dr ds$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} \left| \mathcal{L}_r^* \mathcal{T}_{s,r}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,0) \right| dr ds$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} \left| \operatorname{tr}(a_r \cdot \nabla_{x_n}^2) \mathcal{T}_{s,r}^* \Delta_{x_j}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon}(s,0) \right| dr ds$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} (r-s)^{-2} dr ds \leq C.$$

Using this estimate and (2.7), as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we obtain the desired estimate.

Proof of (1.10) for $p \in (1, 2)$. By Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, we know that

$$\mathscr{Q}_i^{\varepsilon}: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+2d}) \to BMO$$
 is bounded with norm independent of ε .

Moreover, by duality, we also have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{1+2d}) \to L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{1+2d})$$
 is bounded with norm independent of ε .

Hence, for $q = p/(p-1) \in (2, \infty)$, by Theorem 2.4, we have for some C > 0 independent of ε ,

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_{j}^{\varepsilon}f\|_{q} = \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} f_{\varepsilon} ds \right\|_{q} \leqslant C \|f\|_{q}.$$

Now for $p \in (1, 2)$, by Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\|\mathscr{P}_{j}f\|_{p} \leq \|f\|_{p} \sup_{\|h\|_{q} \leq 1} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} h ds \right\|_{q}$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{p} \sup_{\|h\|_{q} \leq 1} \underline{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{s,t}^{*} \Delta_{x_{j}}^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-j)}} h_{\varepsilon} ds \right\|_{q} \leq C \|f\|_{p},$$

which gives (1.10) for $p \in (1, 2)$.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Alexander: Fractional order kinetic equations and hypoellipcity. *Anal. Appl.* (Singap.) **10**, no.3 (2012), 237-247.

- [2] F. Bouchut: Hypoelliptic regularity in kinetic equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **81** (2002), 1135-1159.
- [3] M. Bramanti, G. Cupini, E. Lanconelli and E. Priola: Global *L*^p-estimate for degenerate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators. *Math Z.* **266** (2010), 789-816.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen and X. Zhang: L^p -maximal hypoelliptic regularity of nonlocal kinetic Fokker-Planck operators. arXiv:1608.05502.
- [5] Fedrizzi E., Flandoli F., Priola E. and Vovelle J.: Regularity of Stochastic Kinetic Equations. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.01088v2.pdf.
- [6] Huang L., Menozzi S. and Priola E.: *L*^p-estimates for degenerate non-local Kolmogorov operators. arXiv:1607.08718v2.
- [7] A. N. Kolmogorov: Zufállige Bewegungen. Ann. of Math. 35 (1934), 116-117.
- [8] E. M. Stein: *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals.* Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [9] X. Zhang: L^p-maximal regularity of nonlocal parabolic equations and applications. Ann. I. H. Poincare-AN 30 (2013), 573-614.
- [10] X. Zhang: Stochastic Hamiltonian flows with singular coefficients. arXiv:1606.04360.

ZHEN-QING CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA, EMAIL: zqchen@uw.edu

XICHENG ZHANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, P.R.CHINA, EMAIL: XICHENGZHANG@GMAIL.COM