

## Math 721 – Homework 1 Solutions

**Problem 1** (Kernels and images). Let  $R$  be a ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

- (a) If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules, show that the kernel and image of  $\varphi$  are submodules of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively.
- (b) For each of the following  $R$ -modules homomorphisms, describe the kernel and image as simply as possible. (You do not need to check that they are homomorphisms.)

(i)  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ ,  $M = R^2$ ,  $N = R$ ,  $\varphi(f, g) = xf + yg$

(ii)  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = R^2$ ,  $N = R$ ,  $\varphi(a, b) = 4a + 6b$

(iii)  $R = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $M = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $N = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 4}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\varphi(A) = AU$  where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* (a) Suppose  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Note that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is nonempty, since it contains 0. Moreover, for any  $x, y \in \ker(\varphi) \subseteq M$  and  $r \in R$ ,

$$\varphi(x + ry) = \varphi(x) + r\varphi(y) = 0 + r0 = 0.$$

Therefore  $x + ry \in \ker(\varphi)$ , showing that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

Similarly,  $\varphi(M)$  is nonempty, since it contains  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . For any  $x, y \in \varphi(M)$ , there exist  $a, b \in M$  with  $\varphi(a) = x$  and  $\varphi(b) = y$ . Then for  $r \in R$ ,

$$\varphi(a + rb) = \varphi(a) + r\varphi(b) = x + ry,$$

showing that  $x + ry \in \varphi(M)$  and that  $\varphi(M)$  is a submodule of  $N$ . □

*Proof.* (b) (i) For  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ ,  $M = R^2$ ,  $N = R$ ,  $\varphi(f, g) = xf + yg$ , an element  $(f, g) \in R^2$  belongs to the kernel of  $\varphi$  exactly when  $xf + yg = 0$ . This gives  $xf = -yg$ , meaning that  $x$  divides  $yg$  and  $y$  divides  $xf$ . Since neither  $x$  nor  $y$  divide each other (and  $R$  is a unique factorization domain) there must be some  $h \in R$  with  $f = -yh$  and  $g = xh$ . Therefore  $(f, g) = (-yh, hx) = h(-y, x)$ . This shows that

$$\ker(\varphi) = R(-y, x).$$

The image of  $\varphi$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $x$  and  $y$ .

(ii) For  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = R^2$ ,  $N = R$ ,  $\varphi(a, b) = 4a + 6b$ , the kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $\{(a, b) : 4a + 6b = 0\}$ . If  $4a + 6b = 0$ , then  $2a = -3b$ . Since 2 and 3 are relatively prime, there must exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a = -3c$  and  $b = 2c$ . Then  $(a, b) = c(-3, 2)$ , showing that

$$\ker(\varphi) = \mathbb{Z}(-3, 2).$$

Then image of  $\varphi$  is the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by 4 and 6. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal domain, we see that this is generated by  $\gcd(4, 6) = 2$ , showing that  $\varphi(M) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

(iii) Let  $R = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $M = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $N = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 4}(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\varphi(A) = AU$  where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\varphi \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The only way that  $\varphi(A) = 0_N$  is that  $A = 0_M$ , so  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0_M\}$ . The image of  $\varphi$  is the set of  $2 \times 4$  matrices with last column equal to zero.  $\square$

**Problem 2** ( $\text{Hom}_R$ ). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $M$  be  $R$ -modules. Show the following isomorphisms of  $R$ -modules:

- (a)  $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$ ,
- (b)  $\text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$ , and
- (c)  $\text{Hom}_R(R^n, M) \cong \underbrace{M \times \cdots \times M}_n$ .
- (d) Give an example to show that it is not always the case that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) \cong M$ .

*Proof.* (a) Consider the map  $\Psi : \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow M$  given by  $\Psi(\varphi) = \varphi(1)$  where  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$  and  $1$  is the unit in  $R$ . Note that  $\varphi(1) \in M$ . We claim that  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism.

(Homomorphism) Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ . Then

$$\Psi(\varphi + \psi) = (\varphi + \psi)(1) = \varphi(1) + \psi(1) = \Psi(\varphi) + \Psi(\psi).$$

and for any  $r \in R$ ,

$$\Psi(r\varphi) = (r\varphi)(1) = r\varphi(1) = r\Psi(\varphi).$$

(Bijection) First, note that  $\Psi$  is injective. If  $\varphi(1) = \psi(1)$ , then since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are homomorphisms, for every  $r \in R$ ,

$$\varphi(r) = r\varphi(1) = r\psi(1) = \psi(r),$$

meaning that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are the same function on  $R$ . To see that it is surjective, for  $m \in M$ , define the map  $\varphi_m : R \rightarrow M$  by  $\varphi_m(r) = rm$ . We claim that  $\varphi_m$  is indeed an  $R$ -module homomorphism. To check, we see that it is a function from  $R$  to  $M$  and satisfies

$$\varphi_m(rx + y) = (rx + y)m = rxm + ym = r\varphi_m(x) + \varphi_m(y)$$

for any  $x, y, r \in R$ . Therefore  $\varphi_m \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ . Moreover  $\Psi(\varphi_m) = \varphi_m(1) = m$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (b) Consider the map  $\Psi : \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$  given by

$$\Psi(\alpha, \beta)(a, b) = \alpha(a) + \beta(b) \in M$$

where  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$ , and  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ . First, note that  $\varphi = \Psi(\alpha, \beta)$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$ . Given  $(a, b), (c, d) \in A \times B$  and  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a, b) + r(c, d)) &= \varphi(a + rc, b + rd) = \alpha(a + rc) + \beta(b + rd) \\ &= \alpha(a) + r\alpha(c) + \beta(b) + r\beta(d) \\ &= (\alpha(a) + \beta(b)) + r(\alpha(c) + \beta(d)) \\ &= \varphi(a, b) + r\varphi(c, d). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$ . We claim that  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism.

(Homomorphism) Let  $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$  and  $r \in R$ . For any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi((\alpha, \beta) + r(\gamma, \delta))(a, b) &= \Psi(\alpha + r\gamma, \beta + r\delta)(a, b) = (\alpha + r\gamma)(a) + (\beta + r\delta)(b) \\ &= \alpha(a) + r\gamma(a) + \beta(b) + r\delta(b) \\ &= (\alpha(a) + \beta(b)) + r(\gamma(a) + \delta(b)) \\ &= \Psi(\alpha, \beta)(a, b) + r\Psi(\gamma, \delta)(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

So as elements of  $\text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$ ,  $\Psi((\alpha, \beta) + r(\gamma, \delta)) = \Psi(\alpha, \beta) + r\Psi(\gamma, \delta)$ , giving that  $\Psi$  is a homomorphism.

(Bijection) Suppose that  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta)(a, b) = \Psi(\gamma, \delta)(a, b)$  for all  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ . Taking points  $(a, 0_B)$  with  $a \in A$  shows that

$$\alpha(a) = \Psi(\alpha, \beta)(a, 0_B) = \Psi(\gamma, \delta)(a, 0_B) = \gamma(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ , giving that  $\alpha = \gamma$ . Similarly evaluating at points  $(0_A, b)$  shows that  $\beta = \delta$ . Therefore  $\Psi$  is injective.

For surjectivity, suppose that  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$ . Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow M$  and  $\beta : B \rightarrow M$  be defined by  $\alpha(a) = \varphi(a, 0_B)$  and  $\beta(b) = \varphi(0_A, b)$ , respectively, where  $0_A$  and  $0_B$  are the additive identities of  $A$  and  $B$ . We claim that  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$  and  $\Psi(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi$ .

First note that for  $a, c \in A$  and  $r \in R$ ,

$$\alpha(a + rc) = \varphi(a + rc, 0_B) = \varphi((a, 0_B) + r(c, 0_B)) = \varphi(a, 0_B) + r\varphi(c, 0_B) = \alpha(a) + r\alpha(c).$$

Similarly, for  $b, d \in B$  and  $r \in R$ ,

$$\beta(b + rd) = \varphi(0_A, b + rd) = \varphi((0_A, b) + r(0_A, d)) = \varphi(0_A, b) + r\varphi(0_A, d) = \beta(b) + r\beta(d).$$

Finally, note that for any  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ ,  $(a, b) = (a, 0_B) + (0_A, b)$ . Then

$$\Psi(\alpha, \beta)(a, b) = \alpha(a) + \beta(b) = \varphi(a, 0_B) + \varphi(0_A, b) = \varphi(a, b).$$

□

*Proof.* (c) We proceed by induction on  $n$ . By part (a), this holds with  $n = 1$ . Suppose that it holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Note that  $R^{n+1} \cong R \times R^n$ . Then by part (b),

$$\text{Hom}_R(R^{n+1}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(R^n, M) \cong M \times \underbrace{(M \times \cdots \times M)}_n \cong \underbrace{M \times \cdots \times M}_{n+1}.$$

This shows the claim for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

□

*Proof.* (d) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and consider  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ . Note that  $\varphi(0) = 0\varphi(1) = 0$ . If  $\varphi(1) = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$0 = \varphi(0) = \varphi(1 + 1) = \varphi(1) + \varphi(1) = 2\varphi(1).$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  has no zero-divisors,  $2\varphi(1) = 0$  implies  $\varphi(1) = 0$ . Therefore the only  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the map  $\varphi$  given by  $\varphi(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then there is only one element in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ , whereas  $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  has two. □