## Math 591 - Real Algebraic Geometry and Convex Optimization

Lecture 16: Algebraic boundaries and optimization
Cynthia Vinzant, Spring 2019
For this lecture, we will take $K$ to be a full-dimensional, pointed (i.e. containing no lines), semialgebraic, convex cone inside of a finite dimensional real vector space (like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) so that the dual cone $K^{*}$ is also a full-dimensional, pointed, semialgebraic, convex cone

Recall that the algebraic boundary of $K$, denoted $\partial_{\text {alg }} K$ is the Zariski-closure of the Euclidean boundary of $K, \partial K$. Since $K$ is a full-dimensional cone and not the whole space, the boundary $\partial K$ is a semi-algebraic set of codimension one. Then the algebraic boundary $\partial_{\text {alg }} K$ also has codimension one and is defined by a single polynomial equation $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$.

Example. Consider the convex cone

$$
K=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: f \geq 0, z \geq x, z \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $f=(x+z)(x-z)^{2}-y^{2} z$. Then the algebraic boundary of $K$ is the surface $V_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$.
The condition that the plane $\{(x, y, z): a x+b y+c z\}$ is tangent to $\partial K$ at some point imposes conditions on the coefficients ( $a, b, c$ ). Namely ( $a, b, c$ ) must belong to the boundary of the dual cone and thus the algebraic boundary of the dual cone,

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(K^{*}\right)=V_{\mathbb{R}}\left(4 a^{4}+13 a^{2} b^{2}+32 b^{4}-4 a^{3} c+18 a b^{2} c-27 b^{2} c^{2}\right) \cup V_{\mathbb{R}}(a+c)
$$

This is the union of the dual varieties $V(f)^{*}$ and $V(x-z, y)^{*}$.
Application to optimization. One can think of the algebraic boundary of the dual cone $K^{*}$ as simultaneously solving the Lagrange multiplier equations for a family of optimization problems over $K$.

Take $c, a \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the intersection $K \cap\{x:\langle a, x\rangle=1\}$ is compact, and consider the optimization problem

$$
p^{*}=\min \langle c, x\rangle \text { such that }\langle a, x\rangle=1, x \in K .
$$

Here $p^{*}$ is the optimal value.
Proposition. $c-p^{*}$ a belongs to the boundary of the dual cone $K^{*}$.
Proof. We will show this by showing that $c-\lambda a$ belongs to $K^{*}$ if and only if $\lambda \leq p^{*}$.
Let $x \in K \backslash\{0\}$. Since $K \cap\{y:\langle a, y\rangle=1\}$ in compact, $\langle a, x\rangle$ is strictly positive, and we can consider $\tilde{x}=\left(\frac{1}{\langle a, x\rangle}\right) \cdot x$ which belongs to $K$ and satisfies $\langle a, \tilde{x}\rangle=1$. Then

$$
p^{*} \leq\langle c, \tilde{x}\rangle=\frac{1}{\langle a, x\rangle} \cdot\langle c, x\rangle
$$

If $\lambda \leq p^{*}$, then multiplying this inequality by $\langle a, x\rangle$ shows that $\lambda\langle a, x\rangle \leq\langle c, x\rangle$. Therefore $\langle c-\lambda a, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$, meaning that $c-\lambda a \in K^{*}$.

Similarly, if $p^{*}<\lambda$, then consider the point $x \in K$ achieving the minimum $p^{*}$. That is, for which $\langle a, x\rangle=1$ and $\langle c, x\rangle=p^{*}<\lambda$. Then $\langle c-\lambda a, x\rangle=\langle c, x\rangle-\lambda\langle a, x\rangle=p^{*}-\lambda<0$. This shows that $c-\lambda a$ does not belong to $K^{*}$.

Putting this together we see that $c-p^{*} a$ belongs to $K^{*}$ but $c-\left(p^{*}+\epsilon\right) a$ does not belong to $K^{*}$ for $\epsilon>0$. Therefore $c-p^{*} a$ is on the boundary of $K^{*}$
Corollary. If $g$ is a polynomial that vanishes on $\partial K^{*}$, then the optimal value $p^{*}$ is a root of the univariate polynomial $g(c-t a) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$.

Example. Consider the cone $K=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:(x+z)(x-z)^{2}-y^{2} z \geq 0, z \geq x, z \geq 0\right\}$ from above and the optimization problem

$$
p^{*}=\min a x+b y \text { such that }(x, y, z) \in K, z=1
$$

The polynomial $g=\left(4 a^{4}+13 a^{2} b^{2}+32 b^{4}-4 a^{3} c+18 a b^{2} c-27 b^{2} c^{2}\right) \cdot(a+c)$ vanishes on the boundary of the dual cone $K^{*}$, meaning that if $p^{*}$ is the optimal value given by the objective function $a x+b y$, then $g\left(a, b,-p^{*}\right)=0$. This lets us solve for $p^{*}$ in terms of $a$ and $b$ :

$$
p^{*} \in\left\{a, \frac{-2 a^{3}+9 a b^{2} \pm 2 \sqrt{\left(a^{2}+6 b^{2}\right)^{3}}}{27 b^{2}}\right\}
$$

To visualize this in the $c=1$ plane, we note that since $g$ is homogeneous, $g\left(a, b,-p^{*}\right)=0$ if and only if $g\left(\frac{-a}{p^{*}}, \frac{-b}{p^{*}}, 1\right)=0$ meaning that $-1 / p^{*}$ is a root of the univariate polynomial $g(t a, t b, 1) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$.

For example, for $(a, b)=(1,2)$, the minimal value of $a x+b y$ is $p^{*}=-71 / 27$. The line $1 x+2 y=-71 / 27$ is tangent to the boundary of $K$ in the plane $z=1$. Moreover, for $t=-71 / 27$, the point $(t, 2 t, 1)$ belongs to the algebraic boundary of $K^{*}$, shown below in the plane $c=1$.



By intersecting with an affine plane, we can visualize a three-dimensional cone via a two-dimensional convex set. Similarly, we can visualize a four-dimensional cone via a threedimensional set.

Example. Consider the cone

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{alg}} K=\left\{(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
t & x & y \\
x & t & z \\
y & z & t
\end{array}\right) \succeq 0\right\}
$$

The algebraic boundary of $K$ is given by the determinant of the defining $3 \times 3$ matrix

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{alg}} K=V\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
t & x & y \\
x & t & z \\
y & z & t
\end{array}\right)\right)=V\left(t^{3}-t\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+2 x y z\right)
$$

This hypersurface is singular alone the lines spanned by each of the four points $(x, y, z, t)=$ $(1,1,1,1),(1,-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$, and $(-1,-1,1,1)$. The algebraic boundary of the
dual cone is the dual hypersurface of $\partial_{\text {alg }} K$ along with the four hyperplanes dual to these lines:

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(K^{*}\right)=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{alg}} K\right)^{*} \cup \text { four hyperplanes }=V(g)
$$

where
$g=\left(a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}-2 a b c d\right)(a+b+c+d)(a-b-c+d)(-a+b-c+d)(-a-b+c+d)$.
The intersection of $K$ with the plane $t=1$ along with the variety of the quartic and one linear factor of $g$ in the plane $d=1$ is shown here:



Consider the minimization problem

$$
p^{*}=\min a x+b y+c z \text { such that }(x, y, z, 1) \in K .
$$

Despite the quartic factor of $g$, the roots of the polynomial $g(a, b, c,-t)$ are rational in $a, b, c$. Namely we find that

$$
p^{*} \in\left\{\frac{-a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2} c^{2}-b^{2} c^{2}}{2 a b c}, a+b+c, a-b-c,-a+b-c,-a-b+c\right\} .
$$

In general, one cannot hope for the optimal value to be a rational function of the input data.
An important case of this theory is a spectrahedral cone

$$
K=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \sum i=1^{d} y_{i} A_{i} \in \mathrm{PSD}_{n}\right\}
$$

defined by $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text {sym }}^{n \times n}$. Its dual cone is

$$
K^{*}=\left\{\left(\left\langle A_{i}, X\right\rangle\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d}: X \in \mathrm{PSD}_{n}\right\} .
$$

In the example above with $d=4, n=3$, we had that

$$
\left.K^{*}=\left(2 X_{12}, 2 X_{13}, 2 X_{23}, X_{11}+X_{22}+X_{33}\right): X \in \mathrm{PSD}_{3}\right\} .
$$

In this case, if $K$ has extreme rays of rank $r$, then we expect that the dual variety of the rank-r locus of $K$

$$
\left(\overline{(K \cap\{\operatorname{rank} \leq r\}}{ }^{Z a r}\right)^{*}
$$

will appear as a component of the algebraic boundary of $K^{*}$. For generic choices of $A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text {sym }}^{d \times d}$, the degrees of the hypersurfaces (which depend on $d, n$, and $r$ are given by the algebraic degree of semidefinite programming.

