Exponentials, Trig Functions, and Complex Power Series

A series $\sum c_k$ of complex numbers $c_k = a_k + ib_k$ ($a_k$ and $b_k$ real) is said to converge if the corresponding series of real and imaginary parts, $\sum a_k$ and $\sum b_k$, both converge. In this case the sum of the series is the obvious thing:

$$c_k = a_k + ib_k \implies \sum c_k = \sum a_k + i \sum b_k.$$

Recall that the absolute value of a complex number $c = a + ib$ is defined to be $|c| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, i.e., the distance from $c$ to the origin in the complex plane. Since $|a| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and $|b| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, we see that

$$\sum |c_k| \text{ converges} \implies \sum |a_k| \text{ and } \sum |b_k| \text{ converge} \implies \sum a_k \text{ and } \sum b_k \text{ converge} \implies \sum c_k \text{ converges.}$$

Thus the fact that an absolutely convergent series converges continues to hold for complex series.

In particular, the series $\sum_0^\infty z^n/n!$ converges absolutely for any complex number $z$, by the ratio test (since $|z^n| = |z|^n$). This series equals $e^z$ when $z$ is real, and we use it to define $e^z$ for $z$ complex:

$$e^x = \sum_0^\infty \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (1)$$

The main step in dispelling the mystery of this complex exponential function is showing that it still obeys the basic law of exponents.

**Proposition.** For any complex numbers $z$ and $w$,

$$e^z e^w = e^{z+w}. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** We have

$$e^z e^w = \left( \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{z^j}{j!} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{w^k}{k!} \right) = \sum_{j,k=0}^\infty \frac{z^j w^k}{j! k!}.$$

We sum the double series on the right by first adding up the terms where $j + k$ is a fixed number $n$ (that is, $j$ runs from 0 to $n$ and $k = n - j$), and then summing over all possible $n$ (that is, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$):

$$e^z e^w = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{z^j w^{n-j}}{j!(n-j)!} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{n!}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{z^j w^{n-j}}{j!(n-j)!}.$$
By the binomial theorem, the sum over \( j \) gives \((z + w)^n\), so

\[
e^z e^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z + w)^n}{n!} = e^{z+w}.
\]

(Actually, these manipulations with double series need some justification. I can give you a reference for the full proof if you’re interested.)

Now, if \( z = x + iy \), by (2) we have \( e^z = e^x e^{iy} \). We know what \( e^z \) is; what about \( e^{iy} \)? Well since

\[
i^2 = -1, \ i^3 = -i, \ i^4 = 1, \ldots, \ i^{4n} = 1, \ i^{4n+1} = i, \ i^{4n+2} = -1, \ i^{4n+3} = -i, \ldots,
\]

from (1) we obtain

\[
e^{iy} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^k y^k}{k!} = \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{2!} + \frac{y^4}{4!} - \cdots\right) + i \left(y - \frac{y^3}{3!} + \frac{y^5}{5!} - \cdots\right),
\]

or in other words,

\[
e^{iy} = \cos y + i \sin y. \quad (3)
\]

This marvelous formula, due to Euler, reveals the deep connection between exponential and trigonometric functions.

Replacing \( y \) by \(-y\), we see that

\[
e^{-iy} = \cos(-y) + i \sin(-y) = \cos y - i \sin y. \quad (4)
\]

Adding and subtracting (3) and (4), we obtain formulas for the trig functions in terms of exponentials:

\[
\cos y = \frac{e^{iy} + e^{-iy}}{2}, \quad \sin y = \frac{e^{iy} - e^{-iy}}{2i}. \quad (5)
\]

These equations explain the formal similarity between trig and hyperbolic functions:

\[
cosh(iy) = \cos y, \quad \sinh(iy) = i \sin y.
\]

They also lead to an easy derivation of the addition formulas for sine and cosine:

\[
\cos(a \pm b) = (\cos a)(\cos b) \mp (\sin a)(\sin b), \\
\sin(a \pm b) = (\sin a)(\cos b) \pm (\cos a)(\sin b). \quad (6)
\]

Namely, use (5) to express the factors on the right in terms of \( e^{\pm ia} \) and \( e^{\pm ib} \), multiply out according to (2), and simplify to obtain the expressions on the left.
**Trig Functions Done Right:** The high-school definitions of sine and cosine are unacceptably vague because they involve measuring of an angle without giving a precise algorithm for doing so. We are now in a position to remedy this defect. Namely, we take the Taylor expansions

\[
\cos x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad \sin x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!},
\]

or equivalently the formulas (5), as a definition of sine and cosine. This leads immediately to the differential formulas

\[
\cos' = -\sin, \quad \sin' = \cos
\]

and also to the addition formulas (6), as explained above. From these identities, all the other properties of trig functions are easy to derive, for example,

\[
\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x = \cos(x - x) = \cos 0 = 1.
\]

The one thing that is not so obvious is the connections of \(\cos\) and \(\sin\) with the number \(\pi\), and in particular their periodicity properties. These can be derived as follows. First, observe that the series \(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k 2^{2k}/(2k)!\) for \(\cos 2\) is an alternating series whose terms decrease in size beginning with \(k = 1\); so by the alternating series test,

\[
\cos 2 = 1 - \frac{2^2}{2!} = -1 \text{ with error less than } \frac{2^4}{4!} = \frac{2}{3},
\]

and in particular \(\cos 2 < 0\). Since \(\cos 0 = 1 > 0\), by the intermediate value theorem there is at least one number \(a \in (0, 2)\) such that \(\cos a = 0\). Call the smallest such number [of course it turns out that there is only one] \(\frac{1}{2} \pi\). (This is to be taken as a definition of \(\pi\), from which the usual one as the ratio of the circumference to the diameter of a circle can then be derived by calculus.) Now \(\cos x > 0\) for \(x \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \pi)\), so by (8) \(\sin x\) is increasing for \(x \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \pi)\). Also \(\sin 0 = 0\), so \(\sin(\frac{1}{2} \pi) > 0\), and by (9), \(\sin^2(\frac{1}{2} \pi) = 1 - \cos^2(\frac{1}{2} \pi) = 1\). Conclusion: \(\sin(\frac{1}{2} \pi) = 1\).

Now use the addition formulas:

\[
\cos(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = (\cos x)(\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi) - (\sin x)(\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi) = 0 \cdot \cos x - 1 \cdot \sin x = -\sin x,
\]

\[
\sin(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = (\sin x)(\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi) + (\cos x)(\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi) = 0 \cdot \sin x + 1 \cdot \cos x = \cos x.
\]

Iterating these identities gives

\[
\cos(x + \pi) = \cos(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = -\sin(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = -\cos x,
\]

\[
\sin(x + \pi) = \sin(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = \cos(x + \frac{1}{2} \pi) = -\sin x,
\]
and hence
\[ \cos(x + 2\pi) = \cos(x + \pi + \pi) = \cos x, \quad \sin(x + 2\pi) = \sin(x + \pi + \pi) = \sin x. \]

**Logarithms and Powers of Complex Numbers:** If \( z \) is a nonzero complex number, a *logarithm* of \( z \) is a complex number \( w \) such that \( e^w = z \). Logarithms can easily be found by writing \( z = x + iy \) in polar coordinates \( (x = r \cos \theta, \ y = r \sin \theta, \text{where} \ r = |z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \):

\[ z = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) = re^{i\theta} = e^{\log r + i\theta}, \]

so \( \log r + i\theta \) is a logarithm of \( z \). We say a logarithm rather than the logarithm because the angle \( \theta \) is only determined up to multiples of \( 2\pi \), so each \( z \) has infinitely many logarithms. If we fix a logarithm of \( z \), call it \( \log z \), we can then define complex powers of \( z \) by

\[ z^a = e^{a \log z}, \]

the quantity on the right being defined by (1). Different choices of \( \log z \) will usually yield different answers. If \( a \) is an integer there is no ambiguity; if \( a = p/q \) with \( p, q \) integers then there are \( q \) possibilities (each nonzero complex number has \( q \) distinct \( q \)th roots); and if \( a \) is irrational there are infinitely many. But how to sort this all out sensibly is a subject for another course . . .

**De Moivre’s formula:** Let

\[ z = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) = re^{i\theta} \]

where \( r = |z| \) then

\[ z^n = r^n e^{in\theta} = r^n (\cos n\theta + \sin n\theta). \]