MATH 409 WEEK FOUR EXERCISES

Solution to Exercise 1, Lecture 10.



Beginning at a, we find that l(c) = 1 and l(b) = 2. The algorithm stops here, despite the fact that the shortest path to from a to c is abc with cost 0.

Solution to Exercise 4, Lecture 10. There are many ways to solve this problem, and we will describe one possibility. We assume that we are given a directed graph G where edges represent streets and vertices represent intersections. Suppose that for some vertex $v_i \in V(G)$, we have some restrictions on the legal paths. Then we do the following: initialize $\overline{G} := G \setminus \{v_i\}$, then

- (i) for each edge $e = xv_i \in E(G)$ with target v_i and cost c_e , adjoin a new vertex u_e to \overline{G} and add the edge $\alpha_e := xu_e$ to \overline{G} with the same cost c_e .
- (ii) for each edge $f = v_i y \in E(G)$ with source v_i and cost c_f , adjoin a new vertex w_e to \overline{G} and add the edge $\gamma_f := w_e y$ to \overline{G} with the same cost c_f .
- (iii) if ef represents a legal path in G through v_i , then adjoin an edge $\beta_{ef} := u_e w_f$ to \overline{G} with 0 cost.

By construction, every legal path ef in G through v_i corresponds bijectively with a path $\alpha_e \beta_{ef} \gamma_f$ in \overline{G} , and the cost of the new path is the same as the old.

Solution to Exercise 4, Lecture 12. The following is a table with the l(v)'s values and p(v)'s vertices throughout the algorithm. The node added to R in each iteration is in boldface. Observe that once a vertex is added to R its l value does not change, neither does its parent vertex; so once a vertex enters R I will stop writing this values for that vertex on the following iterations.

v	$l(\cdot)p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$ p	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)$	$p(\cdot)$	$l(\cdot)p(\cdot)$	J
r	0																
a	∞	2	r														
b	∞	5	r	5	r												
d	∞	∞		10	a	10	a	10	a	9	k						
f	∞	∞		6	a	6	a										
g	∞	∞		∞		∞		9	\mathbf{f}	9	f	9	\mathbf{f}				
h	∞	∞		∞		∞		∞		16	k	14	d	13	g	13 g	
j	∞	∞		∞		∞		13	f	13	f	13	f	12	g		
k	∞	7	r	7	r	7	r	7	r								

Solution to Exercise 3, Lecture 11.

v	Pass 1	Pass 2
r	$(0, \emptyset) \mapsto (0, \emptyset)$	$(0, \emptyset) \mapsto (0, \emptyset)$
a	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (2, r)$	$(2,r)\mapsto(2,r)$
b	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (5, r)$	$(5,r)\mapsto(5,r)$
d	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (10, a) \mapsto (9, k)$	$(9,k)\mapsto(9,k)$
f	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (6, a)$	$(6,a)\mapsto(6,a)$
g	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (9, f)$	$(9,f)\mapsto(9,f)$
h	$(\infty, \emptyset) \mapsto (15, d) \mapsto (13, g)$	$(13,g)\mapsto(13,g)$
j	$(\infty \emptyset) \mapsto (13, f) \mapsto (12, g)$	$(12,g)\mapsto(12,g)$
k	$(\infty \emptyset) \mapsto (7, r)$	$(7,r)\mapsto(7,r)$

Since there is no change during the second pass, there will not be any changes for the other iterations. So the output is identical to the output at the second pass, and we have found the shortest paths. \Box

Solution to Exercise 6, Lecture 12. Recall that Farkas lemma says that $P \iff Q$, where

P: There exists x such that $Ax \leq b$;

Q: For all $y \ge 0$, $yA = 0 \implies yb \ge 0$.

It is useful to record the negation of these statements:

 $\neg P$: For all x, Ax > b;

 $\neg Q$: There exists $y \ge 0$, such that yA = 0 and yb < 0.

Since we know $P \iff Q$, we also know $\neg P \iff \neg Q$. Recall that the statement P' or Q' is equivalent to $\neg P' \implies Q'$. This is what we will prove below.

(i) The statement, there exists x such that Ax = b, is equivalent to the statement, there exists x such that $Ax \leq b$ and $Ax \geq b$; this latter condition is the same as

P': there exists x such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ -A \end{pmatrix} x \le \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -b \end{pmatrix}.$$

MATH 409

We need to prove that $\neg P' \implies \neg Q$. By Farkas' lemma, $\neg P'$ implies that there exists $y' := (y_1 y_2) \ge 0$ such that

$$y'\begin{pmatrix}A\\-A\end{pmatrix} = 0$$
 and $y'\begin{pmatrix}b\\-b\end{pmatrix} < 0$

Define $y := y_1 - y_2$, so we have that

$$yA = 0$$
 and $yb < 0$,

which verifies $\neg Q$.

(ii) Let P' be the statement there exists $x \ge 0$ such that $Ax \le b$. This is equivalent to the statement, there exists x such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ -I \end{pmatrix} x \le \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I is the identity matrix. By Farkas' lemma, $\neg P' \implies \neg Q'$, so we see that there exists $y := (y_1, y_2) \ge 0$ such that

$$(y_1 y_2) \begin{pmatrix} A \\ -I \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
 and $(y_1 y_2) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < 0$

Equivalently, $y_1 A = y_2 \ge 0$ and $y_1 b < 0$.

(iii) Let P' be the statement there exists $x \ge 0$ such that Ax = b. Combining the strategies of the last two parts, we see that this is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ -A \\ -I \end{pmatrix} x \le \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

thus, by Farkas lemma, $\neg P'$ implies that there exists $(y_1 y_2 y_3) \ge 0$ such that

$$(y_1 y_2 y_3) \begin{pmatrix} A \\ -A \\ -I \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
 and $(y_1 y_2 y_3) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < 0.$

Equivalently, if $y := y_1 - y_2$, then $yA = y_3 \ge 0$, and yb < 0. \Box