

①

Math 408 Review

① Modeling problems as NLPs: examples from §1, examples done in class.

② Fundamentals: (§2.1)

- functions in n variables: graphs, level sets,
- equations and inequalities: - drawing feasible regions
- matrices, operations with matrices - $+$, $\cdot$, inverse, transpose, scalar multⁿ.
- vectors - dot products, norm, properties of norm (pp. 7)

③ Vocabulary of NLPs (§2.2)

standard form, cost function, constraints, feasible region, feasible solution, optima, local & global optima, unconstrained NLP, linear program, quadratic program

④ Solving NLPs in 1 and 2 variables (§2.3, §2.4)

(Look at all problems worked out in the notes and in the exercises)

⑤ Local & Global Optima

- continuity of functions (Defn in terms of converging sequences)
- differentiability of functions
- gradient $\nabla f(x)$
- Hessian $Hf(x)$

Chain rule

$$\frac{df(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \frac{dx_n(t)}{dt}$$

Lemma 3.1.1 $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x^*, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$\phi(t) := f(x^* + tw) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ conts on $\mathbb{R}^n \forall i$, then $\phi'(t) = \nabla f(x^* + tw)^T w \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

If $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ conts on $\mathbb{R}^n \forall i, j$ then $\phi''(t) = w^T Hf(x^* + tw) w \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

Lemma 3.1.2 1st & 2nd order Taylor formula (proof uses Lemma 3.1.1)

Assume 1st & 2nd partials of $f(x) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(y)^T (x - x^*) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x^*)^T Hf(z) (x - x^*)$

(proof uses Lemma 3.1.1)

$y \in [x, x^*]$ $z \in [x, x^*]$

(2)

- closed set (if a sequence $\{x^{(k)}\}$ in the set has a limit pt, the limit pt is also in the set)

Lemma 3.1.3 $g_1, \dots, g_\ell, h_1, \dots, h_m$ conts $\Rightarrow D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(x) \leq 0, h_i(x) = 0\}$ is closed.

- bounded set

Thm 3.2.1 defined:

④ Existence of Global Optima: If f is continuous everywhere on a non-empty, closed, bounded set D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then $\exists x^* \in D$ s.t. $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \quad \forall x \in D$.

(Bolzano-Weierstrass: $D \neq \emptyset$, closed, bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ & $\{x^{(k)}\} \subset D$ then $\exists$ a subsequence that converges in D)

Examples that show you cannot drop any of the assumptions.

Corollary 3.2.1 f, g_i, h_i conts. If ① $D \neq \emptyset$ and ② either D is bounded or f is coercive then NLP has a global opt.

How to check whether f is coercive.

(Why is Cor 3.2.1 a corollary of Thm 3.2.1?)

⑤ Local Optima

pd and psd matrices and all the checks for them.

Thm 3.3.2 (Unconstrained NLP: $\min f(x)$)

a) x^* local opt $\Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0$ and $Hf(x^*)$ psd

b) $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ and $Hf(x^*)$ pd $\Rightarrow x^*$ local opt

(Proof uses Taylor's formula)

Algorithm: To find local optima in the unconstrained case,

solve for $\nabla f(x) = 0$. For each solⁿ y check $Hf(y)$. If $Hf(y)$ pd then y local opt. If $Hf(y)$ not psd

(3)

then y not local opt. If $Hf(y)$ is psd but not pd need further checks.

Thm 3.4.1 (KKT Theorem) (constrained case) Assume 1st, 2nd partials of $f, g_1, \dots, g_l, h_1, \dots, h_m$ are defined & conts on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any feasible solⁿ $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $I(x) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, l\} : g_i(x) = 0\}$

(a) If x^* local opt s.t. $\{\nabla g_i(x^*) \mid i \in I(x^*), \nabla h_i(x^*) \mid i=1, \dots, m\}$ are linearly independent then $\exists$ #s $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_l^*, \mu_1^*, \dots, \mu_m^*$ s.t.

$$(KKT) \quad \nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^* \nabla g_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$$

$$\lambda_i^* \geq 0 \quad \lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, l$$

* and $w^T M w \geq 0 \quad \forall w$ s.t. $\begin{cases} \nabla g_i(x^*)^T w = 0 & i \in I(x^*) \\ \nabla h_i(x^*)^T w = 0 & i=1, \dots, m \end{cases}$

$$\text{where } M = Hf(x^*) + \sum \lambda_i^* Hg_i(x^*) + \sum \mu_i^* Hh_i(x^*)$$

(b) If x^* feasible & $\exists \lambda_i^*, \mu_i^*$ satisfying (KKT) and M pd then x^* is a local opt.

Algorithm: Solve for KKT condⁿ & feasibility. For each solⁿ $x^*, \lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_l^*, \mu_1^*, \dots, \mu_m^*$ check if $M \succ 0$.

If yes then x^* local opt. If M not pd but LI condⁿ of gradients hold then check if * holds.

If no, then x^* not local opt. If yes then need more checks.

⑥ Global Optima

- convex functions
- Different properties of convex functions
- Thm 3.5.1

(a) If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ conts on $\mathbb{R}^n$, f convex $\Leftrightarrow f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) \leq f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(b) If $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ conts on $\mathbb{R}^n$, f convex $\Leftrightarrow Hf(x)$ psd $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(4)

Thm 3.5.2 f, g_i convex with 1st partials conts on $\mathbb{R}^n$
 h_i 's are affine $\Rightarrow$ for any feasible solⁿ $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 that satisfies KKT for some λ^*, μ_i^* , x^* is global opt.

(Includes all of LPs)

Chapter 4: How to find feasible solutions that satisfy KKT

4.1 Unconstrained problems

Idea Generate $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots$ st ① $f(x^{(k+1)}) < f(x^{(k)})$

② If $x^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^{(k)}$ then $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t_k d^{(k)}$$

$$\text{Let } \phi_k(t) = f(x^{(k)} + t d^{(k)})$$

Choose t_k : ① Take t_k to be global minimizer of $\phi_k(t)$ (1-var problem)

② Armijo's rule: Assume $\phi'_k(0) < 0$ Choose t_k to be largest $t \in \{1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, \dots\}$ st $\phi_k(t) - \phi_k(0) \leq \frac{t}{1} \phi'_k(0)$

Choosing $d^{(k)}$ Always need $\nabla f(x^{(k)})^T d^{(k)} < 0$

① Cauchy's steepest descent: $d^{(k)} := -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$

② modified Newton direction: Choose $P^{(k)} \succ 0$

$$d^{(k)} = -(P^{(k)})^{-1} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$$

eg. $P^{(k)} = I_n$

• $P^{(k)} = Hf(x^{(k)})$ if $Hf(x^{(k)}) \succ 0$

• $P^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ & & \\ & & \max\{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}(x^{(k)}), 1\} \\ & & \\ 0 & & \end{bmatrix}$ if $Hf(x^{(k)}) \not\succ 0$ & n large

• $P^{(k)} = Hf(x^{(k)}) + \gamma I_n$ if $Hf(x^{(k)}) \not\succ 0$ & n not large
 where $\gamma = \max\{0, 1 - \min \text{eigenval of } Hf(x^{(k)})\}$

③ Quasi-newton

Proof that you achieve your initial goals with these choices of t_k and $d^{(k)}$

4.2 Methods for the constrained problems ① Penalty

② Lagrange ③ Feasible descent