

Solutions

Q. 30

(i) Suppose that A, B, C are modules over R .

For any $z \in B$, $p(z) \in C$.

So there are $y_i \in Y$, $r_i \in R$ s.t.

$$p(z) = \sum r_i y_i$$

$$\text{Now } p(z - \sum r_i y_i) = p(z) - \sum r_i y_i = 0.$$

Hence $z - \sum r_i y_i \in \ker p = \text{Im } i$.

Since $\text{Im } i$ is generated by $i(X)$.

We must have $z - \sum r_i y_i = \sum S_j i(x_j)$ for
some $S_j \in R$, $x_j \in X$.

$$\text{ie } z = \sum r_i y_i + \sum S_j i(x_j)$$

This proves the claim.

(ii) This is a direct consequence of (i).

6.32 Proof:

" \Leftarrow " We have to construct a R -module map

$$j: C \rightarrow B \quad \text{s.t.} \quad pj = \text{id}_C.$$

For any $x \in C$, choose $x' \in B$ s.t. $p(x') = x$.

$$\text{Define } j(x) = x' - i\zeta(x').$$

(1) j is well-defined

i.e. we need to show if $p(x') = 0$,
then $x' - i\zeta(x') = 0$.

Notice that ζ is injective, and

$$\zeta(x' - i\zeta(x')) = \zeta(x') - \zeta(i\zeta(x')) = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } x' - i\zeta(x') = 0.$$

(2) j is an R -module map.

This is clear from definition.

(3) $pj = \text{id}_C$.

$$pj(x) = p(x' - i\zeta(x')) = p(x') = x.$$

" \Rightarrow "

Now we have to construct $\zeta: B \rightarrow A$ with

$$\zeta i = \text{id}_A \quad \text{For any } x \in B$$

$$\text{Define } \zeta(x) = i^{-1}(x - jp(x)).$$

This is well-defined because $x - jp(x) \in \ker p = \text{Im } i$

(1) ζ is an R -module map

This is clear.

(2) For any $y \in A$,

$$\zeta i(y) = i^{-1}(i(y) - jp(i(y))) = i^{-1}(i(y)) = y.$$

Problem

$$A \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} V_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Induction on n .

exact sequence

If $n \leq 3$, we set a short

In this case, the result follows by the basic fact that

$$\dim V_2 = \dim(\text{Im } f_1) + \dim(\text{ker } f_1) = \dim V_3 + \dim V_1$$

Now assume $n > 3$.

Notice that $\text{ker } f_{n+1} = \text{Im } f_{n-2}$ by the exactness.

So we have two exact sequences

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \text{Im } f_{n-2} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{ker } f_{n+1} \rightarrow V_{n+1} \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow 0$$

By induction hypothesis,

$$(1) \quad \text{sives} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^i \dim V_i + (-1)^{n-1} \dim(\text{Im } f_{n-2}) = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \text{sives} \quad \dim(\text{ker } f_{n+1}) = \dim V_{n+1} - \dim V_n$$

Combine them together we set

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \dim V_i = 0.$$

Lemma (b)
 Let I be an ideal of $k[x]$. WLOG, we assume $I \neq 0$.
 Pick $f(x) \in I$ with smallest degree. Since $f(x)$ is a scalar, $I = R$.
 If $\deg f(x) = 0$, then $I = R$.
 WLOG, assume $\deg f(x) \geq 1$.

For any $g(x) \in I$, $\exists h(x), r(x) \in k[x]$ such that
 $g(x) = h(x)f(x) + r(x)$ with $\deg r(x) < \deg f(x)$ or $r(x) = 0$.

Now $r(x) = g(x) - h(x)f(x) \in I$.
 Hence by the choice of $f(x)$, we must have $r(x) = 0$.
 (otherwise $r(x)$ will be a non-zero element in I with degree strictly smaller than $f(x)$).

This shows I is generated by $f(x)$.