

Math 504, Fall 2013

HW 5

1. Let G be a group and N a normal subgroup. Show that G is solvable if and only if N and G/N are solvable.

(\Leftarrow) Let G be a group and $H \triangleleft G$. Suppose that H and G/H are solvable. Then we can build a solvable series for G in the following manner.

Consider a solvable series $1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_i \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H$ for H , and a solvable series $1 \triangleleft K_i \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G/H$ for G/H . Let $\phi: G \rightarrow G/H$ be the quotient map. Then ϕ defines a bijection

$$\{\text{subgroups of } G \text{ containing } H\} \iff \{\text{subgroups of } G/H\}$$

Moreover, by the Third Isomorphism Theorem we know that $\phi^{-1}(K_{i+1})/\phi^{-1}(K_i) \cong K_{i+1}/K_i$. It follows that the quotients of consecutive groups in the series are unchanged under ϕ^{-1} . Thus

$$1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_i \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \phi^{-1}(K_i) \cdots \triangleleft G$$

is a solvable series for G .

(\Rightarrow) Suppose G is solvable and $H \triangleleft G$. If $1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_i \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G$ is a solvable series for G , then consider the groups $H_i = H \cap G_i$. We claim that $1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_i \cdots \triangleleft H$ is a solvable series for G . First, we need to verify that $H_i \triangleleft H_{i+1}$.

Let $q: G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_{i+1}/G_i$ be the projection. Then $q|_N: H_{i+1} \rightarrow G_{i+1}/G_i$ has kernel H_i . Thus $H_i \triangleleft H_{i+1}$. By the subgroup correspondence used in the previous part, it follows that H_{i+1}/H_i is a subgroup of G_{i+1}/G_i , which is abelian. So H_{i+1}/H_i is abelian, and thus the H_i form a solvable series for H .

Now we construct a solvable series for G/H . Use the same map $\phi: G \rightarrow G/H$ from the last part. We claim that

$$1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \phi(G_i) \cdots \triangleleft \phi(G)$$

is a solvable series for G/H (obviously since ϕ is surjective, $\phi(G) = G/H$). Let $K_i = \phi(G_i)$. By the subgroup correspondence, $K_i \triangleleft K_{i+1}$.

Moreover, K_{i+1}/K_i is abelian. Indeed, consider the map

$$\rho: G_{i+1} \rightarrow K_{i+1}/K_i$$

given by applying ϕ followed by applying the quotient map. Then $\rho(G_i) = 1$, so ρ passes to the quotient over G_{i+1}/G_i . Thus there is a surjective map $\tilde{\rho}: G_{i+1}/G_i \rightarrow K_{i+1}/K_i$. Since G_{i+1}/G_i is abelian, it follows that K_{i+1}/K_i is also abelian. Hence the K_i form a solvable series for G/H . ■

2. Compute the Galois group of $x^6 - 2x^3 - 1$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Define $f(x) = x^6 - 2x^3 - 1$.

Let $y = x^3$. It's clear that $f(x) = g(y) = y^2 - 2y - 1$, so:

$$f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{8}}{2} = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$$

Let $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt{2}}$, $\beta = \sqrt[3]{1 - \sqrt{2}}$, $\omega = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$. Then clearly, $f(x)$ splits as:

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \omega\alpha)(x - \omega^2\alpha)(x - \beta)(x - \omega\beta)(x - \omega^2\beta)$$

Also, compute that $\alpha\beta = \sqrt[3]{(1 + \sqrt{2})(1 - \sqrt{2})} = \sqrt[3]{1 - 2} = \sqrt[3]{-1} = -1$, so $\alpha = -\beta^{-1}$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, and consequently, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \omega)$ is a splitting field for f over \mathbb{Q} . Note that K is the smallest such field: obviously $\alpha, \omega \in K$ because α is a root and ω is a quotient of roots. We know $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, because $\alpha^3 - 1 = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and we know $\omega \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ because $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a real extension. Consequently, we need exactly those two elements to generate K over \mathbb{Q} .

Next, note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, so

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})].$$

Note that in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]$:

$$f(x) = (x^3 - \sqrt{2} - 1)(x^3 + \sqrt{2} - 1)$$

I claim that those factors are irreducible.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\sqrt{2})^3 &= a^3 + 3a^2b\sqrt{2} + 6ab^2 + 2\sqrt{2}b^3 \\ &= (a^3 + 6ab^2) + \sqrt{2}(3a^2b + 2b^3) \\ &= 1 \pm \sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

Then $a^3 + 6ab^2 = a(a^2 + 6b^2) = 1$. Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a is a unit, $a = \pm 1$. But since $a^2 + 6b^2 = 1 + 6b^2$ is also a unit, $b = 0$, which forces $a = 1$ because $a(a^2 + 6b^2) = a^3 = 1$. But $1^3 \neq 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$; thus, there do not exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $(a + b\sqrt{2})^3 = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$, or equivalently, $f(x)$ does not have a root in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Since f is a product of cubics, neither of which has a root, in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, those cubics remain irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a UFD and $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is its field of fractions, the cubic factors of f are still irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$. As a result, $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 3$, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. To get the full splitting field, we need to adjoin ω , which is quadratic over any real field. Thus, $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \omega) : \mathbb{Q}] = 12$, which means we are looking for a group of order 12. Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$, f is irreducible, so the group will be a transitive subgroup of S_6 . There are only two such groups: D_{12} and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, and since $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a non normal extension, G cannot be abelian, hence G is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{12} . ■

3. Solve the equation $x^6 - x^5 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 - x + 1 = 0$ by radicals. Hint: Think about $t = x + x^{-1}$.

Start by computing that:

$$(x + 1)f(x) = x^7 + 1$$

Thus, the roots of $f(x)$ are primitive 7th roots of -1 , i.e. they are equal to $e^{\frac{\pi i}{7}}$, where $i = 1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13$.

Let $t = x + x^{-1}$, and consider $x^{-3}f(x) = x^3 - x^2 + x - 1 + x^{-1} - x^{-2} + x^{-3}$. Since:

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 &= x^2 + 2 + x^{-2} \\ t^3 &= x^3 + 3x + 3x^{-1} + x^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

we have that:

$$x^{-3}f(x) = t^3 - t^2 - 2t + 1 := \tilde{f}(t)$$

Next, compute that:

$$\tilde{f}\left(t + \frac{1}{3}\right) = t^3 - \frac{7}{3}t + \frac{7}{27} := g(t)$$

I will solve $g(t)$ and use that to solve $f(x)$.

To solve a depressed cubic, we begin by solving:

$$\begin{cases} 3st &= -\frac{7}{3} \\ s^3 - t^3 &= -\frac{7}{27} \end{cases}$$

Then, plugging $s - t$ into the cubic will produce 0, because:

$$(s - t)^3 + 3st(s - t) - (s^3 - t^3) = s^3 - 3s^2t + 3st^3 - t^3 + 3s^2t - 3st^3 - s^3 + t^3 = 0$$

Also, if $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega s - \omega^2 t) &= (\omega s - \omega^2 t)^3 + 3(\omega s)(\omega^2 t)(\omega s - \omega^2 t) - ((\omega s)^3 - (\omega^2 t)^3) \\ &= -3(\omega^2 s^2)(\omega^2 t) + 3(\omega s)(\omega^4 t^2) + 3s^2 t \omega - 3\omega^2 s t^3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega^2 s - \omega t) &= (\omega^2 s - \omega t)^3 + 3(\omega^2 s)(\omega t)(\omega^2 s - \omega t) - ((\omega^2 s)^3 - (\omega t)^3) \\ &= -3(\omega^4 s^2)(\omega t) + 3(\omega^2 s)(\omega^2 t^2) + 3s^2 t \omega^2 - 3\omega s t^3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, once we have s, t , we have all 3 roots.

Now:

$$3st = -\frac{7}{3} \implies s = \frac{-7}{9t} \implies -\frac{7}{27} = \left(\frac{-7}{9t}\right)^3 - t^3 = \frac{-7^3}{3^6 t^3} - t^3$$

Rearranging:

$$t^3 - \frac{7}{3^3} + \frac{7^3}{3^6 t^3} = 0 = t^6 - \frac{7}{3^3} t^3 + \frac{7^3}{3^6}$$

Solving the quadratic:

$$t^3 = \frac{\frac{7}{3^3} \pm \sqrt{\frac{7^2}{3^6} - \frac{2 \cdot 7^3}{3^6}}}{2} = \frac{7 \pm 7\sqrt{1-28}}{2 \cdot 3^3} = \frac{7 \pm 21i\sqrt{3}}{54}$$

From that, we can directly obtain s^3 :

$$s^3 = t^3 - \frac{-7}{27} = \frac{7 \pm 21i\sqrt{3}}{54} - \frac{7}{27} = \frac{-7 \pm 21i\sqrt{3}}{54}$$

Replace the \pm by a $+$ in both s^3, t^3 from now on.

By the work I've already done, we know the roots of g , and from those, we get that the roots of \tilde{f} are:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{-7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - 1 \right) \\ \rho_2 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\omega \sqrt[3]{\frac{-7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - \omega^2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - 1 \right) \\ \rho_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\omega^2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{-7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - \omega \sqrt[3]{\frac{7 + 21i\sqrt{3}}{2}} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let ρ denote any one of the ρ_i . To obtain the roots of f , we need to solve $x + x^{-1} = \rho$ for each of the three ρ 's; this will give us the 6 roots of f . Therefore an expression for them by radicals is

$$\frac{\rho_i \pm \sqrt{\rho_i^2 - 4}}{2} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

■

4. Let k be a subfield of \mathbb{C} and let $K = k(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\alpha^2 = a \in k$ and $\beta^2 = b \in k$ and none of a, b , or ab , is a square in k . Prove that $\text{Gal}(K/k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let k be a subfield of \mathbb{C} and let $K = k(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\alpha^2 = a \in k$ and $\beta^2 = b \in k$ and none of a, b , or ab is a square in k . Since K is the splitting field of the polynomials $x^2 - a$ and $x^2 - b$, it is a normal extension. Since each of α and β are separable, K is a separable extension. Thus, K/k is Galois.

Firstly, $k(\alpha)/k$ is a Galois extension of degree 2 as the splitting field of the irreducible polynomial $x^2 - a$ over k . Suppose that $\beta \in k(\alpha)$, so that $\beta = q + r\alpha$ for some $q, r \in$

k . Squaring both sides, we get that $\beta^2 = q^2 + 2qr\alpha + r^2\alpha^2$ and equivalently, $b = q^2 + 2qr\alpha + r^2\alpha$. We must have that either q or r is zero; otherwise, this lets us express α as a combination of the elements a, b, q, r in k . Since $\beta \notin k$, we must have $q = 0$ and that $b = r^2\alpha$. Then $ab = r^2a^2$, but this contradicts our assumption that ab is not a square in k . Hence, $\beta \notin k(\alpha)$.

Now we see that K is a degree 2 extension of $k(\alpha)$ as the splitting field of $x^2 - b$, so $[K : k] = [K : k(\alpha)][k(\alpha) : k] = 4$. Then $|\text{Gal}(K/k)| = 4$, so our options for the Galois group are \mathbb{Z}_4 or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We have two distinct intermediate subfields $k(\alpha)$ and $k(\beta)$ lying between k and K (we've already shown that $\beta \notin k(\alpha)$, and the same argument works in the reverse direction). This means that there is a distinct non-trivial subgroup of the Galois group that fixes each of these fields, i.e., there are (at least) two distinct subgroups in the Galois group. The only option is then that $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, as \mathbb{Z}_4 has only one non-trivial subgroup. ■

5. Let $f \in k[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial where k is a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Suppose f has at least one root that is expressible in radicals. Show that all roots of f can be written in terms of radicals.

Let K be a splitting field for f over k , and let $\alpha \in K$ be a root of f that is expressible in radicals. This means $k(\alpha)$ is a radical extension of k .

But, because f is irreducible, the Galois group $G := \text{Gal}(K/k)$ acts transitively on the roots of f . Thus, for any root β of f , there exists an automorphism $\tau \in G$ such that $\tau(\alpha) = \beta$. But then, as τ is k -linear ring automorphism, we also have

$$\tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \alpha^i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\tau(\alpha))^i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\beta)^i \in k(\beta)$$

This is well-defined since α, β have the same minimal polynomial f , as f is irreducible. Therefore, τ maps $k(\alpha)$ into $k(\beta)$. Let $\sigma = \tau|_{k(\alpha)}: k(\alpha) \rightarrow k(\beta)$ be the restriction. And, since σ is nonzero an automorphism of a field, $\ker(\sigma) = \{0\}$, and it is injective. Furthermore, by the above, σ is clearly surjective, since all linear combinations of powers of β are hit by σ . Thus, σ is a field isomorphism, and we conclude that

$$k(\alpha) \cong k(\beta).$$

Now, as $k(\alpha)$ is a radical extension, we can write

$$k = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset \cdots \subset k_r = k(\alpha)$$

for subfields k_i , where k_{i+1}/k_i is a cyclic extension, or $k_{i+1} = k_i(\sqrt[n_i]{a_i})$ for some $a_i \in k_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, mapping everything by the isomorphism σ , we get a radical tower

$$k = k'_0 \subset k'_1 \subset \cdots \subset k'_r = k(\beta)$$

for subfields $k'_i = \sigma(k_i)$, where again k'_{i+1}/k'_i is a cyclic extension, or $k'_{i+1} = k'_i(\sqrt[n_i]{a_i})$ for some $a_i \in k'_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $k(\beta)$ is a radical extension as well. It follows that β is expressible in terms of radicals as well. Hence, all roots of f can be written in terms of radicals. ■

6. Give an example of an extension K/k such that $[K : k] = n$ and a positive integer d dividing n such that there is no intermediate field $k \subset L \subset K$ with $[L : k] = d$.

Let $K = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and let $k = K^{A_4}$ be the ring of invariants under the alternating group. By Artin's theorem, $[K : k] = |A_4| = 12$ and the extension is Galois with Galois group A_4 . The integer 3 divides 12, but if there were an intermediate field L with $[L : k] = 3$, then the subgroup of automorphisms in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ fixing L would be a subgroup of A_4 of order 6, of which there are none. ■

7. Let K/k be a Galois extension of degree n with Galois group G . Define the norm and trace maps $N_{K/k} : K \rightarrow k$ and $T_{K/k} : K \rightarrow k$ by

$$N(a) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a) \quad \text{and} \quad T(a) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a).$$

Suppose that the minimal polynomial of $a \in K$ is $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^i \in k[x]$. Show that

1. the norm and trace do take values in k , and
2. $N(a) = (-1)^n c_0^{n/r}$ and $T(a) = -\frac{n}{r} c_{r-1}$, and
3. $N(a) = \det(T)$ and $T(a) = \text{Trace} T$ where $T : K \rightarrow K$ is the k -linear map $T(b) := ab$.

1. Let $\tau \in G$. Then $\tau(N(a)) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \tau\sigma(a) = N(a)$, since as σ runs through all of G , $\tau\sigma$ does. Thus $N(a)$ is in the fixed field of G , which is exactly k . Similarly $\tau(T(a)) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \tau\sigma(a) = T(a)$ so that $T(a)$ is in the fixed field of G , i.e., in k .

2. Let the roots of f be $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ (one of which is equal to a). Since the minimal polynomial of f has degree r , if we let $H = \text{Gal}(K/k(a))$, then H has index r in G .

Let $\tau_1 H, \dots, \tau_r H$ be the left cosets of G/H , and fix τ_1, \dots, τ_r as representative elements, and call $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r\}$. First we notice, because $\tau \in G$, that $f(\tau(a)) = \tau(f(a)) = 0$ so that $\tau(a) = \alpha_i$ for some i . Since G acts transitively on the roots of f , for any i there is some $\tau \in G$ so that $\tau(a) = \alpha_i$. Finally, if $\tau(a) \neq \tau_i(a)$ then $\tau \notin \tau_i H$, because H fixes a so every element in the coset must take a to the same point. This means that we can reorder the roots so that $\tau_i(a) = \alpha_i$ (since each α_i must be reached by some τ , and therefore by the representative of the coset that τ is in).

Notice that $f = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_r)$ so that $\prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i) = (-1)^r c_0$. Therefore, because a lies in the fixed field of H :

$$N(a) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a) = \prod_{\tau \in T} \prod_{\sigma \in H} \tau\sigma(a) = \left(\prod_{\tau \in T} \tau(a) \right)^{n/r} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \right)^{n/r} = (-1)^n c_0^{n/r}.$$

Next notice that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = -c_{r-1}$, so that,

$$T(a) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a) = \sum_{\tau \in T} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \tau\sigma(a) = \frac{n}{r} \sum_{\tau \in T} \tau(a) = \frac{n}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = -\frac{n}{r} c_{r-1}.$$

3. To illustrate the idea behind the proof, we first assume that $r = n$. Then $1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}$ is a basis for K/k (order it and call it b_1, \dots, b_n). Since $f(a) = 0$ then we solve and get that $a^n = -c_0 - \dots - c_{n-1}a^{n-1}$. So computing the matrix for T as if $r = n$ then if $i \neq n$ then $T(b_i) = T(a^{i-1}) = a^i = b^{i+1}$. And $T(b_n) = T(a^{n-1}) = a^n = -c_0 - \dots - c_{n-1}a^{n-1} = -c_0b_1 - \dots - c_{n-1}b_n$. So we have the following matrix.

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 \\ -c_0 & -c_1 & -c_2 & \cdots & -c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Trace}R = -c_{n-1}$ and cofactor expanding along the first column we see that $\det R = (-1)^r c_0^r$.

Now we assume that $r < n$. We see now that $1, \dots, a^{r-1}$ is a basis for $k(a)/k$. Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r/n}$ be a basis for $K/k(a)$. Then $\{\beta_i a^j | 1 \leq i \leq r/n, 0 \leq j \leq r-1\}$ is a basis for K/k . If we order this as $\beta_1, \beta_1 a, \dots, \beta_1 a^{r-1}, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{r/n} a^{r-1}$, we can compute the matrix for T by seeing what T does to the basis elements. But this is easy, because $T(\beta_i a^j) = \beta_i a^{j+1}$ where $j \neq r-1$ and $T(\beta_i a^{r-1}) = -\beta_i c_0 - \beta_i a c_1 - \dots - \beta_i a^{r-1} c_{n-1}$. So the matrix for T is exactly,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & R & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & R \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then clearly $\text{Det} T = \text{Det} R^{n/r} = (-1)^r c_0^{n/r} = N(a)$, and $\text{Trace} T = \frac{n}{r} \text{Trace} R = \frac{n}{r} (-c_{n-1}) = T(a)$. ■