## Math 504, Fall 2013 HW 2

## **1.** Show that the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ are not isomorphic.

Suppose  $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \to \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$  is a field isomorphism. Then it's easy to see that  $\varphi$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$  pointwise, so  $5 = \varphi(5) = \varphi(\sqrt{5}\sqrt{5}) = \varphi(\sqrt{5})^2$ , showing  $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}) = \{a + b\sqrt{7} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Thus

$$\sqrt{5} = a + b\sqrt{7} \tag{1}$$

for some rational *a* and *b*, and squaring yields

$$5 = a^2 + 7b^2 + 2ab\sqrt{7}$$
 or  $\frac{5 - a^2 - 7b^2}{2} = ab\sqrt{7}$ 

Thus either a = 0 or b = 0, because otherwise  $\sqrt{7} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If a = 0 then (1) says that  $\sqrt{5}$  is a rational multiple of  $\sqrt{7}$ , which is not the case. If b = 0 then  $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$  according to (1). Either alternative is absurd, so there is no such isomorphism.

**2.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$  be the field with 11 elements. Let  $K = F_{11}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^2 - 2$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_{11}(\beta)$  where  $\beta$  is a root of  $x^2 - 4x + 2$ . Show that there is an isomorphism  $\Phi: K \to L$  that is the identity in  $F_{11}$ .

Let  $p(x) = x^2 - 2$ ,  $q(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2$ . Since both polynomials are irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_{11}[x]$ , then we have

$$K = \mathbb{F}_{11}[x]/(p(x)), \quad L = \mathbb{F}_{11}[x]/(q(x))$$

Consider the automorphism f of  $\mathbb{F}_{11}[x]$  given by  $x \mapsto x - 2$ , and let F be the composition of f with the projection  $\mathbb{F}_{11}[x] \to L$ . Since

$$F(p(x)) = (x-2)^2 - 2 = q(x) = 0$$

then *F* factors through a morphism  $\Phi : K \to L$ . This is a morphism of fields, hence injective. Since both *K*, *L* are finite fields, then it has to be bijective, hence it's the desired isomorphism. Moreover, since it's a composition of  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ -linear maps, and since  $\Phi(1) = 1$ , then  $\Phi$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ .

**3.** Let K/k a degree-two field extension. If char $(k) \neq 2$ , show that  $K = k(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of a polynomial  $x^2 - d$  for some  $d \in k$ . Show this fails in characteristic two.

Complete the set {1} to a basis {1,  $\beta$ }: clearly  $\beta \notin k$  and also

$$\beta^2 = -a\beta - b$$

for some  $a, b \in k$ . Moreover, the extension  $k(\beta)$  has degree at least two, and since  $k(\beta) \subseteq K$  and K/k has degree 2, then  $k(\beta) = K$  and K can be obtained by adding a root of  $f(x) = x^2 + ax + b$  to k.

Our reasoning up to now holds in any characteristic, but now assume  $char(k) \neq 2$ . The idea is to use the quadratic formula to see that what we need to add to *k* to get *K* is indeed a square root.

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $x^2 - (a^2/4 - b)$ . Then a straightforward computation shows that  $f(\alpha - 2) = 0$ , so  $k(\alpha - 2) = k(\beta)$ . Since clearly  $k(\alpha - 2) = k(\alpha)$ , then by letting  $d = a^2/4 - b$  we have found what we were looking for.

This doesn't work in characteristic 2 because of the following example. Consider  $k = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $K = k[x]/(x^2 - x - 1)$ , whence  $K = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^2 - x - 1$ . The only polynomials of the form  $x^2 - d$  for  $d \in k$  are  $x^2$  and  $x^2 + 1$ . Substituting  $\alpha$  in to these polynomials yields  $\alpha + 1$  and  $\alpha$ , respectively. Since neither vanishes,  $\alpha$  can not be a root of any polynomial of the form  $x^2 - d$ .

**4.** Let K/k be a degree-two field extension and suppose that char(k) = 2. Show that  $K = k(\beta)$  where  $\beta$  is a root of a polynomial  $x^2 + x + d$  or  $x^2 - d$  for some  $d \in k$ .

From the previous Exercise, we have  $K = k(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha^2 = k_1\alpha + k_2$ . If  $k_1 = 0$ , then  $\alpha$  satisfies  $x^2 - k_2 = 0$ , which is the second polynomial.

Otherwise,  $k_1 \neq 0$ . Since *k* is a field, we have  $\beta = \alpha / k_1 \in k$ . Moreover,

$$(k_1\beta)^2 = k_1^2\beta + k_2 = 0$$
  
$$\implies \beta^2 = \beta + k_2k_1^{-2} = 0$$
  
$$\implies \beta^2 + \beta + d = 0$$

where  $d = k_2 k_1^{-2} \in k$ . Clearly  $K = k(\beta)$  and  $\beta$  satisfies  $x^2 + x + d = 0$ .

**5.** Let *f* be a polynomial of degree *n* in k[x]. Show that the images of  $1, x, \ldots x^{n-1}$  in k[x]/(f) form a basis for k[x]/(f).

To show that  $B := \{x^i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  is a basis, we'll start by showing it is linearly independent in R = k[x]/(f). Indeed, suppose for some  $a_i \in k$ , we had

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_i + (f))(x^i + (f)) = 0 + (f)$$

Then we would have

$$f \mid g := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$$

But deg(f) =  $n > n - 1 \ge$  deg(g), so g = 0. This means each  $\sum a_i x^i = 0$  in k[x], and this forces  $a_i = 0$ . So we have shown linear independence.

Now, pick any (nonzero) coset  $p + (f) \in R$ . By polynomial division, we can write p = af + b, where deg(b) < deg(f) = n. So we may write  $b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ . But then

$$p + (f) = (af + b) + (f) = b + (f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_i + (f))(x^i + (f))$$

so we have shown *B* spans *R*, therefore it's a basis over *k* for *R*.

**6.** Find the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{5}$  over the fields  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ .

We compute the minimal polynomial of  $t = \sqrt{3} + \sqrt{5}$  over different fields  $K \supset \mathbb{Q}$ . We use the fact that  $[K(t) : K] = \deg m_{t,K}(x)$ 

1.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ .

Since  $t^2 = 8 + 2\sqrt{15}$ , we have  $t^2 - 8 = 2\sqrt{15}$  and squaring both sides we obtain  $(t^2 - 8)^2 - 60 = 0$ . Therefore deg  $m_{t,K}(x) \le 4$ . But since  $t^2 = 8 + 2\sqrt{15}$ , it follows  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15}) \subset K(t)$ , and since  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , we have  $[K(t) : \mathbb{Q}]$  is even. But since  $\sqrt{15t} - 3t = 3\sqrt{5} + 5\sqrt{3} - 3(\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{5}) = 2\sqrt{3}$ , we must have  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) \subset K(t)$ . Finally, since the equation  $\sqrt{3} = a + b\sqrt{15}$  cannot be solved for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  (which can be seen by squaring the equation), it follows  $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ , whence  $[K(t) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge 4$ , and we deduce  $[K(t) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4 = \deg m_{t,K}$ . Since  $(t^2 - 8)^2 - 15$  is a degree four polynomial in K[x], it follows by the division algorithm and the equality of degrees that  $m_{t,K}(x)$  and  $(x^2 - 8)^2 - 15$  are associates in K[x]. Since they are both monic, the constant must be one, and the result follows.

2.  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ 

Since  $(t - \sqrt{3}) = 5$ , we have  $x^2 - 2\sqrt{3}x - 2 \in K[x]$  has *t* as a root. Hence deg  $m_{t,K}(x) \le 2$ . If the degree was 1, we would have  $t \in K$ . But if  $t \in K$ , then  $t - \sqrt{3} = \sqrt{5} \in K$ . Since  $\sqrt{5} = a + b\sqrt{3}$  cannot be solved with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  (again seen most readily by squaring the equation), it follows  $[K(t) : K] \ge 2$ . By the same argument as in part (a), we obtain  $m_{t,K}(x) = x^2 - 2\sqrt{3}x - 2$ .

3.  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10}).$ 

Since  $t^2 = 8 + 2\sqrt{15}$ , we have  $t^2 - 8 = 2\sqrt{15}$  and squaring both sides we obtain  $(t^2 - 8)^2 - 60 = 0$ . Therefore deg  $m_{t,K}(x) \le 4$ . But since  $t^2 = 8 + 2\sqrt{15}$ , it follows  $\sqrt{15} \in K(t)$ . But by checking whether  $\sqrt{15} = a + b\sqrt{10}$  for rational *a*, *b*, we find  $[K(\sqrt{15}): K] = 2$ , so that [K(t): K] is even. If [K(t): K] = 2, the equation

$$[K(t):\mathbb{Q}] = [K(t):K][K:\mathbb{Q}] = 4$$

tells us the degree of K(t)/Q. However, since  $t = \sqrt{3} + \sqrt{5}$ , the inverse  $t^{-1}$ , which can be computed by rationalizing the denominator, is  $t^{-1} = \frac{-1}{2}(\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{5})$ . Therefore we can linearly combine t and its inverse and we obtain  $\sqrt{3}$  and  $\sqrt{5}$  are both in K(t). Since  $Q(\sqrt{10}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{3})$  is the smallest field containing all three, and since  $\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{10}/\sqrt{5}$ , we see  $Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}) \subset K(t)$  again by minimality. But this is a degree 8 extension since the minimal polynomials are  $m_{\sqrt{2},Q} = x^2 - 2$ ,  $m_{\sqrt{3},Q(\sqrt{2})} = x^2 - 3$ ,  $m_{\sqrt{5},Q(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})} = x^2 - 5$  (each is irreducible by basically the same argument of trying to write  $\sqrt{5} = a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6}$ , squaring and moving the rationals to one side and concluding at least one of the coefficients must be zero... and then doing it again). Therefore we finally conclude  $m_{t,Q(\sqrt{10})} = (x^2 - 8)^2 - 60$ .

4.  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15}).$ 

Since  $t^2 = 8 + 2\sqrt{15}$ , we have  $x^2 - 8 - \sqrt{15} \in K[x]$  has *t* has a zero. Therefore deg  $m_{t,K}(x) \le 2$ . If it were strictly less, then  $t \in K$ . But if  $t \in K$ , then  $\sqrt{15}t = 3\sqrt{5} + 15 \in K$  whence  $\sqrt{5} \in K$ . But since  $\sqrt{5} = a + b\sqrt{15}$  has no solutions for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we obtain  $[K(t) : K] \ge 2$ , whence, mimicking the arguments in the previous parts,  $m_{t,K}(x) = x^2 - 8 - \sqrt{15}$ .

7. Let *f* and *g* be non-zero polynomials in k[x] and write *z* for f(x)/g(x) which is an element in the field k(x). Compute [k(x) : k(z)].

First of all, note that we can assume that f, g are coprime in k[x]. Let  $n = \deg f, m = \deg g$ . Let us begin by examining the case  $z \in k$ , that is n = m = 0. Then we have to compute the degree of the extension k(x)/k. Since x is transcendental over k, this degree is  $\infty$ .

Assume now that at least one of n, m is nonzero: then z is transcendental over K (otherwise x would be algebraic over k), and let L = k(z). It's immediate to see that L(x) = k(x), so in order to compute the degree of the extension L(x)/L we can try to find the minimal polynomial of x over L.

A natural candidate is  $p(t) := zg(t) - f(t) \in L[t]$ . The not-so-natural part consists in proving that p is irreducible in L[t]. Note that since f, g are coprime then p is primitive as a polynomial in k[z][t]. Hence by Gauss's lemma p(t) is irreducible in L[t] iff it's irreducible in k(z, t] iff it's irreducible in k(t)[z]. But in this last ring, p has degree one as a polynomial in z, hence it's irreducible. Awesome! We found the minimal polynomial of x over L. It's easy to see that its degree (in t) is the maximum of n, m. Therefore, if  $z \notin k$ ,

 $[k(x):k(z)] = \max\{\deg g, \deg f\}$ 

4

8. Let  $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  denote the Golden Ratio. You are used to writing number to base 10, or other integer bases. This problem is about base  $\alpha$ . Let  $a_n, a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}...$  be an infinite sequence of numbers in  $\{0, 1\}$  with the property that  $a_i a_{i+1}$  is never equal to 11. We will write

$$\beta = a_n \dots a_1 a_0 \bullet a_{-1} a_{-2} \dots$$

for

$$\beta = \sum_{j=-n}^{\infty} a_{-j} \alpha^{-j}$$

and call this the  $\alpha$ -expansion for  $\beta$ .

- 1. Find the  $\alpha$ -expansions for 2, 3, 4, 5.
- 2. What is the number with  $\alpha$ -expansion 0.101010...?
- 3. What is the number with  $\alpha$ -expansion 0.100100100...?

Note that  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$ . By induction, we see that  $\alpha^n = F_n \alpha + F_{n-1}$  where  $F_n$  are the Fibonacci numbers.

1. Since  $2 = \alpha + \alpha^{-2}$ , we have  $2 = 10 \cdot 01$ . Adding  $1 = \alpha^2 - \alpha$  shows  $3 = \alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2} = 100 \cdot 01$ . Incrementing gives  $4 = 101 \cdot 01$ . To represent 5 is a little harder, but if we calculate

$$\alpha^{3} + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-4} = 2\alpha + 1 + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-4} = 3\alpha + (5 - 3\alpha) = 5$$

so  $5 = 1000 \cdot 1001$ .

2. Let *S* be the mystery number. Then

$$\alpha S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-2n} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^{-2}}$$

Hence,  $S = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}} = 1$ .

3. Let *T* be the mystery number. Then

$$\alpha T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-3n} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^{-3}}$$

Hence,

$$T = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha^{-2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha - (2 - \alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{4}$$

since  $2\alpha(\alpha - 1) = 2$ 

**9.** Let  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}$ . Find the minimal polynomial for  $\psi := \omega^2 + \omega^3$  over Q and the degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$  over Q.

Since  $\omega^5 - 1 = 0$  and  $\omega \neq 1$  then  $1 + \omega + \ldots + \omega^4 = 0$ . Then it's quite easy to check that

 $\psi^2 + \psi - 1 = 0$ 

so  $\psi$  is a root of  $p(x) := x^2 + x - 1$ . Since p is irreducible over the rationals (there are no roots in Q) then it's the minimal polynomial for  $\psi$ .

**10.** A complex number is algebraic if it is the root of an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic, show that  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are also algebraic.

We'll use repeatedly the fact that the simple extension  $k(\xi)/k$  is algebraic iff it's finite.

Since  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then it's also algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ : indeed, if  $p(\alpha) = 0$  for some irreducible p in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $p'(\alpha) = 0$  for some irreducible factor of p in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)[x]$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  is a finite extension.

Consider now the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)/\mathbb{Q}$ : we have that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta):\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]$$

and since the factors on the right hand side are finite, the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite, hence algebraic.

Since both  $\alpha + \beta$ , and  $\alpha\beta$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ , then they're algebraic.