

## An Example of a Ring Morita Equivalent to the Weyl Algebra $A_1$

S. P. SMITH

*Department of Mathematics, University of Leeds LS2 9JT, England*

*Communicated by I. N. Herstein*

Received July 5, 1980

### 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $R$  is a prime, principal right ideal ring, then Goldie [2] shows that  $R$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix ring over a right Ore domain  $D$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Jategaonkar [3, p. 137] asks whether the right Ore domain  $D$  so determined is necessarily unique. The example produced below shows that the domain is not uniquely determined. The example is a noetherian domain  $S$  which is not isomorphic to the Weyl algebra  $A_1$ , but satisfies  $M_2(S) \cong M_2(A_1)$ . It is, of course, well known that  $M_2(A_1)$  is a principal right and left ideal ring.

Kaplansky asked the more general question: if  $A$  and  $B$  are right noetherian rings satisfying  $M_n(A) \cong M_n(B)$ , are  $A$  and  $B$  necessarily isomorphic? This more general question was answered in the negative by Jategaonkar [3, p. 44] and Knus [4, Example 4.1].

If  $I$  is a non-zero right ideal of  $A_1$ , then by Webber [6]  $I \oplus I = A_1 \oplus A_1$  and so  $M_2(\text{End}_{A_1}(I)) \cong M_2(A_1)$ . It is natural to ask whether  $A_1$  and  $\text{End}_{A_1}(I)$  are necessarily isomorphic. The ring  $S$ , produced below, is in fact isomorphic to  $\text{End}_{A_1}(I)$  for a right ideal  $I$  of  $A_1$ . Thus this question also has a negative answer.

The author would like to thank J. C. Robson for bringing this question to his attention.

### 2. THE EXAMPLE

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $A = A_1(k)$  denote the Weyl algebra over the field  $k$  with two generators  $p$  and  $q$  subject to the relation  $pq - qp = 1$ .

Put  $I = p^2A + (pq + 1)A$ . Then  $I$  is a maximal, non-principal right ideal of  $A$  (see Rinehart [5]). As  $A$  is a prime, noetherian ring the endomorphism

ring of  $I$  is isomorphic to  $S = \{x \in Q \mid xI \subseteq I\}$ , where  $Q$  is the quotient division ring of  $A$ .

For  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , put  $D(t) = \{x \in A \mid [pq, x] = tx\}$ , where  $[a, b]$  denotes  $ab - ba$ . Then  $A = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &= q^t k[pq], & t \geq 0 \\ &= p^{-t} k[pq], & t < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The subspaces  $D(t)$  give  $A$  the structure of a graded ring because  $D(s)D(t) \subseteq D(s+t)$ . As  $I$  is generated by homogeneous elements,  $I = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} (I \cap D(t))$ . It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} I \cap D(t) &= (pq + 1) q^t k[pq], & t \geq 0 \\ &= (pq + 1) p k[pq], & t = -1 \\ &= p^{-t} k[pq], & t \leq -2. \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The ring  $S$  is given by,  $S = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t)$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= (pq + 1) q^t k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1}, & t \geq 2 \\ &= (pq + 1) q k[pq], & t = 1 \\ &= k[pq], & t = 0 \\ &= (pq + 1) p k[pq], & t = -1 \\ &= p^{-t} k[pq], & t \leq -2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $x \in S$  if and only if  $xp^2 \in I$  and  $x(pq + 1) \in I$ . Thus  $S = Ip^{-2} \cap I(pq + 1)^{-1}$ , and because  $p^2$  and  $(pq + 1)$  are homogeneous elements  $S = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t)$  where  $E(t) = (I \cap D(t - 2)) p^{-2} \cap (I \cap D(t))(pq + 1)^{-1}$ . We now show that  $E(t)$  is as claimed. First, observe that  $p^{-1} = q(pq)^{-1}$  and  $p^{-2} = q^2(pq)^{-1} (pq + 1)^{-1}$ .

(i)  $t \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= (pq + 1) q^{t-2} k[pq] p^{-2} \cap (pq + 1) q^t k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (pq + 1) q^t k[pq] (pq)^{-1} (pq + 1)^{-1} \cap (pq + 1) q^t k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (pq + 1) q^t k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $t = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E(1) &= (pq + 1) p k[pq] p^{-2} \cap (pq + 1) q k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (pq + 1) q k[pq] (pq)^{-1} \cap (pq + 1) q k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (pq + 1) q k[pq]; \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $t = 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} E(0) &= p^2 k[pq] p^{-2} \cap (pq + 1) q k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= k[pq]; \end{aligned}$$

(iv)  $t = -1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} E(-1) &= p^3 k[pq] p^{-2} \cap (pq + 1) p k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= (pq + 1) p k[pq]; \end{aligned}$$

(v)  $t \leq -2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= p^{-t+2} k[pq] p^{-2} \cap p^{-t} k[pq] (pq + 1)^{-1} \\ &= p^{-t} k[pq]. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Look at  $pq$  as an element of  $S$ . It is clear, for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that

$$E(t) = \{x \in S \mid [pq, x] = tx\}.$$

It is now easy to see that  $pq$  is a strictly semi-simple element of  $S$  in the sense of Dixmier [1].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The rings  $S$  and  $A$  are not isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\theta: A \rightarrow S$  is an isomorphism. Then  $S$  may be considered as the Weyl algebra over  $k$  generated by the elements  $\bar{p} = \theta(p)$  and  $\bar{q} = \theta(q)$  subject to the relation  $pq - qp = 1$ . Now  $pq$  is a strictly semi-simple element of  $S$  and so by [1, Théorème 9.2] (under the additional hypothesis that  $k$  is algebraically closed) there exists an automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $S$  such that  $\Phi(pq) = \bar{p}\bar{q} + \beta$  for some scalars  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $\psi = \Phi^{-1}\theta$  and consider the new isomorphism  $\psi: A \rightarrow S$ .

Let  $a = \psi(p)$ ,  $b = \psi(q)$ . From the above

$$ab = \psi(pq) = \Phi^{-1}(\bar{p}\bar{q}) = \alpha^{-1}(pq - \beta) \in E(0).$$

Considering  $a = \sum a_t$ ,  $b = \sum b_s$  with  $a_t \in E(t)$  and  $b_s \in E(s)$  it can be seen that the only way  $ab$  can be element of  $E(0)$  is if  $a \in E(t)$  and  $b \in E(-t)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a \in E(t)$  and  $b \in E(-t)$  with either  $t \geq 1$  or  $t \leq -1$ , look at  $ab$  as a polynomial in  $pq$ . By rearranging the terms in the expression for  $ab$  (using identities such as  $(pq)p = p(pq - 1)$ ) it can indeed be made into a polynomial in  $pq$ . Moreover, the degree of  $ab$  as a polynomial in  $pq$  will be at least two—consequently  $ab \neq \alpha^{-1}(pq - \beta)$ . However, if  $a, b \in E(0)$ , then  $[a, b] = 0$  contradicting the fact that  $[a, b] = \psi([p, q]) = 1$ . We conclude that no such  $a, b \in S$  can exist.

Q.E.D.

It seems likely that infinitely many different such endomorphism rings exist—it would be interesting to know whether this is in fact the case.

## REFERENCES

1. J. DIXMIER, Sur les Algebres de Weyl, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **96** (1968), 209–242.
2. A. W. GOLDIE, Non-commutative principal ideal rings, *Arch. Math.* **13** (1962), 214–221.
3. A. V. JATEGAONKAR, “Left Principal Ideal Rings,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* No. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1970.
4. M. A. KNUS, Algebres d’Azumaya et modules projectifs, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **45** (1970), 372–383.
5. G. S. RINEHART, Note on the global dimension of a certain ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 341–346.
6. D. B. WEBBER, Ideals and modules of simple hereditary rings, *J. Algebra* **16** (1970), 239–242.