

THE SIMPLE \mathcal{D} -MODULE ASSOCIATED TO THE INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY COMPLEX FOR A CLASS OF PLANE CURVES

S.P. SMITH*

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

Communicated by C.A. Weibel

Received 1 March 1986

Let X be an irreducible plane algebraic curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Suppose that X is analytically irreducible at all points. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ be the ring of differential operators on \mathbb{A}^2 . This paper gives a direct algebraic proof that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus X) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ -module. This may also be proved via the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence.

1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, Y a non-singular affine algebraic variety and $X \subseteq Y$ a hypersurface defined by an irreducible $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$. Write $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(Y)$ for the ring of differential operators on Y , and consider $\mathcal{O}(Y \setminus X) = \mathcal{O}(Y)_f$ as a left \mathcal{D} -module. By Bernstein [1] and Kashiwara [6] it is of finite length as a \mathcal{D} -module. It is not difficult to show that $\mathcal{O}(Y \setminus X) / \mathcal{O}(Y)$ contains a unique simple \mathcal{D} -submodule – this is denoted $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Its existence is proved in greater generality in [2]. The problem is to determine $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

We prove the following:

Theorem. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ be an irreducible curve. Let \tilde{X} denote the normalisation of X , and suppose that $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, the natural projection, is injective. Then $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{A}^2) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus X) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$.*

This theorem is known to the \mathcal{D} -modules experts. It was pointed out to the author, by J.-L. Brylinski and the referee, that it is a consequence of the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. However the present paper gives the first purely algebraic proof of this result.

One motivation for wanting to describe $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is that the de Rham complex satisfies $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{L}(X, Y)) = \mathrm{IC}_Y[-1]$, the Goresky–MacPherson intersection homology complex for the middle perversity [4].

There are a number of results describing a generator for $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$; see for

* Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.

example [2] and [9]. In the case of an arbitrary irreducible curve $X \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ defined by f , it is remarked in [2, Introduction] that $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is generated by $f^{-1}df/dx$ when $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2) = k[x, y]$. Of course, this does not make it possible to decide if a given element of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)_f/\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{A}^2)$.

The theorem above extends the well-known fact that if $X \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ is non-singular, then $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus X)/\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ -module. Recall that the usual proof of this uses the fact that, if X is defined by $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, then the ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ generated by df/dx , df/dy and f equals $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. Of course such a result is not available to us when X is singular.

The idea of the proof is to extend some of the work in [7]. Let X be any curve, \tilde{X} its normalisation, and let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the natural projection. In [7, §3] it is shown that if π is injective, then $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(X)$ -module and furthermore, that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$. In Section 2 we extend this result as follows. Set $R = k[x, y] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, let $f \in R$ define the curve X , and suppose that $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is injective; then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R/f^n R$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(R/f^n R)$ -module (Corollary 2.9). Recall that as in [7, §1], $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is defined for any commutative k -algebra A . Having established this, the main theorem is a relatively straightforward consequence. The proof is given in Section 3; by reading Section 3 first the reader will understand the necessity of Section 2.

Let us recall some of the notation of [7]. Let $A \subset C$ be commutative k -algebras. If $D \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ and $f \in C$, write $D * f := D(f)$ for the evaluation of the differential operator D on f . Define $\mathcal{D}(C, A) := \{D \in \mathcal{D}(C) \mid D * f \in A \text{ for all } f \in C\}$, and $\mathcal{D}(C, A) * C = \{D * f \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(C, A) \text{ and } f \in C\}$.

2. Differential operators on $k[x, y]/(f^n)$

Set $R = k[x, y] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, let $f \in R$ be irreducible, and let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ denote the curve defined by f . Set $A = R/f^n R$.

The goal of this section is to show that there are inclusions of k -algebras

$$A \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes k[z]/(z^n) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n) \subseteq \text{Fract } A$$

and that A is of finite codimension in $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$. When the projection $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ from the normalisation is injective, the arguments of [7, Propositions 3.3, 3.4] may be adapted to show that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n))$. But the latter is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \otimes_k M_n(k)$, where $M_n(k)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over k . Hence $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$, and in particular is a simple ring. It follows that A is a simple $\mathcal{D}(A)$ -module, and this is the result which is carried forward to Section 3, and used there to establish the main theorem of the paper.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $R = k[t_1, \dots, t_d] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^d)$, and suppose that $f \in R$ is irreducible, defining a hypersurface X . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a k -algebra homomorphism*

$$\theta : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n)$$

such that $\ker \theta = f^n R$.

Proof. Since $k = \bigcap_{j=1}^d k[t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_j, \dots, t_d]$ (where \hat{t}_j means ‘omit t_j ’) we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f \notin k[t_2, \dots, t_d]$.

Let $\psi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ be the natural map with kernel fR . Define θ by

$$\theta(t_1) = \psi(t_1) + z, \quad \theta(t_i) = \psi(t_i) \quad (2 \leq i \leq d).$$

and extend θ to a k -algebra homomorphism. By Taylor’s theorem it follows, for $g \in R$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(g) &= g(\psi(t_1) + z, \psi(t_2), \dots, \psi(t_d)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!} \psi\left(\frac{\partial^j g}{\partial t_1^j}\right) z^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\ker \theta = \{g \in R \mid \partial^j g / \partial t_1^j \in fR \text{ for all } 0 \leq j < n\}$. It is clear that $f^n R \subseteq \ker \theta$, and the reverse inclusion follows from the

Sublemma. *If $\partial^j g / \partial t_1^j \in fR$ for all $0 \leq j < n$, then $g \in f^n R$.*

Proof. By induction on n . The sublemma is true if $n = 1$. Assuming that the sublemma holds for $n - 1$, we may suppose that $g \in f^{n-1}R$. Since $\partial^j / \partial t_1^j (\partial g / \partial t_1) \in fR$ for all $0 \leq j < n - 1$, the induction hypothesis ensures that $\partial g / \partial t_1 \in f^{n-1}R$. Write $g = f^{n-1}h$. Then

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t_1} = (n - 1)f^{n-2}h \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} + f^{n-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t_1} \in f^{n-1}R.$$

Hence $h \partial f / \partial t_1 \in fR$. By assumption, $\deg_{t_1}(f) \geq 1$, and so (by a degree argument) it follows that $\partial f / \partial t_1 \notin fR$. Hence, as fR is a prime ideal, $h \in fR$. Thus $g \in f^n R$ as required. \square

We now turn to the case we are interested in, namely $d = 2$. Write $A = R/f^n R$, and $A' = \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $R = k[x, y] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. Let $0 \neq f \in R$ be irreducible defining a curve $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let*

$$\theta : R/f^n R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n)$$

be the injective algebra homomorphism obtained in Proposition 2.1. Then

(a) $\theta(R/f^nR)$ is of finite codimension (as a k -vector space) in

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n);$$

(b) We have inclusions

$$R/f^nR \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n) \subseteq \text{Fract}(R/f^nR)$$

where $\text{Fract}(\)$ denotes the ring of fractions.

Proof. (a) Write $V = R/f^nR$, $U = \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n)$. There are filtrations of these vector spaces $V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_n = 0$, and $U = U_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq U_n = 0$ where $V_i = Af^i$ are $U_i = A'z^i$. The injection $\theta: V \rightarrow U$ satisfies $\theta(V_i) \subseteq U_i$ and $\theta(V) \cap U_i = \theta(V_i)$. Hence if we consider the short exact sequences below, and the injections induced by θ , we obtain an injection $\gamma: V_i/V_{i+1} \rightarrow U_i/U_{i+1}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & V_{i+1} & \rightarrow & V_i & \rightarrow & V_i/V_{i+1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & U_{i+1} & \rightarrow & U_i & \rightarrow & U_i/U_{i+1} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The aim is to show that $\dim_k(U/\theta(V)) < \infty$, and the idea is to show by induction on i that $\dim_k(U_i/\theta(V_i)) < \infty$. It is clear that if $\dim_k(U_{i+1}/\theta(V_{i+1})) < \infty$ and $\dim_k((U_i/U_{i+1})/\gamma(V_i/V_{i+1})) < \infty$, then $\dim_k(U_i/\theta(V_i)) < \infty$. Hence one need only show that $\gamma(V_i/V_{i+1})$ is of finite codimension in U_i/U_{i+1} ; note that as $V_n = 0$ and $U_n = 0$ this will also start the induction process.

Note that U_i/U_{i+1} and V_i/V_{i+1} are both free $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -modules of rank 1. However, γ is an $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module homomorphism because $\theta(f^i r) = \theta(f^i)\theta(r)$, and $\theta(r) - \psi(r) \in A'z$; hence $\theta(f^i r) = \theta(f^i)\psi(r) \pmod{U_{i+1}}$. As $\gamma \neq 0$, we have that $\gamma(V_i/V_{i+1})$ is a nonzero $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -submodule of the cyclic $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module U_i/U_{i+1} . Hence, $\gamma(V_i/V_{i+1})$ is necessarily of finite codimension in U_i/U_{i+1} . This establishes (a).

(b) We now consider $A \subseteq A'$. The regular elements of A are precisely those elements not in fA . There exists $\xi \in A$ which is transcendental over k , and $k[\xi] \cap fA = 0$. Hence, $k(\xi) \subseteq \text{Fract } A$. Since A'/A is a finite-dimensional vector space, there exists $0 \neq p(\xi) \in k[\xi]$ such that $A'p(\xi) \subseteq A$. As $p(\xi)$ is a unit in $\text{Fract } A$, we have $A' \subseteq \text{Fract } A$. This completes the proof of (b). \square

Corollary 2.3. *There are inclusions of k -algebras*

$$R/f^nR \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n) \subseteq \text{Fract}(R/f^nR)$$

and R/f^nR is of finite codimension in $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$. The map $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R/f^nR)$ is the natural projection $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Proof. The inclusion of the k -algebras is obtained from the previous lemma together with the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$. The fact that $R/f^n R$ is of finite codimension, follows from the previous lemma, and the fact that $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is of finite codimension in $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$. The fact that $\theta: R/f^n R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$ induces an isomorphism after factoring out both these algebras by their nilpotent radicals ensures that the map on the spectra is as claimed. \square

Proposition 2.4. $\mathcal{D}(k[z]/(z^n)) \cong M_n(k)$, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over k .

Proof. Write $T = k[z]/(z^n)$ and set $J_T = \ker(\mu_T: T \otimes_k T \rightarrow T)$, where μ_T is the multiplication map. Then J_T is generated by $1 \otimes z - z \otimes 1$; hence $J_T^{2n} = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(T) &= \lim_{\rightarrow m} \text{Hom}_T(T \otimes_k T/J_T^m, T) = \text{Hom}_T(T \otimes_k T, T) \\ &\cong M_n(k). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5.

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)) \cong \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \otimes_k M_n(k).$$

Proof. Write $C = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$, $T = k[z]/(z^n)$, $B = C \otimes_k T$. Denote by J_B, J_C, J_T the kernel of the multiplication maps $B \otimes_k B \rightarrow B$, $C \otimes_k C \rightarrow C$ and $T \otimes_k T \rightarrow T$ respectively. Note that, when we consider $C \otimes_k C$ and $T \otimes_k T$ as subalgebras of $B \otimes_k B$, we have $J_C, J_T \subseteq J_B$. Furthermore, since J_B is generated by $\{1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \mid b \in B\}$ it follows that

$$J_B = C \otimes C \otimes J_T + J_C \otimes T \otimes T.$$

Thus for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$J_B^{2m} \subseteq C \otimes C \otimes J_T^m + J_C^m \otimes T \otimes T \subseteq J_B^m.$$

Since, $J_T^{2n} = 0$, we have for $m \geq 2n$,

$$J_B^{2m} \subseteq J_C^m \otimes T \otimes T \subseteq J_B^m.$$

Thus the sequence $J_C^m \otimes T \otimes T$ is cofinal with the sequence J_B^m . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(B) &= \lim_{\rightarrow m} \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes B/(J_C^m \otimes T \otimes T), B) \\ &= \lim_{\rightarrow m} \text{Hom}_{C \otimes T}((C \otimes C/J_C^m) \otimes T \otimes T, C \otimes T) \\ &\cong \lim_{\rightarrow m} \text{Hom}_C(C \otimes C/J_C^m, C) \otimes \text{Hom}_T(T \otimes T, T) \\ &= \mathcal{D}(C) \otimes M_n(k). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6. *The k -algebras $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ are Morita equivalent. \square*

Remark. Thus $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n))$ inherits the ‘good’ properties of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$; in particular it is a simple, noetherian ring of global homological dimension 1.

We now consider the inclusion $A = R/f^n R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes_k k[z]/(z^n)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Write $C = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a simple ring;
- (b) $\mathcal{D}(C, A) * C = A$;
- (c) $\mathcal{D}(A) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(C)} \mathcal{D}(C, A)$;
- (d) $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(C)$ are Morita equivalent.

Proof. Exactly the same proof as [7, Proposition 3.3] will work. \square

Proposition 2.8. *When $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is injective, then all the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.7 are satisfied.*

Proof. We shall prove that (b) is satisfied. The proof imitates that of [7, Theorem 3.4].

Consider $A = R/f^n R \subseteq C = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}) \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$. Let M be a maximal ideal of A , and let Q be the unique (after Corollary 2.3) maximal ideal of C containing M . Write $S = A \setminus M$, and note that these are regular elements of A . Let P be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$ given by $P = Q \cap \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$. Then $A_S \subseteq C_S = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P \otimes k[z]/(z^n)$. Set $\mathfrak{m} = P\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P$. As $(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P, \mathfrak{m})$ is a 1-dimensional regular local ring, we may choose $t \in P$ such that $\mathfrak{m} = t\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P$. Let $\partial \in \text{Der } \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P$ satisfy $\partial(t) = 1$. By setting $\partial(z) = 0$ we may extend ∂ to a derivation on C_S .

After Proposition 2.4, $k[z]/(z^n)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(k[z]/(z^n))$ -module, so there exists $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}(k[z]/(z^n))$ such that $D_1(1) = 1$ and $D_1(z^i) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$. (Explicitly D_1 is a scalar multiple of $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z\partial_z - i)$ where ∂_z is the derivation d/dz). Extend D_1 to an $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})_P$ -linear map on C_S , so that $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}(C_S)$.

After Corollary 2.3, A_S is of finite codimension in C_S , so for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $t^r C_S \subseteq A_S$. Write $C_S = t^r C_S \oplus V$ where V is the k -vector space with basis $B = \{t^j z^i \mid 0 \leq j < r, 0 \leq i < n\}$. Consider $D_2 = \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (t\partial - j) \in \mathcal{D}(C_S)$. Then $D = D_1 D_2 \in \mathcal{D}(C_S)$ and satisfies $D(t^j z^i) = 0$ for all $t^j z^i \in B \setminus \{1\}$, and $0 \neq D(1) \in k$. Furthermore, $D(t^r C_S) \subseteq t^r C_S$. Thus $D \in \mathcal{D}(C_S, A_S)$ and $1 \in D * C_S$. There exists $s \in S$ such that $sD \in \mathcal{D}(C, A)$, and $s \in D * C$. Hence $D * C \not\subseteq M$. Since M was arbitrary, it follows that $\mathcal{D}(C, A) * C = A$ as required. \square

Corollary 2.9. *If $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is injective, then $R/f^n R$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(R/f^n R)$ -module.*

Proof. After Corollary 2.6 and Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 it has been established that $\mathcal{D}(R/f^n R)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(R/f^n R)$ is a simple ring. Consequently $R/f^n R$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}(R/f^n R)$ -module, because any proper factor module would have a nonzero annihilator. \square

3. The \mathcal{D} -module $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$

Keep the notation of Section 2. Recall the definition of the idealiser in [7, §1.5]. Write $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(k[x, y]) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, and define

$$\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n) = \{D \in \mathcal{D} \mid f^n D \in \mathcal{D}f^n\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{D}f^n$ becomes a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)$. If $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$ is viewed as an $\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)$ -module, then $f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$ becomes an $\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)$ -submodule which is annihilated by $\mathcal{D}f^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$ is a simple $\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)/\mathcal{D}f^n$ -module. Then $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$ is a simple \mathcal{D} -module.*

Proof. Suppose that the hypothesis holds and let m, m' be elements of $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$ with $m \neq 0$. For n sufficiently large, $m, m' \in f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$. Hence $m' \in \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n) \cdot m \subseteq \mathcal{D}m$, and the result follows. \square

Notice that there is a k -algebra isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(f^n \mathcal{D})$ given by $\varphi(D) = D'$, where, for $D \in \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)$, $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ is the element such that $f^n D = D' f^n$. One sees that φ induces an isomorphism

$$\psi: \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{D}f^n)/\mathcal{D}f^n \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(f^n \mathcal{D})/f^n \mathcal{D}.$$

However, recall the following:

Proposition 3.2 (Smith and Stafford [7, (1.6)]). $\mathbb{I}(f^n \mathcal{D})/f^n \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n))$. \square

Thus, in a natural way, $f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$ is given the structure of a left $\mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n))$ -module. The key observation is now:

Lemma 3.3. *As a $\mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n))$ -module, $f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$ is isomorphic to $k[x, y]/(f^n)$.*

Proof. This is routine. Just chase the isomorphisms above together with that in Proposition 3.2, as presented in [7, §1.6], and combine these with the natural $k[x, y]$ -module isomorphism $k[x, y]/(f^n) \rightarrow f^{-n}k[x, y]/k[x, y]$. \square

Hence we obtain the theorem of the introduction:

Theorem. *If $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is injective, then $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$ is a simple \mathcal{D} -module.*

Proof. Combine Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 2.7. \square

Remark. In retrospect, the key to the proof is the fact that $\mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n))$ is a simple ring. However, [7, §1.5, 1.6] $\mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n)) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}/f^n\mathcal{D})$. Thus if we had known to start that $\mathcal{D}/f^n\mathcal{D}$ were isomorphic to a direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{D}/f\mathcal{D}$, we would have had at once that $\mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f^n)) \cong \mathcal{D}(k[x, y]/(f)) \otimes_k M_n(k)$, and hence the ring is simple (when π is injective). Although our result does not imply the splitting of $\mathcal{D}/f^n\mathcal{D}$, we have been informed by Van den Essen and Van Doorn that they can prove that, if $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is injective, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{D}/f\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}/f\mathcal{D}) = 0$. This of course guarantees the splitting of $\mathcal{D}/f^n\mathcal{D}$, and so would give a quicker proof of our Corollary 2.7. Their work, to appear in [8], also shows that $k[x, y]_f/k[x, y]$ is a simple \mathcal{D} -module. The two approaches are quite different (although they also begin with the Morita equivalence of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ established in [7]).

References

- [1] J.N. Bernstein, Analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 6 (1972) 26–40.
- [2] J.-L. Brylinski, Le classe fondamentale d'une variété algébrique engendre le \mathcal{D} -module qui calcule sa cohomologie d'intersection, in: A. Galligo, M. Granger, Ph. Maisonobe, eds., *Systèmes Différentiels et singularités Asterique* 130 (1985) 260–271.
- [3] J.-L. Brylinski and M. Kashiwara, Kazhdan–Lusztig conjecture and holonomic systems, *Invent. Math.* 64 (1981) 387–410.
- [4] M. Goresky and R. Macpherson, Intersection Homology II, *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983) 77–130.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, *Elements de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES 24 (1965).
- [6] M. Kashiwara, b -functions on holonomic systems, *Invent. Math.* 38 (1976) 33–54.
- [7] S.P. Smith and J.T. Stafford, Differential operators on an affine curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [8] A. Van Den Essen and R. Van Doorn, \mathcal{D}_n -modules with support on a curve, Preprint, University of Nijmegen, 1986.
- [9] K. Vilonen, Intersection homology \mathcal{D} -module on local complete intersections with isolated singularities, *Invent. Math.* 81 (1985) 107–114.