

Introduction to SLE Lecture Notes

May 13, 2016 -

The goal of this section is to find a sufficient condition of λ for the hulls K_t to be generated by a simple curve. It turns out if $\|\lambda\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$ then K_t is generated by a simple curve. We will prove this fact in this section.

Proposition. *If there exist a continuous function $v : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $v(0) = 0$ and for all t we have*

$$\int_0^\epsilon |(g_t^{-1})'(\lambda_t + iy)| dy \leq v(\epsilon),$$

then

$$\beta(t) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon)$$

exists and is continuous, and the hulls are generated by a curve, namely $\beta(t)$.

Proof. $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + iy)$ exists because the length of $\gamma := g_t^{-1}(i[0, y])$ is finite. Precisely, we can prove this by contradiction; if $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + iy)$ does not exist, then γ has at least 2 distinct limit points. γ travels back and forth between these two points, so it has infinite length. Moreover,

$$|\beta(t) - g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon)| \leq v(\epsilon), \forall t.$$

Since the map $t \mapsto g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon)$ is continuous (Exercise), $\exists \delta_\epsilon > 0$ s.t.

$$|g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon) - g_s^{-1}(\lambda_s + i\epsilon)| \leq v(\epsilon)$$

if $|t - s| \leq \delta_\epsilon$. Then

$$|\beta(t) - \beta(s)| \leq |\beta(t) - g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon)| + |g_t^{-1}(\lambda_t + i\epsilon) - g_s^{-1}(\lambda_s + i\epsilon)| + |\beta(s) - g_s^{-1}(\lambda_s + i\epsilon)| \leq 3v(\epsilon).$$

If $v(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then β is continuous.

□

Remark 1. *A remark here is that, if*

$$|g_t^{-1}'(\lambda + iy)| \leq Cy^{-\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha < 1$, all t and $y < 1$, then the assumptions in the proposition above is fulfilled because

$$\int_0^\epsilon |(g_t^{-1})'(\lambda_t + iy)| dy \leq C \int_0^\epsilon y^{-\alpha} dy = C' \epsilon^{1-\alpha}.$$

Then β is continuous and generates the hull.

Theorem (By Marshall-R, Lind, R-Tran, Zinsmeister). *If $\|\lambda\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$ for all t and s , then the L.E. generates simple curves. Moreover, the curve is a quasiconformal arc.*

Note.

1. $\|\lambda\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4 \Leftrightarrow |\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)| < 4|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all t and s .
2. If $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ analytic, then $|\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{2}{1-|z|}$.
3. g_t satisfies the ODE $\dot{g}_t = \frac{2}{g_t - \lambda_t}$ but g_t^{-1} does not satisfy any ODE. Instead we can write it in terms of a PDE, namely $g(t, g_z^{-1}(z)) = z$.
4. $t \mapsto B_{T-t} - B_T$ is a Brownian motion.

Proof. (Sketch) The idea here is to show the Hölder continuity: $\exists \alpha = \alpha(\|\lambda\|) < 1$ s.t.

$$|(g_t^{-1})'(\lambda_t + iy)| \leq \frac{C}{y^\alpha}.$$

Then by remark 1 and proposition above we have the desired conclusion. First we try to set up the formula for the backward flow of g_t . Fix time $T > 0$, let $\tilde{\lambda}_t = \lambda_{T-t}$ (The tilde might be dropped later). Consider the backward flow, $g_{T-t}(z)$. By the chain rule,

$$\partial_t g_{T-t}(z) = \frac{-2}{g_{T-t}(z) - \lambda_{T-t}}.$$

Thus it satisfies the ODE $\dot{f}_t(z) = \frac{-2}{f_t(z) - \tilde{\lambda}}$ with the initial condition $f_0(z) = z$, then $f_T = g_T^{-1}$. Note that it is not true in general that $f_t = g_t^{-1}$.

Now we apply a translation so that $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ stays fixed, namely, $Z_t = X_t + iY_t = f_t(z) - \tilde{\lambda}_t$. Then we have

$$\partial_t f_t(z) = \partial_t(Z_t + \tilde{\lambda}_t) = \frac{-2}{Z_t} = \frac{-2(X_t - iY_t)}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2}.$$

Taking the real and imaginary part we have

$$\partial_t(X_t + \tilde{\lambda}_t) = \frac{-2X_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_t Y_t = \frac{2Y_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} > 0, \tag{2}$$

since $Y_t > 0$. Note that (2) implies we are flowing upward as we hoped.

Now consider $\partial_t \log |f'_t(z)|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \log |f'_t(z)| &= \partial_t \operatorname{Re} \log f'_t(z) = \operatorname{Re} \partial_t \log f'_t(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial_t f'_t(z)}{f'_t(z)} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial_z \partial_t f_t(z)}{f'_t(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial_z \frac{-2}{f_t(z) - \lambda_t}}{f'_t(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{Z_t^2} \text{(Quotient rule)} \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{(X_t - iY_t)^2}{(X_t^2 + Y_t^2)^2} = 2 \frac{X_t^2 - Y_t^2}{(X_t^2 + Y_t^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} |f'_t(z)| &= \exp \log |f'_t(z)| \\ &= \exp \int_0^t \partial_s \log |f'_s(z)| ds \\ &= \exp \int_0^t \frac{X_s^2 - Y_s^2}{X_s^2 + Y_s^2} \left(\frac{2 ds}{X_s^2 + Y_s^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_s = \log Y_s$, then $\partial_s u_s = \frac{\partial_s Y_s}{Y_s} = \frac{2ds}{X_s^2 + Y_s^2}$ by (2). Applying the substitution, we have

$$|f'_t(z)| = \exp \int_{u_0}^{u_t} \frac{\left(\frac{X_u}{Y_u}\right)^2 - 1}{\left(\frac{X_u}{Y_u}\right)^2 + 1} du = \exp \int_{u_0}^{u_t} \frac{W_u^2 - 1}{W_u^2 + 1} du,$$

where $W_u := \frac{X_u}{Y_u}$. If we assume $W_t < k$ for some k independent on t , then $\frac{W_u^2 - 1}{W_u^2 + 1} < \alpha$ for some $\alpha < 1$ (Consider the graph of function $\frac{x-1}{x+1}$). Then the theorem follows from Remark 1 since

$$|f'_t(z)| = \exp \int_{u_0}^{u_t} \frac{W_u^2 - 1}{W_u^2 + 1} du < \exp \int_{u_0}^{u_t} \alpha du = \exp(\alpha(u_t - u_s)) = e^{\alpha \log(\frac{Y_t}{Y_0})} = \left(\frac{Y_t}{Y_0}\right)^\alpha.$$

□

Now it remains to show our assumption that $\exists k$ independent on t with $W_t < k$ for all t is true. To achieve this we introduce 2 lemmas as the following.

Lemma 1. *If $\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 4$ and $X_0 = 0$, then $\exists C = C(\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}})$, s.t. $|X_s| \leq CY_s$.*

Lemma 2. For every $\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$ there exists $c_1 < c_2$ s.t. if $\frac{x_0}{y_0} = c$ and $\frac{x_s}{y_s} \geq c_1, \forall s \in [0, T]$, then $\frac{x_t}{y_t} \leq c_2$ for all t .

Note.

1. Lemma 1 implies our assumption above with $\alpha = \frac{C^2+1}{C^2-1}$.
2. Lemma 2 implies lemma 1. To see this, we use the "resetting clock argument". Take the last time, namely t_0 , when Z_t escapes the cone $\{y > c_1x\}$. Then Z_{t-t_0} satisfies the condition for lemma 2. By lemma 2, the conclusion of lemma 1 is true with $C = c_2$. So it suffices to show lemma 2.
3. Both lemmas hold as long as $\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$. In class we gave a proof of lemma 2 for $\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2} - 1$. Here we will give a direct proof of lemma 1 for $\|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 2$. The proof becomes rather technical for $2 \leq \|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$. Readers who are interested may go through theorem 3.1 - 3.6 in this paper for more details.

Proof. (of lemma 1)

Let $\sigma = \|\tilde{\lambda}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} < 4$. First we claim that if $|X_t| < \sigma\sqrt{t}$ for some $\sigma < 2$ and all $t \geq CY_0^2$, then

$$Y_t \geq Lt$$

for all t , where $L = \min(\frac{1}{C}, 4 - \sigma^2) > 0$.

First assuming the claim. By equality (1), if $X_s \geq 0$ then $X_t + \tilde{\lambda}_t$ decreases. By symmetry and considering the last time that X_s is of the same sign as X_t , we have

$$|X_t| \leq |X_0| + \sup\{|\tilde{\lambda}_s - \tilde{\lambda}_t| : s \in [0, t]\} \leq 0 + \sigma\sqrt{t}.$$

Therefore the assumption of the claim is satisfied with arbitrarily small C .

It flows from the claim that

$$\frac{|X_t|}{Y_t} < \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{4 - \sigma^2}},$$

for t greater than arbitrarily small C . So it is true for all t , which concludes the proof.

Next we show the claim by contradiction. Let $t_0 = CY_0^2$. Since $L \leq 1/C$ and Y_t is increasing, we have $Lt \leq t/C \leq Y_0^2 \leq Y_t^2$. If the claim were not true, then since $Y_0 > 0 = L\sqrt{0}$, there exists a minimal $s > t_0$ s.t. $Y_s^2 = Ls$ and $Y_t^2 \geq Lt$ on $[0, s]$. Then it follows from (2) that

$$\partial_t Y_t^2 = \frac{4Y_t^2}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} \geq \frac{Lt}{M^2t + Lt} \geq L$$

for all $t \in (t_0, s)$, which implies $Y_s^2 - Y_{t_0}^2 \geq L(s - t_0)$. This contradicts to our assumption that $Y_s^2 - Y_{t_0}^2 = Ls - Y_{t_0}^2 < L(s - t_0)$.

□