

# CONVEXITY AND THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR DIRECTED GRAPHS - THE DUAL CASE

WILL JOHNSON

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Previously, I considered conductivity networks where current along each edge was given by a nonlinear (but monotone and continuous) function of voltage, and showed that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is well-defined. Here, I consider the dual situation, where voltage along each edge is given by a monotone, continuous function of current. I show that a very similar approach can be made. In particular, we have these results:

- Given boundary currents adding to 0, there is some solution to the von Neumann problem.
- The set of solutions is a convex set.
- The voltage drops in the solution are uniquely determined.

Assume we have a graph  $\Gamma$  with vertices  $V$  and edges  $E$ , and vertices partitioned into two sets: the boundary nodes  $\partial V$  and the interior nodes  $\text{int } V$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A resistance function on  $\Gamma$  is a collection of real-valued functions  $f_{ij}(x)$  for each  $v_i v_j \in E$ , such that

- For every  $v_i v_j \in E$ ,  $f_{ij}(x)$  is a continuous and weakly increasing function of  $x$ .
- $f_{ij}(0) = 0$
- $f_{ij}(-x) = -f_{ji}(x)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A current function on  $V$  to be a function  $I : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I_{ij} = -I_{ji}$ , for all  $v_j \in \text{int } V = V \setminus \partial V$

$$\sum_{v_k \text{ adjacent to } v_j} I_{jk} = 0.$$

and  $I_{ij} = 0$  unless  $v_i v_j \in E$ .

Current functions form a vectors space  $W$ . Note that if  $I$  is any current function, then

$$\sum_{v_j \in \partial V} \sum_{v_k v_j \in E} I_{jk} = \sum_j \sum_{v_k v_j \in E} I_{jk} = \sum_{v_k v_j \in E} I_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{v_k v_j \in E} I_{jk} - I_{kj} \right) = 0.$$

**Definition 1.3.** A current function  $I$  satisfies Kirchhoff's Voltage Law with respect to the resistance functions  $f$  if there is a function  $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $v_i v_j \in E$

$$f_{ij}(I_{ij}) = u(v_i) - u(v_j)$$

**Definition 1.4.** Given a function  $\phi : \partial V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\sum_{v_j \in \partial V} \phi_j = 0$ , the Neumann problem is to find a current function  $I$  satisfying the Kirchhoff Voltage Law, and satisfying

$$\sum_k I_{jk} = \phi_j$$

for  $v_j \in \partial V$ .

The  $\phi_i$  are called boundary currents. Note that if  $\sum_{v_j \in \partial V} \phi_j \neq 0$ , then there could not be any solution. The boundary currents must add up to zero.

## 2. PSEUDOPOWER (OR ITS DUAL)

For each edge  $v_i v_j \in E$ , define the pseudopower function

$$p_{ij}(I_{ij}) = 2 \int_0^{I_{ij}} f_{ij}(t) dt$$

Since  $f_{ij}(x) = -f_{ji}(-x)$ , we have  $p_{ij}(x) = p_{ji}(-x)$ . Also, because  $f_{ij}(0) = 0$  and  $f_{ij}(x)$  is weakly increasing,  $p_{ij}(x) \geq 0$ . Clearly,  $p_{ij}(x)$  is a convex, continuously differentiable function of  $x$ . Define the total pseudopower  $p(I) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E} p_{ij}(I_{ij})$ . Then  $p$  is a convex, continuously differentiable function on  $W$ , and is bounded below by *zero*.

Let  $W_\phi$  be the set of all current functions having a given boundary current  $\phi$ . As long as  $\sum_{v_i \in \partial V} \phi_i = 0$ ,  $W_\phi$  will be nonempty. (This should be straightforward to prove by induction on the size of the graph).

If  $p$  attains a minimum value at some  $I \in W_\phi$ , then  $I$  is a critical point. Take any cycle  $C$ . Create a new current function  $I(\epsilon)$  by  $I_{ij}(\epsilon) = I_{ij} + \epsilon$  if  $v_i v_j$  is in the cycle (in that order),  $I_{ji}(\epsilon) = I_{ji} - \epsilon$  if  $v_i v_j$  is in the cycle (in that order), and  $I_{ij} = I_{ij}$  otherwise. In other words, we increase the flow along around  $C$  by  $\epsilon$  at each edge.  $I(\epsilon)$  is certainly a current function in  $W_\phi$ , and since  $I$  was a critical point, we must have

$$\left. \frac{\partial I(\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = 0 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in C} p'_{ij}(I_{ij}) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in C} f_{ij}(I_{ij}).$$

Since this is true for any cycle, we can choose  $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u_i - u_j = f_{ij}(I_{ij})$  for all  $v_i v_j \in E$ . Therefore,  $I$  satisfies the Kirchoff Current Laws. So a global minimum of  $p$  on  $W_\phi$  is a solution to the Neumann problem. Conversely, any critical point of a convex function is a global minimum. Since the global minima of a convex function form a convex set, the solutions to the Neumann problem form a convex set. In summary, we have shown:

**Theorem 2.1.** *For boundary currents  $\phi$ ,  $I \in W_\phi$  is a solution to the Neumann problem iff it is a global minimum of the total pseudopower  $p$  on  $W_\phi$ . The solutions form a convex subset of  $W_\phi$ .*

## 3. EXISTENCE

We still need to show that any solutions exist. As noted above, it is not hard to show that  $W_\phi$  is nonempty (assuming  $\sum_k \phi_k = 0$ ). Let's say that a current function is *acyclic* if there does not exist a cycle in the graph along which all the currents are positive. For example, it is not the case that for some  $i, j, k$ ,  $I_{ij}, I_{jk}, I_{ki} > 0$ . Let  $K_\phi \subseteq W_\phi$  be the set of all acyclic current functions. Clearly,  $K_\phi$  is closed. It is also bounded:

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $I \in K_\phi$ , then for all  $v_i v_j \in E$ ,*

$$I_{ij} \leq \sum_k |\phi_k|$$

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the set of vertices upstream from  $v_i$ . This is meaningful, because  $I$  is acyclic. To be more precise,  $v \in S$  iff there is a chain of vertices  $v = v_{c_1}, v_{c_2}, \dots, v_{c_r} = v_i$  such that  $v_{c_l} v_{c_{l+1}} \in E$  and  $I_{c_l c_{l+1}} > 0$ . Then  $S$  does not contain  $v_j$ , since  $I$  is acyclic. The total current flowing from  $S$  to  $V \setminus S$  is equal to the total boundary current along the boundary of  $S$ , so it is at most  $\sum_k |\phi_k|$ . Also, if  $v_p v_q \in E$ ,  $v_p \in S$ , but  $v_q \notin S$ , then  $I_{pq} \geq 0$ , since otherwise the chain from  $v_p$  to  $v_i$  could be extended to be from  $v_q$  to  $v_i$ , contradicting  $v_q \notin S$ . Therefore,  $I_{ij}$  is bounded from above by the total amount of current flowing from  $S$  to  $V \setminus S$ , which as already noted is bounded by  $\sum_k |\phi_k|$ .  $\square$

It turns out that the minimum, if it occurs, must occur on  $K_\phi$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For  $I \in W_\phi$ , there is some  $I' \in K_\phi$ , such that  $p(I') \leq p(I)$*

*Proof.* If  $I$  is acyclic then we are done, so suppose there is some cycle  $C$  such that  $I$  has positive currents along  $C$ . Let  $\mu$  be the smallest current of  $I$  along  $C$ , and let  $I_1$  be the current function obtained by decreasing every current along  $C$  by  $\mu$ . This is still a current function, in  $W_\phi$ . Now decreasing the magnitude of a current along an edge does not increase the pseudopower there, so  $p(I_1) \leq p(I)$ . If  $I_1 \in K_\phi$  then we are done. Otherwise, perform the same operation on  $I_1$ , and continue until we have a current function in  $K_\phi$ . This process always terminates, because at each step we strictly increase the number of edges on which there is no current.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The von Neumann problem has a solution if  $\sum_k \phi_k = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2(!)  $K_\phi$  is nonempty. It is also bounded (by Lemma 3.1) and closed, so  $p$  attains a minimum on it, at some point  $I_0$ . Then for any  $I \in W_\phi$ ,  $p(I) \geq p(I') \geq p(I_0)$  for some  $I' \in K_\phi$ , by Lemma 3.2. Therefore,  $I_0$  is a global minimum of  $p$ , so by Theorem 2.1  $I_0$  is a solution to the Neumann problem with boundary currents  $\phi$ .  $\square$

#### 4. VOLTAGES FIXED

Now, we show that for a given boundary current  $\phi$ , the voltage differences across each edge are uniquely determined. Suppose we have two solutions,  $I$  and  $J$ . Define an auxiliary directed graph on  $V$ , in which there is an edge from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  if  $f_{ij}(I_{ij}) < f_{ij}(J_{ij})$ . If  $S$  is any set of vertices in the graph, it cannot be the case that every edge from  $S$  to  $V \setminus S$  is in the auxiliary graph, since this would indicate that the current flowing from  $S$  to  $V \setminus S$  increased, but that should be fixed by the boundary currents.

Suppose that  $v_i v_j$  is an edge in the auxiliary graph. Let  $S$  be the set of all vertices that can reach  $i$  by traveling along the edges of the original graph, without ever going against the direction of the auxiliary graph. If  $x \in S$  and  $y \notin S$ , and there is an edge from  $x$  to  $y$ , there must be an edge in the auxiliary graph from  $x$  to  $y$ , or else we could travel from  $y$  to  $v_i$  via  $x$ . So every edge from  $S$  to  $V \setminus S$  is in the auxiliary graph. By the previous comment, this can only happen if  $V \setminus S = \emptyset$ . Then  $v_j \in S$ . So we can travel from  $v_j$  to  $v_i$  without traveling against the arrows. This produces a cycle  $C$  such that as we travel around  $C$ , we never travel against the arrow, and at at least one point (where we move from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ ), we travel with an arrow. Therefore, the sum of the voltage drops along  $C$  never decreased, and increased in at least one point, as we switch from  $I$  to  $J$ . But this is impossible, since the sum of the voltage drops along a cycle should be 0 in both  $I$  and  $J$ .

Therefore, there are no edges in the auxiliary graph. So for every edge  $v_i v_j$ ,  $f_{ij}(I_{ij}) \geq f_{ij}(J_{ij})$ . Switching  $i$  and  $j$ , we also have

$$-f_{ij}(I_{ij}) = f_{ji}(I_{ji}) \geq f_{ji}(J_{ji}) = -f_{ij}(J_{ij})$$

So  $f_{ij}(I_{ij}) = f_{ij}(J_{ij})$  for all edges.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For fixed boundary currents  $\phi$ , all solutions to the Neumann problem have the same voltage drop across any given edge.*

By combining this with Theorem 3.3, we see that the Neumann-to-Dirichlet map is well defined. In the case where all the resistance functions are bijections, this combines with my prior result to show that there is essentially a one to one correspondence between boundary currents (summing to zero) and boundary voltages (summing to zero).