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#### Abstract

We consider an electrical network where each edge is consists of resistor, inductor, and capacitor joined in parallel. We will make sense of Newmann-to-Dirichlet map for these networks. We will prove that any recoverable resistor network is still recoverable when capacitance and inductance are added in parallel. We will also give some examples of networks nonrecoverable as resistor networks but recoverable when inductor and capacitor are added to every edge.


## 1. Introduction

Let a graph with boundary be a triple $\Gamma=(V, \partial V, E)$, where $(V, E)$ is a finite graph with the set of nodes $V$ and the set of edges $E$. Let $\partial V$ be a nonempty subset of $V$ called the set of boundary nodes. To each edge we assign three numbers inductance $L$, capatance $C$, and resistance $R$ joined in parallel connection. Suppose we apply an alternating voltage $v$ on one of the boundary nodes with frequency $\omega$ and zero on the other nodes. Then at steady state according to laws of circuit theory all the nodes will have voltage with frequency $\omega[3]$. Then $I$ on any edge is the sum of the currents for the resistor, capacitor and inductor. From elementary circuit theory it's known that the current $I$ going through a resistor is proportional to the voltage drop $v$ on this edge,

$$
I_{R}=\frac{1}{R} v
$$

through a capacitor is proportional to derivative of voltage drop for this edge,

$$
I_{C}=C \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}
$$

and for an inductor the current is proportional to the antiderivative of voltage drop,

$$
I_{L}=\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{t} v
$$

Then the current going through a single edge is just the sum of them

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=I_{R}+I_{C}+I_{L} \\
I=\frac{1}{R} v+\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{t} v+C \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $p$ be an interior node and $q_{j}$ its neighbors. Suppose the voltage at node $p$ is $v(p)$ and at nodes $q_{j}$ are $v\left(q_{j}\right)$. Then at steady state, by Kirchhoff's law, the sum of the currents flowing out of interior node $p$ is equal to zero.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p q_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{R_{j}}\left(v\left(q_{j}\right)-v(p)\right)+C_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(v\left(q_{j}\right)-v(p)\right)+\frac{1}{L_{j}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(v\left(q_{j}\right)-v(p)\right)\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we apply a voltage in the form $e^{i \omega t}$ at one boundary node, where $\omega$ is frequency and $t$ is time. Then the voltage is of the form $A e^{i \omega t}$ at all interior nodes, where $A$ is some complex function on the nodes. So we can rewrite the last equation in the following form:
$\left.\sum_{p q_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{R_{j}}\left(A\left(q_{j}\right)-A(p)\right) e^{i \omega t}+C_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(A\left(q_{j}\right)-A(p)\right) e^{i \omega t}\right)+\frac{1}{L_{j}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(A\left(q_{j}\right)-A(p)\right) e^{i \omega t}\right)=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \sum_{p q_{j}} e^{i \omega t}\left(A\left(q_{j}\right)-A(p)\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{j}}+i\left(C_{j} \omega-\frac{1}{L_{j} \omega}\right)\right)=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{p q_{j}}\left(A\left(q_{j}\right)-A(p)\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{j}}+i\left(C_{j} \omega-\frac{1}{L_{j} \omega}\right)\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

We call

$$
\gamma=\frac{1}{R}+i\left(\omega C-\frac{1}{\omega L}\right)
$$

an admittance defined on the edges, where $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)>0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$ is some function of $\omega$.

## 2. UNIQUINESS

We call a connected graph $\Gamma$ with a function $\gamma$ on its edges a network $\Gamma_{\gamma}$. Suppose we have a network with $n$ nodes, $m$ of which are boundary nodes. We number all nodes in the network in such a way that the first $m$ nodes are boundary ones: $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots ., q_{m}, q_{m+1}, \ldots ., q_{n}$. Then we construct Kirchhoff's $n \times n$ matrix in the following way:
if $i \neq j$, then $k_{i, j}=-\sum \gamma(e)$, where the sum is taken over all edges $e$ joining $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$ (if there is no edge joining $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$, then $k_{i, j}=0$ );
$k_{i, i}=\sum \gamma(e)$, where the sum is taken over all edges $e$ with one end point at $q_{i}$ and other endpoint not $q_{i}$.

From the construction of $K$ it's clear that $K$ is symmetric ( $k_{i, j}=k_{j, i}$ ) and the sum of the elements of any row is zero $\left(\sum_{i} k_{i, j}=0 \Rightarrow k_{i, i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} k_{i, j}\right)$, $K$ also has a block structure.

Suppose

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A & B  \tag{2.1}\\
\hline B^{T} & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A$ is $m \times m$ submatrix representing a map for the boundary nodes. Define $\Lambda=A-B^{T} C^{-1} B$. This definition will be correct if $C$ is nonsingular.

First we prove that the rank of $K$ is $n-1$. Let $x$ be a $n \times 1$ vector $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $K x=0$. Then $\bar{x}^{T} K x=0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{x}^{T} K x=\sum_{i, j}^{n} \bar{x}_{i} k_{i, j} x_{j}  \tag{2.2}\\
=\sum_{i \neq j}^{n} \bar{x}_{i} k_{i, j} x_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i, i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i>j}^{n} k_{i, j}\left(\bar{x}_{i} x_{j}+\bar{x}_{j} x_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i, i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$=\sum_{i>j}^{n} k_{i, j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(\bar{x}_{j}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i>j}^{n}-k_{i, j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(\overline{x_{i}-x_{j}}\right)=-\sum_{i>j}^{n} k_{i, j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}=0$
Since $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ is a connected network, any node $q_{j}$ can be connected to $q_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(k_{i, j}\right)<0$ for $i \neq j$ it follows that $x$ must be a constant vector.

Suppose that $C y=0$, where $y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-n}\right]$ is some $(n-m) \times 1$ vector. We build a $n \times 1$ vector $z$ that has the form $z=\left[0, \ldots, 0, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-n}\right]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}^{T} K z=\bar{y}^{T} C y=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follows that $z$ is constant vector, but $z$ has zero entries, so $y$ is the zero vector and $C$ is nonsingular.

We proved only for the case when $\omega$ is real. But this result can extended for any $\omega$ in the complex plane, becuase determinant $C$ can not be identical zero (we proved that $C$ is non-singular for real $\omega$ ) it can have only a finite number of zeros.

Suppose the voltage of the form $F_{\partial}=\left(\begin{array}{c}F_{1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{m}\end{array}\right) e^{i \omega t}$ is applied at the boundary nodes, and let $F_{i n t}=\left(\begin{array}{c}F_{m+1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{n}\end{array}\right) e^{i \omega t}$ be the resulting potential at the interior nodes. Then at the steady state the potential inside satisfies a current version of Kirchhoff's law [3].

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B  \tag{2.4}\\
B^{T} & C
\end{array}\right)\binom{F_{\partial}}{F_{\text {int }}}=\binom{G_{\partial}}{0}
$$

Since $C$ is invertible, the Dirichlet problem with boundary data $F_{\partial} e^{i \omega t}$ has an unique solution.

$$
\Rightarrow F_{\text {int }}=-C^{-1} B^{T} F_{\partial}
$$

The current out of boundary nodes is given by

$$
G_{\partial}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \tag{2.5}
\end{array}\right)\binom{F_{\partial}}{F_{\text {int }}}=\left(A-B C^{-1} B^{T}\right)\left(F_{\partial}\right)=\Lambda_{\gamma}\left(F_{\partial}\right)
$$

We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{\gamma}$ to be a linear map from the boundary potential of the form $F_{\partial} e^{i \omega t}$ to boundary currents $G_{\partial} e^{i \omega t}$. The matrix that represents $\Lambda_{\gamma}$ can be calculated explicitly in terms of blocks of the $K$ matrix.

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma}=A-B C^{-1} B^{T}
$$

for some $\gamma$.

## 3. IMPLICATIONS FROM RESISTOR NETWORK

The difference between the situation when there are only resistors in a network and when there are resistors, inductors, and capacitors is great. Any network that is recoverable in the first case is still recoverable in the second, because by choosing $\omega=-i \omega_{0}$, where $\omega_{0}>0$ our admittance $\gamma$ is always bigger than zero

$$
\gamma=\frac{1}{R}+i\left(C\left(-i \omega_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{L\left(-i \omega_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{R}+C \omega_{0}+\frac{1}{L \omega_{0}}>0 .
$$

Thus we know $\gamma$ for all pure imaginary $\omega$ with negative imaginary part. So we know $\gamma$ for any $\omega$.

In the last proof we didn't use the specific form of the $\gamma$. We used only the facts that $\gamma$ is analytic and maps the negative imaginery part onto positive real part.

## 4. TWO IN SERIES

There are some cases, a resistor non-recoverable network can be recovered when inductor and capacitor are added.

We look at a graph with two edges connected in series between two boundary nodes. Assume that the first edge has conductivity $\gamma_{1}$, and the second $\gamma_{2}$.


Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}(\omega)=\frac{1}{R_{1}}+i\left(\omega C_{1}-\frac{1}{\omega L_{1}}\right) \\
& \gamma_{2}(\omega)=\frac{1}{R_{2}}+i\left(\omega C_{2}-\frac{1}{\omega L_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are given a $\Lambda$ matrix or in our case we know

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{-1} \text { or }\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}\right) .
$$

We rewrite the last expression in the simple fractions form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}\right)=\omega\left(\frac{1}{i C_{1} \omega^{2}+\frac{1}{R_{1}} \omega-\frac{i}{L_{1}}}+\frac{1}{i C_{2} \omega^{2}+\frac{1}{R_{1}} \omega-\frac{i}{L_{1}}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{1^{\prime}}$ be the zeros of the $\omega \gamma_{1}(\omega)$ and $\omega_{2}, \omega_{2^{\prime}}$ be the roots of $\omega \gamma_{2}(\omega)$. Then the equation (3.1) has a unique representation in simple fractions. Let

$$
D_{1}=\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{4 C_{1}}{L_{1}}, \quad D_{2}=\frac{1}{R_{2}^{2}}-\frac{4 C_{2}}{L_{2}}
$$

be discriminants of $\omega \gamma_{1}(\omega)$ and $\omega \gamma_{2}(\omega)$, notice that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are real. Assume that $D_{1}, D_{2} \neq 0$,then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}=\omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1}^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have several cases:

1. $D_{1} \neq D_{2}$.

If $\omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{1^{\prime}} \neq \omega_{2^{\prime}}$, or in other words, if $\gamma_{1}$ is not proportional to $\gamma_{2}$, then we can always distinguish $\omega_{1}, \omega_{1^{\prime}}$ from $\omega_{2}, \omega_{2^{\prime}}$ by letting $\omega$ approach $\omega_{j},\left(j=1,1^{\prime}, 2,2^{\prime}\right)$ and see how fast $\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{j}}$ goes to $\infty$, by the speed of $\sqrt{D_{1}}$ or $\sqrt{D_{2}}$. By the same approach we can determine $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Thus we can find $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$.

This method can be applied in the case that one of the discriminants is zero. One of the roots will be a double root and can always be distinguished from the other two.

If $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{1^{\prime}}=\omega_{2^{\prime}}$, and $\gamma_{1}$ is proportional to $\gamma_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}=k \gamma_{2}\right)$, then there are infinitely many solutions and we can always replace $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ by one conductor $\gamma=\frac{k+1}{k} \gamma_{1}$.
2. $D_{1}=D_{2}=D \neq 0$.

If $D<0$ then $\sqrt{D}$ is pure imaginary and $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{1^{\prime}}$ are symmetrical with respect to the imaginary axis, so are $\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{2^{\prime}}$. We can combine $\omega_{1}$ with $\omega_{1^{\prime}}$ and $\omega_{2}$ with $\omega_{2^{\prime}}$, so the solution is unique.

If $D>0$, then we can rewrite (3.2) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1^{\prime}}}+\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{2^{\prime}}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\omega_{j}, \omega_{j^{\prime}}=\frac{-\frac{1}{R_{j}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{R_{j}^{2}}-\frac{4 C_{j}}{L_{j}}}}{i C_{j}} \quad, \text { where } j=1,2
$$

thus $\omega_{j}, \omega_{j^{\prime}}$ are pure imaginary with positive imaginary part. We know that if we approchimate $\omega_{j}$ from $+i \infty$ in (3.3) then two roots must have positive imaginery parts and the other two negative imaginery parts. Assume that $\omega_{j}=i a_{j}$, where $j=1,1^{\prime}, 2,2^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\omega-i a_{j}}-\frac{1}{\omega-i a_{k}}=\frac{a_{k}-a_{j}}{-i \omega^{2}-\left(a_{k}+a_{j}\right) \omega+i\left(a_{k} a_{j}\right)}
$$

Because the coefficient of $\omega^{2}$ must be pure imaginary with positive imaginary part, it follows that $a_{k}-a_{j}$ must be negative. We have two cases:
a). if both $a_{1^{\prime}}$ and $a_{2^{\prime}}$ are greater than $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, then $a_{1}$ can be combined with either $a_{1^{\prime}}$ or $a_{2^{\prime}}$, so can $a_{3}$. Thus there are two solutions.
b). if one of the $a_{1^{\prime}}$ or $a_{2^{\prime}}$ is smaller or equal to $a_{1}$, or $a_{2}$, then in one of the combining we would get a wrong sign. Thus the solution is unique.
3. $D_{1}=D_{2}=0$.

In this case $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1^{\prime}}$ and $\omega_{2}=\omega_{2^{\prime}}$, and

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}\right)=\omega\left(\frac{1}{i C_{1}\left(\omega-\omega_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{i C_{2}\left(\omega-\omega_{2}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

. Then the possibilities are:
a). $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1^{\prime}}=\omega_{2}=\omega_{2^{\prime}}$, then $\gamma_{1}$ is proportional to $\gamma_{2}$, so there are infinitely many solutions.
b). $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1^{\prime}} \neq \omega_{2}=\omega_{2^{\prime}}$. In this case by letting $\omega$ approach $\omega_{j}(j=$ $1,1^{\prime}, 2,2^{\prime}$, we can find $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, so we can recover $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$.

## 5. SIMILAR CONNECTIONS

The idea of connection of two conductors in series can be extended for any arbitrary number $m$.


Suppose we have conductors $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$, and suppose that $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m} \neq 0$ are discriminants and $\omega_{1}, \omega_{1^{\prime}}, \ldots, \omega_{m}, \omega_{m^{\prime}}$ are zeros of $\omega \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \omega \gamma_{m}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\gamma_{m}}
$$

has unique representation in simple fractions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\gamma_{m}}=\omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{1^{\prime}}}\right)+\cdots+\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{m}}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{m}}-\frac{1}{\omega-\omega_{m^{\prime}}}\right)\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are many possibilities which are similar to the case when there are only two conductors joining in series. We wouldn't consider all the cases, they are rather tedious although they are can be handled by the same ideas as in the last section. The case when
$D_{1} \neq \ldots \ldots \neq D_{m}$ and $\omega_{1} \neq \ldots \neq \omega_{m}$, or $\omega_{1^{\prime}} \neq \ldots \neq \omega_{m^{\prime}}$ is recoverable because we can always distinguish $\omega_{j}$ from $\omega_{k}$ and $\omega_{j^{\prime}}$ from $\omega_{k^{\prime}}$, where $j, k=1, \ldots, m$ and $k \neq j$. We can also always combine $\omega_{j}$ with $\omega_{j^{\prime}}$. By letting $\omega$ approach to $\omega-j$, we can find $D_{j}$. Knowing that we can find $\gamma_{j}$.

The case when $D_{j}=D_{k}$ is the same as in the last section. The cases when more than two discriminants are equal are rather messy and it's not the purpose of this paper to look at all of them.

Knowing how to recover $m$ conductors joined in series allows us to recover the case of star shape connection like this:

where $m$ rays are coming from one point, and each ray has $n$ admittances in sries ( $n$ and $m$ are arbitrary).

This star is also recoverable in the most cases. We numerate all nodes boundary nodes first and the central the last $1,2, \ldots, n, n+1$. Let rays be $1,2, \ldots, n$ by numbers of boundary nodes. We can asumme that each ray $j$
has one admittance $\gamma_{j}$.


Then the Kirchhoff's matrix is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{1} & 0 & \cdots & -\gamma_{1} \\
0 & \gamma_{2} & \cdots & -\gamma_{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-\gamma_{1} & -\gamma_{2} & \cdots & \Sigma
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad \Sigma=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{n} \text {. }
$$

Thus $\Lambda$ matrix for this network is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda=\frac{1}{\Sigma}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S_{1} & -\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} & -\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3} & \cdots & -\gamma_{1} \gamma_{n} \\
& S_{2} & -\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} & \cdots & -\gamma_{2} \gamma_{n} \\
& & S_{3} & \cdots & -\gamma_{3} \gamma_{n} \\
& & & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & & S_{n}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
\text { where } S_{k}=\sum_{j, j \neq k}^{n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

We know that the $\Lambda$ matrix is symmetric and determined by its above diagonal elements. Suppose

$$
a_{1,2}=\frac{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}{\Sigma}, \ldots, a_{i, j}=\frac{\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}}{\Sigma} .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}}{\Sigma}=a_{1,2} a_{1,3}+a_{1,2} a_{2,3}+a_{1,3} a_{2,3}+a_{1,2} \sum_{j>3} a_{3, j} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}=\frac{a_{1,2} a_{1,3}+a_{1,2} a_{2,3}+a_{1,3} a_{2,3}+a_{1,2} \sum_{j>3} a_{3, j}}{a_{2,3}}, \\
& \gamma_{2}=\frac{a_{1,2} a_{1,3}+a_{1,2} a_{2,3}+a_{1,3} a_{2,3}+a_{1,2} \sum_{j>3} a_{3, j}}{a_{1,3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{3}=\frac{a_{1,2} a_{1,3}+a_{1,2} a_{2,3}+a_{1,3} a_{2,3}+a_{1,2} \sum_{j>3} a_{3, j}}{a_{1,2}} .
$$

Similary we can find all $\gamma_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Knowing this sum everything can be reduced to the case of $m$ admittances joined in series.

The answer that this star is recoverable follows from section three and the fact that this star network is recoverable in the case of resistor network.

## 6. EXAMPLE OF NONRECOVERABLE NETWORK

From the last examples it may seem that networks with admittances as conductivities can always be recovered in the most cases. It is not true. Example of network such that can be

where 1,2 are boundary nodes.
In this cases the idea of two condactors joined in parallel works. The $\Lambda$ matrix of this network is $2 \times 2$ and determinited by one of diagonal element, say $a_{12}$ that equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{12}=\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{23}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{13}}\right)^{-1}+\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{14}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{24}}\right)^{-1} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite (5.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{12}=\frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{\gamma_{13} \gamma_{23}\left(\gamma_{14}+\gamma_{24}\right)+\gamma_{14} \gamma_{24}\left(\gamma_{13}+\gamma_{23}\right)}{\left(\gamma_{13}+\gamma_{23}\right)\left(\gamma_{24}+\gamma_{14}\right)}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $a_{12}$ is a rational function of $\omega$ : polynomial of degree 6 in numerator and a polynomial of degree 4 in denominator.

Suppose that $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{6}$ are roots of numerator, and $\omega_{1^{\prime}}, \ldots, \omega_{4^{\prime}}$ are roots of denominator. Let $a$ be a leading term for the numerator and $b$ for the dominator. Then we can rewrite (5.2) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{12}=\frac{a}{b \omega}\left(\frac{\left(\omega-\omega_{1}\right) \ldots\left(\omega-\omega_{6}\right)}{\left.\omega-\omega_{1^{\prime}}\right) \ldots\left(\omega-\omega_{4^{\prime}}\right)}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From expression (6.3) we have 11 pieces of information: 6 zeros, 4 poles, and the ratio $\frac{a}{b}$. On the other hand we have 12 unknown parametrs. So we don't have unough information for recovering all parametrs.

## 7. DUAL NETWORKS

The concept of duality for the resistor network has natural extension to the case when resistor $R$,capacitor $C$, and inductor $L$ are joined in parallel connection. Using dual quantities in the table (7.1)

$$
\begin{array}{lccccccc}
\text { Kirchhoff's Voltage Law } & C & R & L & v & I & z & \text {-series } \\
\text { Kirchhoff's Current Law } & L & \frac{1}{R} & C & I & v & \gamma & \text {-parallel } \tag{7.1}
\end{array}
$$

we get that resistor, inductor, and capacitor joined in series is the dual to resistor, capacitor, and inductor joined in parallel.


If instead of writting the integro-differential equation for the $C, R, L$ joined in parallel connection we write the integro-differential equation for $L, R, C$ joined in series, and instead of using Kirchhoff's Current Law we use Kirchhoff's Voltage Law, we get an impedance $z$ in the form

$$
z=R+i\left(L \omega-\frac{1}{C \omega}\right)
$$

on edges, where each edge is $R, L, C$ joined in series.

When we recover one network, we automatically recover the dual one. Thus for example knowing the result for $n$ admittances joined in series, we automatically know the result for $n$ impendances joined in parallel.
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