Modeling a Mailman’s Problem as Finding an Eulerian Closed Circuit
Abstract

Delivery and routing problems have been of great importance in our society and to the economy. Consider a mailman, who has a predetermined delivering route; but is this route the most efficient one? We will answer this question by modeling our mailman’s problem as finding an Eulerian Closed Circuit and its associated optimal traveling time of the route, and then compare our optimal time to the actual time our mailman spends in delivering all his mails [3]. The algorithm we use to find the Eulerian Circuit is the powerful algorithm that solves the Directed Chinese Postman Problem by Dr. Harold Thimbleby, the founder of the Future Interaction Technology Lab at Swansea University in Wales and former director of the University College London’s Interaction Center [5].

Problem Description

A mailman has a delivery route predetermined by the post office. However, it might not be the most efficient route. A friend of ours is a mailman working for the United States Postal Services in the rural area of the city of Yakima for over six years. We are trying to help him find a route that costs him the least amount of time to deliver all the mails. Starting from the post office, our mailman has to travel to all the mailboxes in his delivering route and end up back at the post office. This is a graph theoretic problem: all roads can be modeled as directed edges; and road junctions are vertices. Hence, the graph that shows our mailman’s delivering routes is a multigraph, a graph that can have more than one edge between a pair of vertices [3]. Since all the roads our mailman travels have two-way traffic, so all the vertices connecting the roads have even degree. The degree of a vertex is defined as the number of edges through that vertex [3].
Therefore, our delivering route must have an Eulerian Closed Circuit by Euler’s theorem. An Eulerian Closed Circuit is defined as a path that starts at a given vertex, traverses each edge of the graph exactly once, and returns to the starting point [3]. Our mailman probably wants the shortest tour with fewest repeated street visits, so our goal is to find the Eulerian Closed Circuit of his route and compute the optimal traveling time, which includes the time to deliver all the mails.

**Mathematical Model**

After talking to our friend, we were able to acquire his actual delivery route and the average delivery time he spends on each side of the roads. We then made a simplified graph based on the information our friend provided. Each vertex is numbered so that the notation is clearer. The number on each edge represents the delivery time on that side of the road. Notice that Vertex 0 is the post office, the origin and final destination for our mailman; other vertices are intersections of roads; the edges between vertex 0 and vertex 1 represent the virtual connection between the post office and the first intersection of the delivery route.
Figure 1: Simplified Delivering Route

The nodes represent the intersections and the edges represent the actual roads. Imagine the mailboxes standing on both sides of the roads between intersections are now standing on the corresponding edges between the nodes, and our friend has to travel to each edge once and the time he spends on each side of the roads is showed on the corresponding edges. Since the number of mailbox on each side of a road may be different, which means the time he spends on each side of the same road is different; so the amount of time showed on each edge between the same two nodes may be different.

Solution to the Mathematical Problem

To find the Eulerian Closed Circuit of our delivering route, we use an algorithm by Dr. Harold Thimbleby, which solves the Directed Chinese Postman Problem (CPP) [5]. A CPP does not necessarily have an Eulerian Closed Circuit; it may contain vertices that have odd degree. However, the goal of the CPP algorithm is the same as ours: to
find the optimal tour for a postman. Since an Eulerian circuit, if exists, is the optimal solution to any CPP, and we already know our U.S. postman problem has an Eulerian circuit; so our problem is just a special case of the CPP. Therefore, we can use the CPP algorithm to solve our problem.

The mathematical presentation and the Java executable codes of the CPP algorithm are presented in Dr. Thimbleby’s paper [5]. A full body of the Java codes is attached at the end of this paper. The idea of the algorithm is to minimize the times of adding edges between odd degree vertices so that the tour will become an Eulerian circuit, which is unnecessary for our case since our graph is already an Eulerian circuit. For the java codes, Dr. Thimbleby first constructs the CPP graph using his “addArc(String, int, int, double)” method from the CPP class. The first parameter of the “addArc” method is the name of the edge, we name all our edges “e”; the second parameter is the starting vertex number of the edge; the third parameter is the ending vertex number of the edge; the last parameter is the time cost of travelling through the edge. We use the same techniques to create our graph in Java, which is represented in figure 1; here is a piece of the codes we used to create our graph:

\[ G.addArc("e", 0, 1, 15).addArc("e", 1, 0, 15).addArc("e", 1, 2, 6.5) \ldots; \]

Then Dr. Thimbleby incorporates the Floyd-Warshall algorithm to find and record the shortest path in his “leastCostPaths()” method. The Floyd-Warshall algorithm is a graph analysis algorithm for finding the shortest paths in a weighted, directed graph; a single execution can find the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices [1]. He also uses the Cycle Canceling and Greedy algorithm to find the optimal solution in his “findFeasible()” and “improvements()” methods. The cycle-canceling algorithm is one of the earliest
algorithms to solve the minimum-cost flow problem. This algorithm maintains a feasible solution $x$ in the network $G$ and proceeds by augmenting flows along negative-cost directed cycles in the residual network $G(x)$ and thereby canceling them [4]. A greedy algorithm is any algorithm that follows the problem solving metaheuristic of making the locally optimal choice at each stage with the hope of finding the global optimum [2]. For our problem, we basically run the same codes Dr. Thimbleby used in his paper to find the Eulerian circuit and the time cost.

**Results**

After running the CPP algorithm, we obtained the Eulerian circuit for our route in the order of vertex numbers: 0-1-2-4-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-9-8-11-10-11-12-11-8-7-12-13-12-7-6-14-6-5-15-5-4-2-16-17-18-19-18-20-18-17-22-17-16-22-21-22-16-2-1-0, a visual representation is shown below in Figure 2. The optimal time to finish the route is 296 minutes (about 5 hours), which is the delivery time only; it does not include the time our friend stuck in traffic or his break time. Here is a piece of the actual output from the CPP algorithm:

*Take arc e from 0 to 1*
*Take arc e from 1 to 2*
*...
*Take arc e from 2 to 1*
*Take arc e from 1 to 0*
*Cost = 296.0*
Figure 2: Visual Presentation of the Optimal Route found by CPP algorithm

For comparison, here is our mailman’s actual route in the order of vertex numbers: 0-1-2-16-22-21-22-17-18-19-18-20-18-17-22-16-17-16-2-4-3-4-5-15-5-6-14-6-7-8-9-10-11-8-11-10-9-8-7-12-11-12-13-12-7-6-5-4-2-1-0, it is visually represented in Figure 3 below. Since our friend is also traveling in an Eulerian Closed Circuit, the actual delivery time he spends is therefore same as our optimal time – 296 minutes. The only difference between the actual route and our route is the order of vertices visited. However, if we take into account of his break time and traffic time, our friend will spend up to 328 minutes (about 5.5 hours).
Conclusion

An Eulerian Closed Circuit is the optimal delivery route for our mailman, because it only requires our mailman to travel each edge once; therefore, it minimizes the delivery time. We found the optimal path for our mailman by the CPP algorithm, but one may tend to use Fleury’s algorithm to solve a simple Eulerian circuit problem [6]. However, Fleury’s algorithm requires a lot of handwork-computation, and it may not be a good choice to solve problems with large amount of data. On the other hand, Dr. Thimbleby’s CPP algorithm provides a powerful tool for solving the Chinese Postman Problem and the problems alike.
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Appendix

Here is the full body of the CPP algorithm with necessary modification in order to produce our graph.

```java
import java.io.*;
import java.util.*;

public class cppMain {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        CPP G = new CPP(23); // create a graph of 23 vertices
    }
}
```
// add the arcs for the graph

G.addArc("e", 0, 1, 15).addArc("e", 1, 0, 15).addArc("e", 1, 2, 6.5).addArc("e", 2, 1, 2.5).
   addArc("e", 2, 4, 6).addArc("e", 4, 2, 6).
   .addArc("e", 5, 6, 1.5).addArc("e", 6, 5, 2.5).addArc("e", 6, 14, 6).
   addArc("e", 6, 7, 3.5).addArc("e", 7, 6, 3).
   .addArc("e", 7, 8, 2).addArc("e", 8, 7, 2).addArc("e", 7, 12, 3).
   addArc("e", 12, 7, 3).addArc("e", 8, 11, 1).addArc("e", 11, 8, 1).
   .addArc("e", 8, 9, 3.5).addArc("e", 9, 8, 3.5).
   .addArc("e", 9, 10, 2.5).addArc("e", 10, 9, 2.5).addArc("e", 10, 11, 4).
   .addArc("e", 11, 9, 2.5).addArc("e", 12, 11, 2.5).addArc("e", 12, 13, 10).
   addArc("e", 12, 13, 10).addArc("e", 13, 12, 10).addArc("e", 13, 16, 5.5).
   addArc("e", 22, 16, 15).addArc("e", 17, 22, 12.5).
   addArc("e", 22, 17, 12.5).
   addArc("e", 17, 18, 3).addArc("e", 18, 17, 3).
   addArc("e", 18, 19, 12.5).
   addArc("e", 19, 18, 2.5).addArc("e", 18, 20, 0.5).
   addArc("e", 20, 18, 1).
   .addArc("e", 21, 22, 12).
   addArc("e", 22, 21, 3);

G.solve(); // find the CPT

G.printCPT(0); // print it, starting from vertex 0

System.out.println("Cost = " + G.cost());
}

public class CPP
{
    int N; // number of vertices
    int delta[]; // deltas of vertices
    int neg[], pos[]; // unbalanced vertices
    int arcs[][]; // adjacency matrix, counts arcs between vertices
    Vector label[]; // vectors of labels of arcs (for each vertex pair)
    int f[][]; // repeated arcs in CPT
    double c[][]; // costs of cheapest arcs or paths
    String cheapestLabel[]; // labels of cheapest arcs
    boolean defined[][]; // whether path cost is defined between vertices
    int path[]; // spanning tree of the graph
    float basicCost; // total cost of traversing each arc once

    void solve()
    {
        leastCostPaths();
        checkValid();
findUnbalanced();
findFeasible();
while( improvements() );
}

CPP(int vertices)
{
    if( (N = vertices) <= 0) throw new Error("Graph is empty");
    delta = new int[N];
    defined = new boolean[N][N];
    label = new Vector[N][N];
    c = new double[N][N];
    f = new int[N][N];
    arcs = new int[N][N];
    cheapestLabel = new String[N][N];
    path = new int[N][N];
    basicCost = 0;
}

CPP addArc(String lab, int u, int v, double cost)
{
    if( !defined[u][v] ) label[u][v] = new Vector();
    label[u][v].addElement(lab);
    basicCost += cost;
    if( !defined[u][v] || c[u][v] > cost )
    {
        c[u][v] = cost;
        cheapestLabel[u][v] = lab;
        defined[u][v] = true;
        path[u][v] = v;
    }
    arcs[u][v]++;    
delta[u]++;    
delta[v]--;
    return this;
}

void leastCostPaths()
{
    for( int k = 0; k < N; k++ )
        for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
            if( defined[i][k] )
                for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ )
                    if( defined[k][j]
                        && (!defined[i][j] || c[i][j] >
                        basicCost+c[i][k] ) )
\{  path[i][j] = path[i][k];
c[i][j] = c[i][k]+c[k][j];
defined[i][j] = true;
if( i == j && c[i][j] < 0) return; // stop on negative cycle
\}

\textbf{checkValid()}

\{  for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
    for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ )
        if( !defined[i][j] ) throw new Error("Graph is not strongly connected");
        if( c[i][i] < 0) throw new Error("Graph has a negative cycle");
\}

\textbf{findUnbalanced()}

\{  int nn = 0 , np = 0 ; // number of vertices of negative/positive delta
    for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
        if( delta[i] < 0) nn++;
        else if( delta[i] > 0) np++;

    neg = new int[nn];
pos = new int[np];
nn = np = 0 ;
    for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ ) // initialise sets
        if( delta[i] < 0) neg[nn++] = i;
        else if( delta[i] > 0) pos[np++] = i;
\}

\textbf{findFeasible()}

\{  // delete next 3 lines to be faster, but non-reentrant
    int delta[] = new int[N];
    for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
        delta[i] = this.delta[i];

    for( int u = 0; u < neg.length; u++ )
        {  int i = neg[u];
            for( int v = 0; v < pos.length; v++ )
                {  int j = pos[v];
                    f[i][j] = -delta[i] < delta[j]? -delta[i]: delta[j];
                    delta[i] += f[i][j];
                    delta[j] -= f[i][j];
                }
        }
\}
boolean improvements()
{
    CPP residual = new CPP(N);
    for( int u = 0; u < neg.length; u++ )
    {
        int i = neg[u];
        for( int v = 0; v < pos.length; v++ )
        {
            int j = pos[v];
            residual.addArc(null, i, j, c[i][j]);
            if( f[i][j] != 0) residual.addArc(null, j, i, -c[i][j]);
        }
    }
    residual.leastCostPaths(); // find a negative cycle
    for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
    {
        if( residual.c[i][i] < 0) // cancel the cycle (if any)
        {
            int k = 0, u, v;
            boolean kunset = true;
            u = i; do // find k to cancel
            {
                v = residual.path[u][i];
                if( residual.c[u][v] < 0 && (kunset || k > f[v][u]) )
                {
                    k = f[v][u];
                    kunset = false;
                }
            } while( (u = v) != i );
            u = i; do // cancel k along the cycle
            {
                v = residual.path[u][i];
                if( residual.c[u][v] < 0) f[v][u] -= k;
                else f[u][v] += k;
            } while( (u = v) != i );
            return true; // have another go
        }
    }
    return false; // no improvements found
}

float cost()
{
    return basicCost+phi();
}

float phi()
{
    float phi = 0;
    for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
    {
        for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ )
        {
            phi += c[i][j]*f[i][j];
        }
    }
    return phi;
}
static final int NONE = -1;  // anything < 0
int findPath(int from, int f[][])  // find a path between unbalanced vertices
{ for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
  if( f[from][i] > 0) return i;
return NONE;
}

void printCPT(int startVertex)
{ int v = startVertex;
  // delete next 7 lines to be faster, but non-reentrant
  int arcs[][][] = new int[N][N][N];
  int f[][] = new int[N][N];
  for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
    for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ )
    { arcs[i][j] = this.arcs[i][j];
      f[i][j] = this.f[i][j];
    }
  while( true )
  { int u = v;
    if( (v = findPath(u, f)) != NONE )
    { f[u][v]--;  // remove path
      for( int p; u != v; u = p )  // break down path into its arcs
      { p = path[u][v];
        System.out.println("Take arc "+cheapestLabel[u][p]
                         +" from "+u+" to "+p);
      }
    }
    else
    { int bridgeVertex = path[u][startVertex];
      if( arcs[u][bridgeVertex] == 0)
        break;  // finished if bridge already used
      v = bridgeVertex;
      for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )  // find an unused arc, using bridge
      { if( i != bridgeVertex && arcs[u][i] > 0)
        { v = i;
          break;
        }
      }
      arcs[u][v]--;  // decrement count of parallel arcs
      System.out.println("Take arc "+label[u][v].elementAt(arcs[u][v])
                        +" from "+u+" to "+v);  // use each arc label in turn
    }
  }
}
**Work Contribution:**
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