

**Chain rule problem**

(a) Plug  $\vec{g}(t)$  into  $f(x, y)$  to get  $h(t)$ :

$$h(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{(2t)(t^2)}{4t^2+t^2} & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{2}{5}t.$$

Thus  $h'(t) = 2/5$  for all  $t$ . In particular,  $h'(0) = 2/5$ .

(b) Write the components of  $\vec{g}(t)$  as  $(g_1(t), g_2(t))$ . According to the chain rule,

$$h'(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{dg_1}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{dg_2}{dt}.$$

At  $t = 0$ , we have  $\vec{g}(t) = (0, 0)$ , so this equation becomes

$$h'(0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) \frac{dg_1}{dt}(0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) \frac{dg_2}{dt}(0).$$

By computations like ones we've done several times before, we find that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) = 0$$

Thus according to the chain rule,  $h'(0) = 0$ .

(c) Since the chain rule doesn't work here, some of its hypotheses must not hold for the functions  $f(t)$  and  $\vec{g}(t)$ . The function  $\vec{g}(t)$  is perfectly well-behaved, so we conclude that  $f(t)$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ .

(This is not too surprising, given its definition.)

**6.6.2** We have  $g(x) = F(x, f(x))$  for some unspecified function  $F(x, y)$ , and we know that  $G(x, f(x)) = 0$ . Let's try to compute  $g'(x)$ : by the chain rule,

$$g'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F(x, f(x)) = F_1 \frac{dx}{dx} + F_2 \frac{df}{dx} = F_1 + F_2 f'(x).$$

We don't know what  $f'(x)$  is, but we can extract some information about it from the condition  $G(x, f(x)) = 0$ . Let  $h(x) = G(x, f(x))$ . Then  $h'(x) = 0$  (since  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ ), but we can also compute  $h'(x)$  using the chain rule:

$$0 = h'(x) = G_1 + G_2 \frac{df}{dx}.$$

Therefore  $f'(x) = -G_1/G_2$ . (Indeed, this fits into the pattern in equation (6.6-4) in the book.) Therefore

$$g'(x) = F_1 + F_2(-G_1/G_2).$$

Put everything over a common denominator to get the desired result,

$$g'(x) = \frac{F_1 G_2 - F_2 G_1}{G_2}.$$

**6.6.6** I will start by computing the various partial derivatives: by formula (6.6-4),

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y}\right)_z = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial z}\right)_x = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_y = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}.$$

Multiplying these together yields

$$\left(-\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}\right) \left(-\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}\right) \left(-\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}\right) = (-1)^3 = -1.$$

**6.6.11** Let  $G(x, y, z) = F(x + y + z, x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}} = -\frac{F_1 + 2xF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2}, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}} = -\frac{F_1 + 2yF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2}.$$

Now look at the quantity on the left side of the purported equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (y-x) + (y-z)\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + (z-x)\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} &= (y-x) - (y-z)\frac{F_1 + 2xF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2} - (z-x)\frac{F_1 + 2yF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2} \\ &= (y-x)\frac{F_1 + 2zF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2} - (y-z)\frac{F_1 + 2xF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2} - (z-x)\frac{F_1 + 2yF_2}{F_1 + 2zF_2} \\ &= \frac{(y-x)(F_1 + 2zF_2) + (z-y)(F_1 + 2xF_2) + (x-z)(F_1 + 2yF_2)}{F_1 + 2zF_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now everything in the numerator cancels, and you get zero.

**6.8.1** We want to maximize the volume  $V = xyz$  of a box with side lengths  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , subject to the constraint that the corner  $(x, y, z)$  lies on the plane  $\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} = 1$ , where  $a, b, c > 0$  and  $x, y, z \geq 0$ .

Following Lagrange's method, we set

$$u = xyz + \lambda \left( \frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} \right)$$

and set the partial derivatives of  $u$  equal to zero:

$$yz + \lambda/a = 0, \quad xz + \lambda/b = 0, \quad xy + \lambda/c = 0.$$

Now do some algebra. For example, you might use the first equation to write  $\lambda$  in terms of  $y$  and  $z$ , plug that into the second and third equations to write each of  $x$  and  $z$  in terms of  $y$ . Then plug all of these into the restraint equation and solve for  $y$ . Once you know  $y$ , you can get  $x$  and  $z$ . The result is: there is only one critical point, and it occurs when  $x = a/3$ ,  $y = b/3$ ,  $z = c/3$ . The corresponding volume is  $V = abc/27$ .

Why is this a maximum? Well, we should check the boundary points; these occur when  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 0$ , or  $z = 0$ . Clearly the volume is zero in all these cases. Since we are working with a closed region (the portion of the plane  $\frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} = 1$  for which  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y \geq 0$ , and  $z \geq 0$ ), the function is guaranteed to have a maximum value. Since it is positive away from the border, that maximum value does not occur on the border, so it occurs at a critical point. Since there is only one critical point, that must be the maximum. (In contrast, the minimum value is zero, attained all along the boundary.)

**6.8.3** Suppose that the triangle in question has angles  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ ; then we have the constraint that  $x + y + z = \pi$  (and that  $x, y, z > 0$ ). We want to show that the function  $F(x, y, z) = \sin(x)\sin(y)\sin(z)$ , subject to this constraint, attains a maximum when  $x = y = z$ . We set

$$u = \sin(x)\sin(y)\sin(z) + \lambda(x + y + z)$$

and set the partial derivatives of  $u$  equal to zero:

$$\cos x \sin y \sin z + \lambda = 0, \quad \sin x \cos y \sin z + \lambda = 0, \quad \sin x \sin y \cos z + \lambda = 0.$$

Subtract the second equation from the first and do a little cancellation to get

$$\cos x \sin y = \sin x \cos y,$$

and thus  $\cot x = \cot y$ . (You could use tangent instead of cotangent, but  $\tan x$  will be undefined if  $x = \pi/2$ , while  $\cot x$  is defined for all  $x$  with  $0 < x < \pi$ .) Similarly, you can get  $\cot y = \cot z$ . The cotangent function is one-to-one on angles between 0 and  $\pi$ , so this means that  $x = y = z$ . Therefore the only critical point occurs when the triangle is equilateral and all of the angles are  $\pi/3$ . Since  $\sin \pi/3 = \sqrt{3}/2$ , the function has the value  $3\sqrt{3}/2$  at this point.

To see that this critical point gives a maximum, we allow  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  to be 0, and then we are working over a closed region: the portion of the plane  $x + y + z = \pi$  where  $0 \leq x, y, z \leq \pi$ . Along the boundary, at least one of  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  will be zero, in which case its sine will be zero, and so the function  $F(x, y, z)$  will be zero. This is less than the value at the critical point, so the critical point must give the maximum.