

Some of the main results of Chapters 3 and 4

Chapter 3.

Let's start with the notion of a *field*. When you row reduce a matrix, or invert a matrix, or solve a system of linear equations, you need to perform the following operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. A field is defined precisely to make this possible, so a field is a set F with two operations, addition and multiplication, satisfying various properties (addition makes F into an abelian group with identity element 0, multiplication makes $F^\times = F - \{0\}$ into an abelian group with identity element 1, and addition and multiplication are related to each other by the distributive law). You know several examples: the real numbers \mathbf{R} , the complex numbers \mathbf{C} , and the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} . Another important example is the set of congruence classes of integers modulo a prime number p , written as $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, or to emphasize that it's a field, as \mathbf{F}_p .

Given a field F (and if you want, just take $F = \mathbf{R}$ or $F = \mathbf{C}$), a *vector space* over F is a set V together with two operations: addition (also known as "vector addition"; this makes V into an abelian group), and scalar multiplication. These have to satisfy the axioms listed in Definition 2.11. (See also Definition 1.6 for the special case when $F = \mathbf{R}$.) Standard example: \mathbf{R}^n , the length n column vectors with real entries, is a vector space over \mathbf{R} . (More generally, for any field F , F^n is a vector space over F .) Any line through the origin or plane through the origin in \mathbf{R}^3 is a vector space, and is a *subspace* of \mathbf{R}^3 . The complex plane \mathbf{C} can be viewed as a vector space over \mathbf{C} , over \mathbf{R} , or over \mathbf{Q} , just by restricting which sorts of numbers you allow for scalar multiplication.

Now fix a field F and a vector space V over F . The elements of V are called *vectors*, of course, and the elements of F are called *scalars*. If v_1, \dots, v_n are vectors in V , then a *linear combination* of these vectors is any vector of the form

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are scalars. The set of all linear combinations of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n is the subspace *spanned* by the set, written

$$\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

It is a subspace of V . For example,

$$\text{Span}([1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t)$$

is the (x, y) -plane in \mathbf{R}^3 , as is

$$\text{Span}([1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, [2 \ 3 \ 0]^t).$$

A set of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n is *linearly dependent* if

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$$

for some scalars a_1, \dots, a_n which are not all zero. Otherwise, the set is *linearly independent*. For example, the set

$$[1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, [2 \ 3 \ 0]^t$$

is linearly dependent, because

$$2[1 \ 0 \ 0]^t + 3[0 \ 1 \ 0]^t - [2 \ 3 \ 0]^t = 0.$$

The set

$$[1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t$$

is linearly independent.

A *basis* for a vector space V is a set of linearly independent vectors in V which also spans V . For some computations, it is useful to pay attention to the order of elements in a basis, so a basis is actually an *ordered* set of linearly independent vectors which span the space. For example, the following are (different) bases for \mathbf{R}^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} &([1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 0 \ 1]^t), \\ &([0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, [1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 0 \ 1]^t), \\ &([1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, [1 \ 1 \ 3]^t). \end{aligned}$$

(Standard notation: if you list elements in curly braces – $\{x, y\}$ – that means a set. If you list them in parentheses – (x, y) – that means an ordered set. So the sets $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, x\}$ are equal, while the ordered sets (x, y) and (y, x) are different.)

Proposition 3.8 is important: a set $\mathbf{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a basis if and only if every vector $v \in W$ can be written as a linear combination of the v_i 's, in a unique way.

On to a discussion of *dimension*: first, a vector space V is *finite-dimensional* if there is a finite set of vectors which spans it. (E.g., I gave several different finite sets which span \mathbf{R}^3 .) Assume that V is finite-dimensional; then Proposition 3.17 says that any two bases for V have the same number of elements, so define the *dimension* of V to be the number of vectors in any basis. (E.g., the dimension of \mathbf{R}^3 is 3.)

Given a vector space V and a basis $\mathbf{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, any vector $v \in V$ can be written in exactly one way as a linear combination

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n.$$

The coefficients (a_1, \dots, a_n) are called the *coordinates* of v with respect to the basis \mathbf{B} . (This is the first place where the order of the basis vectors is important: if I permute the elements of the basis around, that will also permute the coordinates of v .)

Suppose we are working with the vector space \mathbf{R}^n of n -dimensional column vectors with real entries. The *standard basis* for \mathbf{R}^n is

$$\mathbf{E} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n),$$

where e_j is the column vector with 1 in the j th spot and 0's elsewhere. If I have some other basis $\mathbf{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ for \mathbf{R}^n (see above for some examples with \mathbf{R}^3), and if I have a vector $Y = [y_1 \ \dots \ y_n]^t$ in \mathbf{R}^n , then Proposition 4.7 tells me how to compute the coordinates of Y with respect to the basis \mathbf{B} : form a matrix $[\mathbf{B}]$ in which the j th column is the vector v_j . Then the coordinates of Y are given by $[\mathbf{B}]^{-1}Y$. (So if $X = [\mathbf{B}]^{-1}Y$, then $Y = x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + \dots + x_n v_n$.)

More generally, given two different bases for a vector space V , it is important to be able to convert between one and the other. See pages 97-99 for a discussion of this.

(I'm not going to discuss the material in Sections 3.5 and 3.6 now, but I'll ask you to read them eventually.)

Chapter 4.

Given two vector spaces V and W over a field F , a *linear transformation* from V to W is a function

$$T : V \longrightarrow W$$

which satisfies two properties: $T(v + v') = T(v) + T(v')$ for any two vectors $v, v' \in V$, and $T(av) = aT(v)$ for any $a \in F$ and $v \in V$. For example, left multiplication by an $m \times n$ matrix defines a linear transformation from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^m .

Notice that if we ignore scalar multiplication, then any linear transformation T is a group homomorphism, so we can define the *kernel* and *image* of T . The kernel is also called the *null space*. One important formula is given in Theorem 1.6: for any linear transformation $T : V \longrightarrow W$,

$$\dim V = \dim(\ker T) + \dim(\operatorname{im} T).$$

By the way, the dimension of the kernel of T is also called the *nullity* of T , and the dimension of the image is also called the *rank*.

As it stands, linear transformations are somewhat abstract, while matrix multiplication is much more concrete. We can remedy this (and I don't mean by making matrix multiplication more abstract). First we have to choose a basis $\mathbf{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V and a basis $\mathbf{C} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ of W . Then for each j , $T(v_j)$ is in W , so can be written uniquely as a linear combination of the elements of \mathbf{C} :

$$T(v_j) = a_{1j}w_1 + a_{2j}w_2 + \cdots + a_{mj}w_m,$$

for some scalars a_{1j}, \dots, a_{mj} . So we can define an $m \times n$ matrix A with these scalars as entries. This is the *matrix associated to the linear transformation T , with respect to the bases \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C}* . Now if v is a vector in V with coordinates $X = [x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^t$, by which I mean that

$$v = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n,$$

then to compute $T(v)$, you multiply the $m \times n$ matrix A by the $n \times 1$ matrix X to get an $m \times 1$ matrix Y ; this matrix Y gives the coordinates of $T(v)$ with respect to the basis \mathbf{C} .

Here's a good example to work out: let P_n be the vector space of all real polynomials of degree at most n , with basis $(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n)$. Then the derivative D is a linear transformation from P_n to itself. Find the matrix for D with respect to this basis.

Another example of a linear transformation: let T be rotation of \mathbf{R}^3 by angle $\pi/3$ around the line through the origin determined by the vector $v = [1 \ 1 \ 2]^t$. I could work out the matrix for this with respect to the standard basis, but things will be nicer if I use v as, say, the first element of the basis. Since the linear transformation sends v to itself, then the matrix will look like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

where the $*$'s depend on how I choose the other two elements of the basis. If I choose the rest of the basis well, I'll end up with this for the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\pi/3) & -\sin(\pi/3) \\ 0 & \sin(\pi/3) & \cos(\pi/3) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If I'm willing to choose different bases for the domain and range of the function, then I can actually get the matrix to look like this:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

How? Pick any basis $\mathbf{B} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ for \mathbf{R}^3 (the domain); then the rotated vectors $T(v_1), T(v_2), T(v_3)$ form a basis for \mathbf{R}^3 , and I'll use this basis for the range. You get the identity matrix when you compute relative to these two bases.

If you change bases in either V or W or both, you get a new matrix for the linear transformation T ; how a matrix is transformed when you change bases is discussed on pages 113-115. See Proposition 2.9, in particular.

If V is a vector space, then a *linear operator* on V is a linear transformation from V to itself. In this case, when computing a matrix for V , you usually pick the same basis for V in its role as domain and in its role as range. Proposition 3.5 says this: if A is the matrix for T with respect to some basis, then when you change bases, you get matrices of this form: PAP^{-1} , where P is in $GL_n(F)$. Definition: two matrices A and A' are *similar* if $A' = PAP^{-1}$ for some invertible P .

Invariant subspaces, eigenvalues, and eigenvectors are used to study linear operators on a vector space V . A subspace W of V is *invariant* under T if $T(w) \in W$ for all $w \in W$. For example, if $T : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is rotation about the z -axis by angle $\pi/5$, then the xy -plane is an invariant subspace: given any vector v in the xy -plane, then $T(v)$ is also in the xy -plane. The z -axis is another invariant subspace.

An *eigenvector* for T is a nonzero vector v so that Tv is a scalar multiple of v : $Tv = cv$ for some $c \in F$. The scalar c is the *eigenvalue* associated to the eigenvector v . Corollaries 3.10, 3.11, and 3.12 are all important.

To find eigenvectors and eigenvalues, rewrite the equation $Tv = cv$ as $Tv = cIv$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and then rewrite this as $cIv - Tv = 0$, or $(cI - T)v = 0$. So a nonzero vector v is an eigenvector of T , with eigenvalue c , if v is in the kernel of $cI - T$. A matrix (or linear operator) has nonzero vectors in its kernel if and only if its determinant is zero, in which case it's called *singular*. So c is an eigenvalue for T if and only if the linear operator $cI - T$ is singular, which is true if and only if $\det(cI - T) = 0$.

So, let T be a linear operator with matrix A , let t be a variable, and define the *characteristic polynomial* of T to be $p(t) = \det(tI - A)$. The eigenvalues of T are the roots of this degree n polynomial.

(This means that they are the roots of the polynomial that exist in the field F . So if we decide to work with the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers, then the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, which has characteristic polynomial $p(t) = t^2 - 2$, has no eigenvalues. It has two eigenvalues, $\sqrt{2}$ and $-\sqrt{2}$, if we are working over the field \mathbf{R} .)

Corollary 4.14 and Proposition 4.18 are useful.

If T is a linear operator on a vector space V , it is useful to know whether T is similar to an upper triangular matrix or to a diagonal matrix. The characteristic polynomial is important here; see Corollary 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 for the main results.