## Solving LINEAR CONGRUENCES (Ch 19 & Ch 20):

Using normal arithmetic, we can solve linear equations such as: 2x = 4. (We'd get that x = 2.) But suppose that instead we have a congruence such as  $2x \equiv 4 \mod m$ . Does this imply  $x \equiv 2 \mod m$ ?

Case 1: Given a linear congruence of the form:  $ax \equiv ab \mod m$ , how can we solve it for x?

(meaning: how do we find all possible congruence classes of x modulo m that satisfy the given congruence)

We know:  $ax \equiv ab \mod m \Leftrightarrow m | a(x-b) \Leftrightarrow a(x-b) = mk$  for some integer k. Some easy cases:

Case 1: If a|m, then  $a(x - b) = mk \Leftrightarrow x - b = \frac{m}{a}k \Leftrightarrow x \equiv b \mod \left(\frac{m}{a}\right)$ .

Case 2: If gcd(a, m) = 1, then  $m|a(x - b) \Leftrightarrow m|(x - b) \Leftrightarrow x \equiv b \mod m$ (since *m* and *a* have no common factors, so all the factors of m must divide x-b)

Proposition 19.3.1: If a divides m, then:  $ab_1 \equiv ab_2 \mod m \iff b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod \left(\frac{m}{a}\right)$ 

 $\mathsf{Ex:}\ 2x \equiv 4 \ mod \ 10 \ \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 2 \ mod \ 5$ 

Hence:  $x \equiv \_\_ or \_\_ mod 10$ .

Proposition 19.3.2: If gcd(a, m) = 1, then  $ab_1 \equiv ab_2 \mod m \iff b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod m$ 

 $Ex: 2x \equiv 4 \mod 7 \iff x \equiv 2 \mod 7.$ 

Case 2: More generally now, can we solve <u>any</u> linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \mod m$ ?

<u>Theorem 20.1.7</u>: A linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \mod m$  has solutions if and only if  $gcd(a, m) \mid b$ . (in which case it has precisely gcd(a, m) different solutions modulo m)

## Examples:

a) Solve  $14x \equiv 21 \mod 35$ . Note: gcd(14,35)=7, which divides 21, so there should be 7 solutions modulo 35.

Solutions mod 5:  $x \equiv 4 \mod 5$ Solutions mod 35:  $x \equiv 4, 9, 14, 19, 24, 29, or 34 \mod 35$ 

b) Solve  $14x \equiv 16 \mod 35$ .

c) How do we solve a congruence without obvious factors to "cancel", such as:

 $3x \equiv 7 \mod 11?$ 

Thm 20.1.7 guarantees that this has one solution mod 11 (since gcd(3,11)=1), but what is it? If we could write 7 as a multiple of 3 (modulo 11), then we could use one of the previous methods. Here's how to do it:

(1) first use the Euclidean Algorithm, as if we're trying to compute gcd(3,11):

(2) then work backwards, one equation at a time, starting with the one before last:

(solve for 1 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> eq)

(solve for 2 in 1<sup>st</sup> eq and replace in previous)

(collect all the coefficients of 3 and of 11)

we can thus determine how to write gcd(a,m) as a linear combination of a and m:

$$1 = 4 * 3 + (-1) * (11)$$

(3) This allows us to write b = 7 as a multiple of a:

 $7 = 7 * 1 = 7 * (4 * 3 - 11) = 28 * 3 - 7 * 11 \equiv 28 * 3 \mod 11 \equiv 6 * 3 \mod 11$ .

Replacing this in our congruence  $3x \equiv 7 \mod 11$ , we get that:  $3x \equiv 6 * 3 \mod 11$ Hence, by Prop 19.3.2, we can now cancel the coefficient of x to get:  $x \equiv 6 \mod 11$ .

This method described in c) is the gist of section 20.2. EXERCISE: solve  $23x \equiv 16 \mod 107$ .

(ans:  $x \equiv 10 \mod 107$ )