Fourier Analysis

February 21, 2011

This note is an exposition of some ideas in Fourier analysis. To make life simple, I'll deal only with continuous functions defined on $[0, 2\pi]$. I'll use the notation $e_j = e_j(x) = \exp(ijx)$. Here's the definition of an inner product on complex valued functions on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Definition 1.

$$< f,g> = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx, \ \|g\|^2 = < g,g>.$$

Lemma 1.

$$\langle e_j, e_k \rangle = 2\pi \delta_j^k.$$

Theorem 1. Let f be a continuous function on $[0, 2\pi]$ and let $c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle f, e_k \rangle$. Let $f_N = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e_n$ and let $g = \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n e_n$, where a_n are any complex numbers. Then

$$||f - f_N||^2 \le ||f - g||^2.$$

Hence f_N is the best approximation to f in the || || sense among all functions that are linear combinations of $\{e_n\}_{-N}^N$.

Proof.

$$\langle e_j, f - f_N \rangle = \langle e_j, f \rangle - \langle e_j, f_N \rangle = 2\pi c_j - 2\pi c_j = 0.$$

Since $f_N - g$ is a linear combination of e_j , $\langle f - f_N, f_N - g \rangle = 0$. Then we compute

$$\begin{split} \|f - g\|^2 &= < f - g, f - g > = < f - f_N + f_N - g, f - f_N + f_N - g > \\ &= \|f - f_N\|^2 + 2 < f - f_N, f_N - g > + \|f_N - g\|^2 \\ &= \|f - f_N\|^2 + \|f_N - g\|^2 \\ &\geq \|f - f_N\|^2. \end{split}$$

Definition 2. $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e_n$ is the Fourier series of f. If $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{-N}^{N} c_n e_n(x)$ converges we say the Fourier series converges. It may not converge and even if it converges it may not converge to f(x).

Now let's do the same thing discretely. The first thing is to approximate the integral $\langle f, e_k \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \exp(-ikx) dx$ by a Riemann sum. Divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into n equal parts. Let $\delta = 2\pi/n$, $x_j = 2\pi j/n = j\delta$. Then a Riemann sum for the integral is

$$\frac{2\pi}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \exp(\frac{-2\pi i jk}{n})f_j,$$

where $f_j = f(x_j)$. Let $\omega = \exp(\frac{-2\pi i}{n})$. Then ω is a primitive n^{th} root of unity and the approximation \hat{f}_k to the coefficient c_k takes the form

Definition 3.

$$\hat{f}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \omega^{kj} f_j.$$

Let Ω be the matrix defined by $\Omega_{i,j} = \omega^{ij}$, and let f and \hat{f} be column vectors with componets f_j and \hat{f}_j . then Definition 3 can be written as matrix multiplication.

$$\hat{f} = \Omega f.$$

In this form Definition 3 is called the **Discrete Fourier Transform**. Let's find an analog of Lemma 1. First a few properties of Ω .

Lemma 2.

$$\Omega^T = \Omega, \ \Omega \bar{\Omega} = nI, \ \Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \bar{\Omega}.$$

For this we need another lemma.

Lemma 3. For any n^{th} root of unity, $\mu \neq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k = 0$$

Proof. (of Lemma 3) An n^{th} root of unity satisfies $z^n - 1 = 0$. Hence $\mu^n - 1 = (\mu - 1)(\mu^{n-1} + \mu^{n-2} + \dots + \mu + 1) = 0$. Since $\mu \neq 0$, $\mu^{n-1} + \mu^{n-2} + \dots + \mu + 1) = 0$. Multiply by μ to get $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu^k = 0$.

Proof. (of Lemma 2)

The k, ℓ entry of $\Omega \overline{\Omega}$ is $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega^{kj} \omega^{-j\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\omega^{k-l})^j = 0, k \neq \ell$. If $k = \ell$, the k, k entry is n.