

# Algebras over a field

October 14, 2014

Roughly speaking, an algebra over a field  $F$  is just a ring  $R$  with  $F$  contained in the center of  $R$ . In particular  $R$  is an  $F$ -vector space, and this extra structure often simplifies life. For example, in the next installment we'll introduce modules over a ring  $R$ , and if  $R$  is an  $F$ -algebra then every  $R$ -module is also an  $F$ -vector space, a most pleasant state of affairs. This is the reason that I'm introducing  $F$ -algebras now rather than later. There is also a direct link to group theory, via the *group algebra*  $FG$ .

## 1 Definitions and examples

Let  $F$  be a field. An  $F$ -algebra, or *algebra over  $F$* , is a ring  $R$  together with ring homomorphism  $\eta : F \rightarrow R$  such that  $\eta(F)$  is contained in the center of  $R$ . As long as  $R$  is not the zero ring,  $\eta$  is automatically injective. Often  $\eta$  is just an inclusion, but the specific  $\eta$  is still part of the data. Examples:

- the polynomial ring  $F[x]$ , with  $F \subset F[x]$  as the constant polynomials.
- the matrix ring  $M_n F$  with  $F \subset M_n F$  as the scalar matrices  $a \cdot Id$ ,  $a \in F$ . Or in coordinate-free terms,  $End_F V$  for a vector space  $V$ .
- The quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  form an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra, with  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{H}$  as usual. Note that also  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$ , but  $\mathbb{C}$  is not contained in the center ( $=\mathbb{R}$ ) and hence  $\mathbb{H}$  is not a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.
- If  $D$  is a division ring containing  $F$  in its center, then  $M_n D$  is an  $F$ -algebra, with  $\eta : F \subset M_n D$  the scalar matrices with entries in  $F$ .

One reason to consider  $F$ -algebras is simply the utility of the extra structure. An  $F$ -algebra  $R$  is in particular an  $F$ -vector space, which means we can often use dimension-counting arguments. We can also generate  $R$  more efficiently. Consider, for example  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . To generate it as a ring, we would need an uncountable number of generators (for by a straightforward argument, any countably generated commutative ring is countable). But as an  $F$ -algebra it is generated by one element, namely  $x$ .<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>We leave it to the reader to supply the definition of (a)  $F$ -subalgebra, and (b)  $F$ -subalgebra generated by a subset  $X$ .

A homomorphism of  $F$ -algebras  $\phi : (R_1, \eta_1) \rightarrow (R_2, \eta_2)$  is a ring homomorphism such that  $\phi \circ \eta_1 = \eta_2$ . With this definition we have a category  $F\text{-alg}$  of  $F$ -algebras. There are simple examples of ring homomorphisms of  $F$ -algebras that are not  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms. Indeed  $F$  itself is an  $F$ -algebra with  $\eta = Id$ , and hence the only  $F$ -algebra automorphism of  $F$  is the identity. So for example complex conjugation  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a ring homomorphism but not a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism (although it is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism).

An *ideal* (left, right, or two-sided) in an  $F$ -algebra  $R$  is just an ideal  $I$  of the ring  $R$ ; note that  $I$  is automatically a vector subspace. The quotient ring  $R/I$  then has a unique  $F$ -algebra structure such that the quotient homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R/I$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism.

*Example.* Take  $R = F[x]$  and  $I$  the ideal generated by  $x^n$ . Then  $F[x]/(x^n)$  is a finite-dimensional  $F$ -algebra called a *truncated polynomial algebra*.

*Example.* Let  $\mathfrak{b}_n F$  denote the ring of all upper triangular  $n \times n$ -matrices, and let  $\mathfrak{u}_n F \subset \mathfrak{b}_n F$  consist of the matrices with all diagonal entries equal to 0. Then  $\mathfrak{b}_n F$  is an  $F$ -subalgebra of  $M_n F$ , and  $\mathfrak{u}_n F$  is a 2-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{b}_n F$ . The quotient algebra  $\mathfrak{b}_n F / \mathfrak{u}_n F$  is isomorphic to the product algebra  $F^n$ .

*Example: product algebras.* Suppose  $R_1, R_2$  are  $F$ -algebras, with associated homomorphisms  $\eta_i : F \rightarrow R_i$ . In particular they are rings, and we may form the product ring  $R_1 \times R_2$  in the usual way, with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication. In fact  $R_1 \times R_2$  is an  $F$ -algebra, where  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) : F \rightarrow R_1 \times R_2$ . This is the categorical product; in other words, it has and is characterized by the universal property: Given an  $F$ -algebra  $R$  and  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\phi_i : R \rightarrow R_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , there is a unique  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow R_1 \times R_2$  such that  $\pi_i \phi = \phi_i$ .

Similarly we may form the product of any indexed collection of  $F$ -algebras, although we are mainly interested in finite products  $R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ . This is a good place to point out that for rings in general the projection maps  $\pi_j : \prod_{i=1}^n R_i \rightarrow R_j$  are ring homomorphisms, but the inclusion of a factor  $\iota_j : R_j \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$  is *not* a ring homomorphism, since it doesn't preserve identities ( $\iota_j(1) = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ , where the 1 is in the  $j$ -th position). The same remark applies to  $F$ -algebras.

## 2 Group algebras

We next turn to one of the most important examples, namely group algebras. Let  $G$  be any group. Then for any field  $F$  we define the *group algebra*  $FG$  as follows: Form the vector space  $FG$  with basis  $G$ . Temporarily let  $[g]$  denote the element  $g \in G$  regarded as a basis vector in  $FG$ . Thus the elements of  $FG$  are formal sums  $\sum_{g \in G} a_g [g]$ , with  $a_g \in F$  and  $a_g = 0$  for all but finitely many  $g$ . We define a multiplication in  $FG$  by setting  $[g] \cdot [h] = [gh]$  and extending to all of  $FG$  by linearity and the distributive law. Finally, define  $\eta : F \rightarrow FG$  by  $\eta(a) = a[e]$ , where  $e \in G$  is the identity. When no confusion can result, we drop the brackets and simply write  $g$  in place of  $[g]$ , and 1 in place of  $[e]$ .

Note that  $G \mapsto FG$  defines a functor  $Grp \rightarrow F\text{-alg}$ : If  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  is a group homomorphism, we extend  $\phi$  linearly to get  $F\phi : FG \rightarrow FH$ ; it is readily verified that  $F\phi$  is an

$F$ -algebra homomorphism (and trivial that the conditions for a functor are satisfied). For example, any group admits a unique homomorphism to the trivial group; applying our functor yields a natural  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow F$ , which we call the *augmentation*. Explicitly,  $\epsilon(\sum a_g g) = \sum a_g$ .

Group algebras have a handy universal property. Note that the inclusion  $i : G \subset FG$  satisfies  $i(G) \subset (FG)^\times$ , and hence if  $\phi : FG \rightarrow R$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism,  $\phi$  restricts to a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow R^\times$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -algebra. If  $\psi : G \rightarrow R^\times$  is a group homomorphism, there is a unique  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : FG \rightarrow R$  whose restriction to  $G$  is  $\psi$ . Diagrammatically:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R^\times \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ FG & \xrightarrow{\exists! \phi} & R \end{array}$$

(The right vertical arrow is just inclusion.)

*Proof:* It is clear that there is a unique  $F$ -linear map  $\phi$  that commutes in the diagram, since  $G$  is a basis for  $FG$ :  $\phi(\sum a_g g) = \sum a_g \psi(g)$ . Since  $\psi$  is a group homomorphism, it follows immediately that  $\phi$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism.

As is our custom, we will reformulate this proposition in two ways:

*Plain English version:* If you want to define an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $FG \rightarrow R$ , it is enough (indeed equivalent) to define a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow R^\times$ .

*Adjoint functor version:* For a given field  $F$ , the group algebra functor  $G \mapsto FG$  is left adjoint to the group of units functor  $R \rightarrow R^\times$ . That is, there is a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{F\text{-alg}}(FG, R) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(G, R^\times).$$

The universal property yields a third way to think about representations. Recall that we defined a representation of  $G$  over  $F$  as a linear action of  $G$  on an  $F$ -vector space  $V$ , and then observed that this is the same thing as a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow GL(V)$ . Since  $GL(V)$  is the group of units of the  $F$ -algebra  $\text{End}_F V$ , we can think of a representation as an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $FG \rightarrow \text{End}_F V$ . If  $\dim_F V = n$ , we can choose a basis to get a homomorphism  $FG \rightarrow M_n F$ .

In particular, one-dimensional representations correspond to (i) group homomorphisms  $\chi : G \rightarrow F^\times$  and (ii)  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\xi : FG \rightarrow F$ . The group homomorphisms  $\chi$  are often called “characters” in the literature. Note that since  $F^\times$  is abelian,  $\chi$  factors uniquely through  $G_{ab}$  and  $\xi$  factors uniquely through  $F(G_{ab})$ .

### 3 Monoid algebras, polynomial algebras and Laurent polynomial algebras

Note that the definition of the group algebra makes no use of inverses. Thus if  $M$  is a monoid, we can define the *monoid algebra*  $FM$  in exactly the same way. The monoid ring has a universal property analogous to that of a group ring. The difference is that now we only need a monoid homomorphism  $M \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is a monoid under multiplication. Thus the commutative diagram in Proposition 2.1 can be written as a triangle (in fact we could have done this for group algebras too)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\
 \downarrow i & \nearrow \exists! \phi & \\
 FM & & 
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\psi$  is a monoid homomorphism and  $\phi$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism. Although we won't make much use of this more general construction, there is at least one case worth knowing. Let  $N_1$  denote the monoid  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  of non-negative integers, written multiplicatively: the identity is 1, the (unique) generator is  $x$  and  $N_1 = \{x^n : n \geq 0\}$ . Then  $FN_1$  is none other than the polynomial ring  $F[x]$ , and indeed this is really the *definition* of  $F[x]$ . The polynomial ring has the following universal property:

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -algebra. Then for every  $y \in R$  there is a unique  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow R$  such that  $\phi[x] = y$ .*

*Proof:* Version 1:  $F[x]$  has  $F$ -basis  $x^i$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . So there is a unique  $F$ -linear map  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow R$  such that  $\phi(x^i) = y^i$  for all  $i$ . This map is the desired  $F$ -algebra homomorphism, as one can readily check.

Version 2 (which shows what you're really doing): Note that  $N_1$  has a universal property among all monoids: Given any monoid  $M$  and any  $y \in M$ , there is a unique monoid homomorphism  $\lambda : N_1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $\lambda(x) = y$ . Applying the universal property of monoid rings to  $\lambda$  yields the desired  $\phi$ .

More generally we could take  $N_n = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ . Writing  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for the evident generators of  $N_n$ , we see that  $FN_n$  is none other than the multi-variable polynomial ring  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and once again this is really the *definition* of  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (even in undergraduate texts, although the word "monoid" might not be explicitly mentioned). More generally still, one can define a polynomial ring in any infinite set of variables as a suitable monoid ring, but we will stick to the finite case for now.

Polynomial rings in more than one variable also have a universal property, but only in the category of *commutative*  $F$ -algebras:

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $F$ -algebra, and let  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in R$ . Then there is a unique  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow R$  such that  $\phi(x_i) = y_i$ .*

*Proof:* It is clear that  $N_n$  itself has a universal property among *abelian* monoids: Given an abelian monoid  $M$  for any  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in M$ , there is a unique monoid homomorphism  $\lambda : N_n \rightarrow M$  such that  $\lambda(x_i) = y_i$  (namely,  $\lambda(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) = y_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{i_n}$ ). Take  $M = R$  under multiplication and apply the universal property of monoid algebras. (Or if you prefer, rewrite this argument without ever mentioning monoids.)

**Remark:** We've deliberately omitted the diagrammatic and adjoint functor versions of these universal properties, as the set-up is so simple as it stands. A more systematic adjoint functor treatment should and will await the more "coordinate-free" version of polynomial algebras we'll encounter later.

Finally, the *Laurent polynomial algebra*  $F[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$  is obtained from the ordinary polynomial algebra by "formally adjoining inverses" of the  $x_i$ 's. In our present context it is no work at all to make this precise: The Laurent polynomial algebra is just the group algebra  $F\mathbb{Z}^n$ , where of course  $\mathbb{Z}$  has to be written multiplicatively. The monoid inclusion  $N_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  then induces a map of monoid algebras that is just the inclusion  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset F[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ . The Laurent polynomials in one (resp. more than one) variable have a universal property identical to that of ordinary polynomials in one (resp. more than one) variable, except that the elements  $y$  (resp.  $y_i$ ) must be taken to be units in  $R$ .

## 4 Algebras over a commutative ring

Our definition of  $F$ -algebra only used the fact that  $F$  is commutative, not that  $F$  is a field. Hence for any commutative ring  $S$  we define an  $S$ -algebra to be a ring  $R$  equipped with a ring homomorphism  $\eta : S \rightarrow R$  whose image is contained in the center of  $R$ . For example, the polynomial ring  $S[x]$  and the matrix ring  $M_n S$  are  $S$ -algebras. The group algebra  $SG$  can be defined similarly to  $FG$ , but we will wait for the chapter on modules before elaborating on this case.

The one new phenomenon to note is that  $\eta$  need not be injective. For example, if  $R$  is commutative then any quotient ring  $R/I$  is an  $R$ -algebra, with  $\eta : R \rightarrow R/I$  the quotient homomorphism. Note also that every ring  $R$  has a unique  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra structure, given by the unique ring homomorphism  $\eta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ .

## 5 Exercises

The point of these exercises is to get used to computing in a group algebra, and at the same time prove some very useful formulas, as well as an interesting theorem 3b.

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $F$  a field. For any subset  $S \subset G$ , we let  $\bar{S} = \sum_{g \in S} g \in FG$ .

1. Let  $C$  be a conjugacy class in  $G$ . Show that the elements  $\bar{C}$ ,  $C$  ranging over all conjugacy classes, form a basis for the center of  $FG$ . (Recall that the center  $C(R)$  of a ring  $R$  is exactly analogous to the center of a group:  $C(R) = \{x \in R : rx = xr \forall r \in R\}$ . It is a subring of  $R$ , and in the case of an  $F$ -algebra it is a subalgebra.)

2. *Idempotents.* First some definitions: An element of a ring satisfying  $e^2 = e$  is called an *idempotent*. Any ring has the idempotents 0 and 1, and in a field or division ring these are the only idempotents (why?). On the other hand, a product ring  $R_1 \times R_2$  has also the idempotents  $e_1 = (1, 0)$  and  $e_2 = (0, 1)$ . A *central idempotent* is an idempotent  $e \in C(R)$ . Idempotents  $e_1, e_2$  are *orthogonal* if  $e_1 e_2 = 0 = e_2 e_1$ . In the product ring example,  $e_1, e_2$  are central orthogonal idempotents.

**NOTICE:** From here on we assume  $\text{char } F$  doesn't divide  $|G|$ , so that  $|G|^{-1} \in F$ . This is a fundamental dichotomy in the subject; the so-called “modular” case when  $\text{char } F = p$  for a prime  $p$  dividing  $|G|$  is much harder.

a) Set  $e_0 = \frac{1}{|G|} \overline{G}$ . Then  $e_0$  is a central idempotent in  $FG$  (known as the “averaging operator”).

*Note:* If you prefer, you could go straight to part (b), which is more general. But I do recommend (a) as a warm-up and as the most important case.

b) Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow F^\times$  be a group homomorphism, and set

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g.$$

Show that  $he_\chi = \chi(h)e_\chi$  for all  $h \in G$ , and that  $e_\chi$  is a central idempotent. (Note that  $e_0$  in part (a) is the special case when  $\chi$  is the trivial homomorphism.)

c) Suppose  $\chi, \psi : G \rightarrow F^\times$  are distinct homomorphisms. Show that  $e_\chi, e_\psi$  are orthogonal.

*Suggestion:* Don't expand out the sums all over again. Make use of formulas you already have to keep it clean and simple.

d) In any  $F$ -algebra  $R$ , any set of pairwise orthogonal nonzero idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  is linearly independent over  $F$ . In particular this is true for the idempotents of part (c).

3. Let  $G$  be a finite *abelian* group. In this exercise you may assume the following fact (we, meaning you, will prove it later after we've done the classification of finite abelian groups):  $\text{Hom}_{\text{grp}}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong G$  as groups. All you actually need below is that the orders are the same.

a) Conclude from the “fact” that the idempotents  $e_\chi$  form a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{C}G$ , where  $\chi$  ranges over  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ .

b) Show that  $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras, where  $n = |G|$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the  $n$ -fold product of copies of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This is an especially important exercise because part (b) is the prototype of a vastly more general result, to be considered later, that will be a cornerstone of the representation theory of finite groups.

4. This exercise gives a first illustration of how the “modular” case differs from the non-modular case.

Suppose  $\text{char } F = p$ , and let  $G$  be cyclic of order  $p^n$ . Show that  $FG$  is isomorphic as an  $F$ -algebra to the truncated polynomial algebra  $F[x]/x^{p^n}$ .

(This should be contrasted with the previous problem, where  $\mathbb{C}G$  is a product of copies of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that a product of fields has no nilpotent elements.)