

Lecture 10-30: Complete varieties, parabolic and Borel subgroups

October 30, 2023

We begin with an account of completeness; as promised earlier, this property is a kind of strengthened form of compactness. The definition (p. 98) is that the variety X is *complete* if for any variety Y the projection morphism $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed, taking closed sets to closed sets. For example, the affine line \mathbf{A}^1 is not complete, since the closed subvariety $\{(x, y) : xy = 1\}$ of $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1$ projects to the nonclosed set $\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 6.1.2, p. 98

If X is complete, then

- A closed subvariety of X is also complete.
- If Y is complete, so is $X \times Y$.
- If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism then ϕX is closed and complete.
- If X is a subvariety of Y , then X is closed.
- If X is irreducible then any regular function defined on X is constant.
- If X is affine then X is finite.

Proof.

The first two assertions follow from the definition. To prove the third assertion, let $\Gamma = \{(x, \phi x) : x \in X\}$ be the graph of ϕ ; this is a closed subset of $X \times Y$ (by the separation property of varieties; see Proposition 1.6.11) isomorphic to X , so is complete. Then ϕX is closed because it is the image of Γ under the second projection; it is complete since Γ is. The fourth assertion follows from the third one, applied to the injection $X \rightarrow Y$. A regular function on X is a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ which induces a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. If X is irreducible and ϕ is nonconstant then it must have infinite range, whence it must be closed and dense in \mathbf{P}^1 and so all of \mathbf{P}^1 , which is impossible. The last assertion follows from the previous one. \square

The main result about completeness is

Theorem 6.1.3, p. 98

Any projective variety is complete.

Proof.

It suffices to show that \mathbf{P}^n is complete, so that for any variety Y the projection $\pi : \mathbf{P}^n \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed. To prove this we may assume that Y is affine and irreducible. Put $A = \mathbf{k}[Y]$, $S = A[T_0, \dots, T_n]$, and view S as an algebra of functions on $\mathbf{k}^{n+1} \times Y$. If I is a proper homogeneous ideal of S let $\mathcal{V}^*(I)$ be the set of its common zeros in $\mathbf{P}^n \times Y$. We must show that all sets $\pi\mathcal{V}^*(I)$ are closed; for this purpose we may assume that I is prime and that the restriction of π to $\mathcal{V}^*(I)$ is dominant, so that $A \cap I = 0$. Then it is enough to show that for all $y \in Y$ there is $x^* \in \mathbf{P}^n$ with $(x^*, y) \in \mathcal{V}^*(I)$. Let M be the maximal ideal in A of functions vanishing at y . Then $J = MS + I$ is a proper homogeneous ideal of S ; we must show that $\mathcal{V}^*(J) \neq \emptyset$. If this fails, then there is $\ell > 0$ such that the set S_ℓ of homogeneous polynomials of degree ℓ lies in J , whence $N = S_\ell / S_\ell \cap I$ is a finitely generated A -module with $N = MN$. Nakayama's Lemma then shows that there is $a \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ with $aN = 0$, $aS_\ell \subset I$. Since $a \notin I$ we have $S_\ell \subset I$, $N = 0$, forcing $\mathcal{V}^*(I) = \emptyset$, which is absurd. Hence $\mathcal{V}^*(J) \neq \emptyset$, as desired. □

An easy argument shows that *a connected algebraic group that is complete as a variety is necessarily commutative* (Theorem 6.1.6, p. 100). Such groups are called *abelian varieties*; the elliptic curves mentioned earlier are examples. These are very rich objects fully deserving a course of their own. For now we note that this is the last time we will do pure algebraic geometry in the course; henceforth all results will pertain directly to groups.

Now we have an easy lemma. Let G be an algebraic group.

Lemma 6.2.1, p. 101

Let X, Y be homogeneous spaces for G and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ a bijective G -morphism. Then X is complete if and only if Y is.

By Theorem 5.3.2, for any variety Z the map $(\phi, \text{id}) : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is a homeomorphism of topological spaces. The lemma follows at once.

We say that a subgroup P of G is *parabolic* if the quotient variety G/P is complete. Although it has been almost sixty years since Borel and Tits introduced this terminology, no one seems to know why they chose this word.

Lemma 6.2.2, p. 101

If P is parabolic in G then G/P is projective.

The construction of quotient spaces shows that G/P is quasi-projective, whence by Proposition 6.1.2 it is projective.

Lemma 6.2.3, p. 101

Let P be parabolic in G and Q parabolic in P ; then Q is parabolic in G .

Proof.

We must show that for any variety X the projections map $G/Q \times X \rightarrow X$ is closed. This reduces by Theorem 5.3.2 to showing that for any closed set $A \subset G \times X$ such that $(g, x) \in A$ if and only if $(gq, x) \in A$ for all $q \in Q$, the projection A' of A is closed. Consider the morphism $\alpha : P \times G \times X \rightarrow G \times X$ sending (p, g, x) to (gp, x) . If A is as above then $\alpha^{-1}A = \{(p, g, x) : (gp, x) \in A\}$, which is closed in $P \times G \times X$. Completeness of P/Q implies that the projection of this set to $G \times X$, that is, the union $\bigcup_{(g,x) \in A} (gP, x)$, is closed. The completeness of G/P then shows that the projection of this set to X is closed. Since the projection is A' the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 6.2.4, p. 101

Let P be parabolic in G . If Q is a closed subgroup containing P then Q is also parabolic. Also P is parabolic in G if and only if P^0 is parabolic in G^0 .

The first assertion follows from Proposition 6.1.2, as G/Q is the image of G/P under a morphism. To prove the second assertion note first that G^0 is parabolic in G . If P is parabolic in G then P^0 is parabolic in G^0 by the previous lemma, and also in G^0 , since G^0/P^0 is closed in G/P^0 . If conversely P^0 is parabolic in G^0 then it is parabolic in G by the previous lemma and P is parabolic in G by the first assertion.

Proposition 6.2.5, p. 102

A connected group G has proper parabolic subgroups if and only if it is not solvable (as an abstract group).

We may assume that G is closed in some $GL(V)$. Then G acts on the projective space $\mathbf{P}V$. Let X be a closed orbit for this action. Then X is projective and thus complete. Choose $x \in X$ and let P be its isotropy subgroup. Then $gP \mapsto g.x$ defines a bijective morphism of homogeneous spaces, whence P is parabolic in G . If $P = G$ put $V_1 = V/x$. Then G acts on $\mathbf{P}V_1$. There is a closed orbit for this action, whence a parabolic subgroup P_1 . Continuing in this way, we either get a proper parabolic subgroup or realize G as a subgroup of T_n , the upper triangular matrices. It is easy to see that any such subgroup is solvable.

To finish the proof we have to show conversely that if G is connected and solvable then it has no proper parabolic subgroups. Assume contrarily that P is one of maximal dimension; we may assume it is connected. The commutator subgroup (G, G) is closed and connected and $Q = P.(G, G)$ is a connected parabolic subgroup containing P , forcing $Q = P$ or $Q = G$. In the first case we get a bijection of homogeneous spaces $(G, G)/(G, G) \cap P \rightarrow G/P$, whence $(G, G) \cap P$ is parabolic in (G, G) . By induction on dimension we may assume that $(G, G) \cap P = (G, G)$, i.e. that $(G, G) \subset P$, contradicting the assumption that $Q = G$. Finally, if $Q = P$, then again $(G, G) \subset P$, forcing P to be normal and G/P to be affine and finite, a contradiction.

Theorem 6.2.6, p. 102: Borel's fixed point theorem

Let G be a connected solvable linear algebraic group and X a complete G -variety. There is a point in X fixed by all $g \in G$.

Indeed, G has a closed orbit in X . The isotropy group of a point of it is parabolic, whence this group must be all of G , as desired.

A *Borel subgroup* of G is a closed connected solvable subgroup which is maximal for these properties. Such subgroups exist (take one of maximal dimension).