## Lecture 3-6

Last time I introduced the formal character ch $N_{\lambda}$ of any subquotient $N_{\lambda}$ of a Verma module $M_{\lambda}$ as the formal sum $\sum_{\mu} \operatorname{dim}\left(N_{\lambda}\right)_{\mu} e^{\mu}$, where the sum runs over all the weights $\mu$ of $N_{\lambda}$; this sum can be infinite but still makes sense, since all weight spaces of $N_{\lambda}$, like those of $M_{\lambda}$, are finite-dimensional. Let me way a few words about the use of the term "character" in this context. Usually this term refers to the trace of the matrix attached to an element of a group by a representation of that group. Working for simplicity over the basefield $\mathbb{C}$, we have the aforementioned exponential map taking any Lie algebra $L$ of matrices to a corresponding Lie group $G$; it sends a matrix $M$ to $e^{M}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{i} / i$ !. If a representation $W$ of $L$ has a nonzero $\mu$-weight space $W_{\mu}$, then the matrices $h$ in any Cartan subalgebra $H$ of $L$ act on $W_{\mu}$ with trace $\operatorname{dim} W_{\mu} \mu(h)$, whence the matrices in the corresponding Cartan subgroup $e^{H}$ act on $W_{\mu}$ with trace $\operatorname{dim} W_{\mu} e^{\mu(h)}$. If $\mu$ is finite-dimensional, then the sum of all terms $\operatorname{dim} W_{\mu} e^{\mu h}$ is the trace of $h$ on $W$; if $W$ is infinite-dimensional, then we have to worry about convergence issues for the sum defining ch $W$, but at least this motivates our definition of the sum and product of any two expressions $\sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} e^{\mu}$ and $\sum_{\mu} b_{\mu} e^{\mu}$; note that the weights $\mu$ occurring for example in any highest weight module will be such that the product of any two such sums running over the weights in such a module is well defined.

Now it is easy to see that given a chain of submodules $N_{0} \subset N_{1} \subset N_{2} \subset \ldots$ of a highest weight module $N$, the character ch $N$ of $N$ is the sum of the characters of the subquotients $N_{i} / N_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$. Last time we saw that all irreducible subquotients of any Verma module $M_{\lambda}$ are themselves irreducible highest weight modules $L_{\mu}$ and that there are only finitely many possibilities for $\mu$ (any $\mu$ occurring in this way must lie in the $\lambda$-coset of the weight lattice of $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and have $\mu+\rho$ of the same square length at $\lambda+\rho$ where $\rho$ is the half sum of the positive roots. Since the weight spaces of $N_{\lambda}$ are finite-dimensional, it follows that $M_{\lambda}$ in fact admits a finite chain of submodules whose subquotients all take the form $L_{\mu}$ for some $\mu$ lying below $\lambda$ in the partial order and satisfying the condition above. If we now fix $\lambda$ and let $\mu_{1}=\lambda, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ denote all $\mu$ satisfying this condition, then we can order the $\mu$ in such way that ch $M_{\mu_{i}}$ is the sum of ch $L_{\mu_{i}}$ and a nonnegative integral combination of ch $L_{\mu_{j}}$ for $j>i$ (so that in particular $M_{\mu_{n}}=L_{\mu_{n}}$ is irreducible. This gives us an upper triangular system of linear equations relating the ch $M_{\mu_{i}}$ to the ch $L_{\mu_{i}}$ with integer entries and ones on the main diagonal. Any such system can be inverted, so we deduce that ch $L_{\mu_{i}}$ is an integral linear combination $\sum n_{j} c h M_{\mu_{j}}$ of $c h M_{\mu_{j}}$ in which ch $M_{\mu_{i}}$ appears with coefficient $n_{i}=1$; the other coefficients can be negative integers in general.

Now for the first time we introduce our key hypothesis that $\lambda$ is dominant integral, so that $L_{\lambda}$ is the unique finite-dimensional irreducible module with highest weight $\lambda$. Consider a typical term ch $M_{\mu}$ appearing in the combination for ch $L_{\lambda}$. By the PBW Theorem we may write ch $M_{\mu}$ as $e^{\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi+}\left(1+e^{-\alpha}+e^{-2 \alpha}+\ldots\right)=e^{\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi+} \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}}$. Multiplying the numerator and denominator of the $\alpha$ term in this product by $e^{\alpha / 2}$ and using the definition of $\rho$, we get the more symmetric expression $\frac{e^{\mu+\rho}}{\prod\left(e^{\alpha / 2}-e^{-\alpha / 2}\right)}$. Now we bring in the last piece of the puzzle: ch $L_{\lambda}$ is invariant under the natural action of the Weyl group $W$, where $w \in W$ sends anye $e^{\mu}$ to $e^{w \mu}$. Applying any simple reflection $s_{\beta}$ to the product $\Delta=\prod_{\alpha>0}\left(e^{\alpha / 2}-e^{-\alpha / 2}\right)$, we find that the term $e^{\beta / 2}-e^{-\beta / 2}$ is replaced
by its negative, while the other terms, corresponding to positive roots other than $\beta$, are permuted; hence the product is sent to its negative. Hence any $w \in W$, when applied to this product, sends it to $\operatorname{det} w$ times itself, where $\operatorname{det} w$ is the determinant of $w$ regarded as a linear transformation of the Euclidean space $H^{*}$ containing the roots.

The formula ch $L_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu}$ ch $M_{\mu}$, combined with the $W$-invariance of ch $L_{\lambda}$ and the skew invariance of the denominator $\Delta$, guarantees that the numerator $\sum n_{i} e^{\mu_{i}+\rho}$ is skewinvariant under $W$; the coefficient of any term $e^{\nu}$ in it equals $\operatorname{det} w$ times the coefficient of $e^{w \nu}$ in it. But now the coefficient of $e^{\lambda+\rho}$ is one, so the coefficient of $e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}$ is $\operatorname{det} w$. Now finally the only $e^{\mu+\rho}$ appearing in this sum with $\mu$ dominant integral is $\mu=\lambda$, since other dominant integral weights $\mu+\rho$ below $\lambda+\rho$ have shorter length than $\lambda+\rho$, so our final grand conclusion is the Weyl character formula: $\operatorname{ch} L_{\lambda}=\frac{\sum_{w \in W}(\operatorname{det} w) e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}}{\Delta}$. In particular, taking $\lambda=0$, we find that $L_{\lambda}$ is the trivial representation, having weight 0 with multiplicity 1 and no other weights, so we get a formula for the Weyl denominator $\Delta$; it is $\sum_{w \in W}(\operatorname{det} w) e^{w \rho}$.

