## Lecture 2-8

We begin by constructing the Dynkin diagrams of the root systems we have seen (which it turns out is essentially all of them). In type $A_{n-1}$ we get a single chain of $n-1$ vertices, each connected to its neighbors by a single edge. In type $B_{n}$ we get a chain of $n$ dots, with the rightmost edge a double one and having an arrow pointing to the rightmost vertex (and all other edges single). In type $C_{n}$ we get the same diagram as in type $B_{n}$, except that the arrow points the other way. In type $D_{n}$ we get the same diagram as for $A_{n-1}$ except that there is a new vertex joined to the next to rightmost one; all edges are single. In type $E_{8}$ we get a single chain of seven vertices with an extra vertex joined to the third vertex in the chain; in types $E_{7}$ (resp. $E_{6}$ ) we get the same diagram with one vertex (resp. two vertices) removed from the long end of the chain. In type $F_{4}$ we get a chain of four vertices with the middle edge a double one and having an arrow pointing to the right. Finally, in type $G_{2}$ we get a pair of vertices jointed by a triple edge with an arrow pointing to the right. (In the non-crystallographic cases we get two vertices joined by an edge labelled $m$ for $I_{2}(m)$, the root system consisting of the vertices and edge midpoints of a regular $m$-gon in the plane, together with their negatives. There are two remaining root systems $H_{3}$ and $H_{4}$; here $H_{3}$ consists of the edge midpoints of a regular icosahedron while $H_{4}$ consists of the centers of the faces of a 120 -sided regular polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ called the hecatonicosahedroid. The Coxeter graph of $H_{3}$ is a chian of three vertices with the leftmost edge labelled 5 ; for $H_{4}$ it is a chain of four vetices with the leftmost edge labelled 5).

Now we are ready to classify all Dynkin diagrams of crystallographic root systems. We begin with the simple observation that a graph is a Dynkin diagram if and only if its connected components are Dynkin diagrams, for given any two roots systems $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$, living in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}$, respectively, we can write $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ as the orthogonal direct sum of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and accordingly realize the (disjoint orthogonal) union of the $\Phi_{i}$ as a new root system.

We have already seen the list of connected Dynkin diagrams, so it remain to see that the list is complete. What we do is classify all Coxeter graphs arising from bases of unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the angle between any two of them is $\pi-\pi / m$ for some $m \in\{2,3,4,6\}$; any simple subsystem of a root system give an example of such a basis, obtained by dividing every simple root by its length. We begin by exhibiting certain graphs that cannot occur. Given one of the root systems $\Phi$ described previously, one can observe in each case that there is a unique lowest root $\gamma$, in the sense that $\delta-\gamma$ is a positive combination of simple roots for every other root $\delta$. We will work out what $\gamma$ is in each case below, noting in all cases that $\gamma$ has a nonpositive dot product with every simple root $\alpha$. Now form the diagram attached to the old set of simple roots together with $\gamma$; this is called the extended or affine Coxeter graph. The set of vectors is a dependent set; more precisely there is a dependence relation among it in which all coefficients are positive integers. The extended graph cannot correspond to any subdiagram of the Dynkin diagram of any root system, for then the corresponding combination of simple roots would have square length 0 . Moreover, any diagram obtained from an extended Coxeter graph by increasing the label(s) of any edge(s) also cannot correspond to any subdiagram of a Dynkin diagram, for the above combination of simple roots would still have nonpositive square length.

We now work out the root $\gamma$ and construct the extended Coxeter graph for all crystal-
lographic root systems $X_{N}$. In type $A_{n-1}$, we have $\gamma=e_{n}-e_{1}$; in type $B_{n}, \gamma=-e_{1}-e_{2}$; in type $C_{n}, \gamma=-2 e_{1}$; in type $D_{n} \gamma=-e_{1}-e_{2}$. In type $E_{8} \gamma=-e_{8}-e_{7}$; in type $E_{7} \gamma=e_{7}-e_{8}$; in type $E_{6} \gamma=(1 / 2)(-1, \ldots,-1,1,1,-1)$. Finally, in type $F_{4}, \gamma=-e_{1}-e_{2}$ and in type $G_{2}$ it is $(-2,1,1)$.

Constructing the extended Coxeter graphs, we get a closed cycle of $n$ vertices in type $A_{n-1}$; in type $B_{n}$, the diagram of type $B_{n}$ with an extra vertex joined to the second leftmost vertex; in type $C_{n}$, the extra vertex is joined by an arrow labelled 4 to the leftmost vertex; in type $D_{n}$, the extra vertex is joined to the second leftmost vertex. In type $E_{8}$, the extra vertex is joined to the long end; in type $E_{7}$, the extra vertex is joined to the short end; in type $E_{6}$, the extra vertex is joined to the topmost vertex (so overall we get three chains of vertices of length three, all sharing a common vertex). In types $F_{4}$ and $G-2$ the extra vertex is joined by an unlabelled edge to an end vertex.

Now it is not difficult to see that in fact any connected Dynkin diagram whose Coxeter graph does not contain any subgraph of the kind described in the last paragraph must be one of the diagrams in our list. (For the details see p. 37 of Humphreys's book "Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups" cited previously). Hence this list is indeed complete.

The Coxeter and extended Coxeter graphs of type $E$ are particularly interesting. All consist of three chains, say of lengths $p, q$, and $r$, sharing a vertex in common. Looking at the triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers that arise in this way, we get $(2,3,3)$ for $E_{6},(2,3,4)$ for $E_{7}$, and $(2,3,5)$ for $E_{8}$. It turns out that these are exactly the triples $(p, q, r)$ of positive integers such that $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r>1$. Similarly, the triples $((3,3,3),(2,4,4),(2,3,6))$ arising from the extended diagrams of type $E$ are exactly those triples $(p, q, r)$ such that $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r=1$. This sum of three reciprocals pops up frequently in mathematics and is intimately related to the behavior of triangles in Euclidean versus non-Euclidean geometry.

