## Lecture 2-27

Last time we observed that irreducible left modules of a Lie algebra $L$ are also irreducible left modules of its enveloping algebra $U$; as such they may be identified with quotients $U / M$ of $U$ by a maximal left ideal $M$. If $U$ is semisimple and its basefield $K$ is algebraically closed of characteristic 0 , let $L=H \oplus \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_{\alpha}$ be its root space decomposition (with $H$ a Cartan subalgebra), so that $\Phi$ is a root system. Let $\Phi^{+}, \Delta$ be a choice of positive subsystem and the corresponding choice of simple subsystem, respectively. If we let $B$ be the sum of $H$ and the positive root space $L_{\alpha}$ (for $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$), then $B$ is a solvable subalgebra of $L$. If now $V$ is a finite-dimensional irreducible $L$-module, then Lie's Theorem guarantees that $V$ has a one-dimensional submodule $K v$, so that any $h \in H$ sends $v$ to $\lambda(h) v$ for some $\lambda \in H^{*}$, while $L \alpha v=0$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$. Now we can apply the PBW Theorem. Fix an ordered basis of $L$ in which root vectors corresponding to negative roots come first, followed by a basis of $H$, followed by a basis of root vectors corresponding to positive roots. Realizing $V$ as $U / M$ as above, we see that $M$ contains all basis vectors corresponding to positive roots, together with $h-\lambda(h)$ for all $h \in H$. Let $I$ be the left ideal generated by just these elements. Then PBW says that $U / I$ has as a basis all monomials in the negative root vectors, taking those vectors in fixed order (including the empty product, with the value 0); equivalently, $V$ is spanned by all such monomials in the negative root basis vectors applied to $v$. But now since the toral subalgebra $H$ acts diagonally on any such monomial with weight given by the corresponding sum of negative roots, it follows that $V$ has a weight space decomposition $\sum_{\mu} V_{\mu}$, where $V_{\mu}=\{w \in V:[h w]=\mu(h) w\}$, where all weights $\mu$ are obtained from $\lambda$ by a subtracting a suitable sum of negative roots. In particular, all weights $\mu$ lie below in $\lambda$ in the partial order $<$ defined in the text (according to which $v<w$ for $v, w \in H^{*}$ if and only if $w-v$ is a sum of positive roots, or equivalently a sum of simple roots). We therefore call $\lambda$ the highest weight of $V$ in this situation.

Now as noted above $V$ must take the form $U / M$ where $M$ is a maximal ideal containing the ideal $I$ defined in the last paragraph. But any proper left ideal $I^{\prime}$ containing $I$ is spanned by common eigenvectors for ad $H$, having weight equal to a sum of negatives of simple roots. Hence the weight 0 cannot occur in $I^{\prime}$, corresponding uniquely as it does to the monomial 1 , which generates all of $U$, so the sum $M$ of all proper left ideals $I^{\prime}$ containing $I$ is again proper, being a sum of weight spaces corresponding to nonzero weights. This says that $M$ is the unique maximal left ideal containing $I$. Hence any two irreducible left $L$-modules $V, W$ with the same highest weight $\lambda$ are isomorphic (even if they are infinite-dimensional).

Moreover, there is a simple necessary condition on the highest weight $\lambda$ for the irreducible module $V_{\lambda}$ to be finite-dimensional, which turns out to be sufficient. Indeed, for each simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $S_{\alpha}$ be the simple subalgebra of $L$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ defined earlier; it is spanned by the one-dimensional root spaces $L_{\alpha}, L_{-\alpha}$ and their bracket [ $L_{\alpha} L_{-\alpha}$ ], a one-dimensional subalgebra of $H$. If $V-\lambda$ is finite-dimensional, it must be a sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $S_{\alpha}$-modules; in any such module we know that any weight vector sent to 0 by $x_{\alpha} \in L_{\alpha}$ necessarily has $h_{\alpha}$ weight equal to a nonnegative integer. Hence $\lambda\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=2(\lambda \cdot \alpha) /(\alpha \cdot \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$, the nonnegative integers.

Now the converse holds as well: if $\lambda$ satisfies this condition and if we have $n_{i}=$ $2\left(\lambda \cdot \alpha_{i}\right) /\left(\alpha_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right\}$, then let $N^{-}$be the subalgebra of $L$
spanned by the negative root vectors and let $J$ be the ideal of $U\left(N^{-}\right)$generated by $y_{i}^{n_{i}+1}$, where $y_{i}$ is a nonzero root vector in $L_{-\alpha_{i}}$, then one checks that $I+J$ is a left ideal of $U$ (since $x_{j} \in L_{\alpha_{j}}$ commutes with any power of $y_{i}$ if $j \neq i$, while the commutator $\left[x_{i} y_{i}^{n_{i}+1}\right] \in I$ by a direct calculation following from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$. Hence if $M=I+J$, then the quotient $V=U / M$ is spanned by weight vectors, exactly one of them having weight $\lambda$ and the others having weight strictly below $\lambda$ in the partial order $<$. All weight spaces $V_{\mu}$ with $\mu<\lambda$ have finite dimension, since there are only finitely many ways to write the difference $\mu-\lambda$ as a sum of negative roots. Then $V$ is a sum of finite-dimensional $S_{i}$ modules for each $i$, since the $S_{i}$-submodule of it generated by 1 is finite-dimensional by construction, and the sum of the finite-dimensional $S_{i}$-submodules of $V$ is stable under left multiplication by $L$, so is all of $V$. Now we can imitate the argument in our earlier construction of a Lie algebra with root system $\Phi$. Multiplication by $x_{i} \in L_{\alpha_{i}}$ acts locally nilpotently on $V$, as does multiplication by $y_{i} \in L_{-\alpha_{i}}$, so the product $\left(\exp x_{i}\right)\left(\exp -y_{i}\right)\left(\exp x_{i}\right)$ acts by a well-defined automorphism of $V$, acting on its weight spaces by the simple reflection $s_{i}$ in the Weyl group $W$. Hence weights $\mu, \mu^{\prime}$ conjugate under the Weyl group $W$ of $L$ are such that their weight spaces $V_{\mu}, V_{\mu^{\prime}}$ have the same dimension.

But now any dominant weight $\mu$ (having nonnegative dot product with all $\alpha_{i}$ ) lying strictly below $\lambda$ in the partial order must have smaller square length than $\lambda$, since if $\nu$ is a sum of simple roots than $\mu \cdot \nu$ and $\nu \cdot \nu$ are both nonnegative, so $(\mu+\nu) \cdot(\mu+\nu)$ is strictly larger than $\mu \cdot \mu$. We already know that any element of the weight lattice is $W$-conjugate to a dominant weight (keep conjugating by a simple reflection until the dot product with all simple roots is nonnegative), so only finitely many dominant weights $\mu$ can occur as weights of $V$ (there are only finitely many vectors with square length bounded by a fixed constant in any lattice), and so only finitely many weights altogether occur in $V$, each with a finite-dimensional weight space. Hence $V$ is finite-dimensional, as desired; we also see that any two $W$-conjugate weights $\mu, \mu^{\prime}$ in $V$ have the same multiplicity (that is, weight spaces of the same dimension).

