

Lecture 2-25

Now that we have constructed the semisimple Lie algebra L over any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 (and analogues of them over any field, or even any commutative ring, of characteristic 0), our remaining task this quarter is understand the finite-dimensional L -modules; by Weyl's Theorem this reduces to understanding their finite-dimensional irreducible modules. For this we need another general construction along the lines of the one we used to prove that for any root system Φ there is a Lie algebra having Φ as its root system. Let K be a field (arbitrary for now). Last time we defined the free Lie K -algebra on a set x_1, \dots, x_n of generators, as a Lie subalgebra of the tensor algebra T generated by the x_i (a free noncommutative algebra). Given a quotient F/I of F by a Lie ideal I , it is natural to hope that it embeds in the quotient T/J , where J is the two-sided ordinary ideal of T generated by I ; then T/J would be an associative algebra containing the Lie algebra F/I in such way that the bracket operation in F/I is given by commutation in the associative algebra. Less abstractly, we might start with a finite-dimensional Lie algebra L over K and ask whether there is a larger associative algebra containing L such that commutation in it of elements of L matches the bracket operation in L . Of course, if L is semisimple and π is a faithful representation of L , regarded as an injective homomorphism from L to $\mathfrak{gl}(n, K)$ for some n , then $\mathfrak{gl}(n, K)$ is an example of such an algebra; but we want a single algebra large enough to capture all representations of L simultaneously.

A natural way to construct such an algebra U is start with the tensor algebra T on the underlying vector space of L and then mod out by the relations $xy - yx = [x, y]$ for $x, y \in L$; note that here we are starting with an associative algebra and modding out by a two-sided ideal, whereas last time we started with a free Lie algebra and modded out by a Lie algebra ideal. We call $U = U(L)$ the *universal enveloping algebra*, or just the *enveloping algebra*, of L . For example, if L is abelian, say with basis x_1, \dots, x_n , then clearly U is just the polynomial ring over K (or free commutative K -algebra) in the x_i , regarded as independent variables. A famous theorem called the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (or PBW) Theorem asserts that the basic features of U in this example are found in general. More precisely, given L and U defined as above, it asserts that *if x_1, \dots, x_n is an ordered basis of L , then the monomials $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$, $m_i \geq 0$, form a basis of U* . It is fairly easy to see by induction on the length of a monomial that monomials of the above form span U ; the basic idea of the proof is simply to note that if x_i, x_j are basis vectors of L and if $j > i$, then the product $x_j x_i$ of these basis elements in the "wrong" order is just $x_i x_j + [x_j x_i]$, while in turn $[x_j x_i]$ is a linear combination of the x_k . Iterating this calculation, we see that any m -fold product of x_i can be rewritten as a combination of monomials in the above form, each of total degree at most m . The hard part is to see that monomials in the above form are linearly independent in U . This is done by constructing a suitable representation of U on S , the polynomial ring over K on the basis x_1, \dots, x_n of L . The details are on pp. 93-4 of the text.

There is a more sophisticated way to look at the construction of U from L . Let $U_m = U_m(L)$ be the K -subspace of U spanned by products of at most m elements of L (or equivalently at most m generators x_i). Then U_{m-1} is clearly a subspace of U_m . Make the direct sum G of all the quotients $G_m = U_m/U_{m-1}$ for $m \geq 0$ (taking $U_{-1} = 0$ into a rings by decreeing that if u, v are cosets of U_{n-1} and U_n and U_{m-1} in U_m , respectively,

and if u_m, v_n represent u, v , respectively, then uv is the coset of $u_m v_n$ in U_{m+n}/U_{m+n-1} . It is easy to check that the definition of uv does not depend on the choice of u_m, v_n . Then the images \bar{x}_i in G of the generators x_i of U commute in G , so we get a map from the polynomial ring S to G . Then the PBW Theorem implies (and in fact is equivalent to) the assertion that *this map is an isomorphism*.

(As an interesting consequence we see that the noncommutative algebra U has no zero divisors; indeed, given nonzero $u, v \in U$, there are m, n such that the images of u, v in G_n, G_m , respectively, are nonzero; but then the image of uv in G_{n+m} is not zero, since polynomial rings over fields have no zero divisors, whence we cannot have $uv = 0$.)

By the construction of $U = U(L)$ we see that (left) L -modules M may be naturally identified with left U -modules, so that we can apply the methods of associative (but not commutative) rings to study L -modules. This will be our basic approach, but we start with the Lie-theoretic observation that if M is a finite-dimensional module over a semisimple Lie algebra L with Cartan subalgebra H , root system Φ , and Borel subalgebra B equal to the sum of H and all positive roots spaces L_α , then by the preservation of the Jordan form and Lie's Theorem M has a nonzero weight vector v which is sent to 0 by the derived subalgebra of B , so that there is $\lambda \in H^*$ with $hv = \lambda(h)v$ for all $h \in H$ but $L_\alpha v = 0$ for any positive root $\alpha \in \Phi$. If M is irreducible, then the weight λ turns out to be uniquely determined by M and is called its *highest weight*. We will parametrize finite-dimensional irreducible L -modules by their highest weights and determine which weights can occur as highest weights.