## Lecture 1-9

Continuing from last time, we now study the classical algebras (those belonging to one of the series $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$, or $D_{n}$ ), in more detail. Recall that the matrix unit $e_{i j}$ has 1 as its $i j$-entry while all other entries are 0 . There is a simple rule for commutating two such units: $\left[e_{i j} e_{k \ell}\right]=\delta_{j k} e_{i \ell}-\delta_{\ell} i_{k j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. In all cases the diagonal matrices will play a crucial role, since if $d$ is diagonal than ad $d$ will continue to act diagonalizably on the algebra containing $d$.

The simplest case is $L=\mathfrak{s l}(n)$ (type $A_{n-1}$ ). Here we have $\left[e_{i i} e_{i j}\right]=e_{i j}$, so that if $d=\sum_{i} d_{i} e_{i i}$, then $\left[d e_{i j}\right]=\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right)(d) e_{i j}$, where $E_{i}$ is the linear function on the space of diagonal matrices sending one of them to its $i i$-entry. Here we must have $\sum_{i} d_{i}=0$, since we are only looking at matrices with trace 0 . Thus the dimension of the subspace $D$ of diagonal matrices has dimension $n-1$ rather than $n$; this is why we say that $L$ is of type $A_{n-1}$ rather than $A_{n}$. This subspace $D$ is a subalgebra of $L$, in fact an abelian one where all brackets are 0 . (This will be true of the subspace $D$ in all the other classical algebras). Here $L$ is spanned by $D$ together with all matrix units $e_{i j}$ with $i \neq j$. The dimension of $L$ is thus $n^{2}-1$.

In all the other families of examples, there is a matrix $M$ such that the Lie algebra consists of all matrices $X$ that are skew-adjoint with respect to the from $(c d o t, \cdot)$ given by $(v, w)=v^{t} M w$, where the superscript $t$ as usual denotes transpose, so that $v^{t}$ is a row vector while $w$ is a column one. Skew-adjointness with respect to this form translates to the condition on $X$ that $M X=-X^{t} M$. We now consider each of the cases in turn.

Starting with $L=\mathfrak{s p}(2 n)$ (type $C_{n}$ ), the condition $M X=-X^{t} M$ says that the upper left $n \times n$ block $m$ of $X$ should be the negative transpose $-q^{T}$ of the lower right block $q$, while the upper right and lower left blocks $n, p$ should equal their own transposes. Hence a typical diagonal matrix $d$ in $L$ takes the form $d=\sum_{i} d_{i} e_{i i}$, where $d_{n+i}=-d_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Letting $E_{i}$ as above be the linear function sending $\sum_{i} d_{i} e_{i i}$ to $d_{i}$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$ only), we find that $M$ is spanned by $D$ together with the differences $\ell_{i j}=e_{i j}-e_{n+1, n+j}$ (for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ ), the sums $\ell_{i j}^{\prime}=e_{i, n+j}+e_{j, n+i}$ (for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ ), their transposes $\ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=e_{n+j, i}+e_{n+i, j}$, and the units $\ell_{i}=e_{i, n+i}$ and their transposes $\ell_{i}^{\prime}=e_{n+i, i}$. Then $\left[d \ell_{i j}\right]$ equals $\left(E_{i}+E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}$ if $i<j$ and $\left(-E_{i}-E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}$ if $j<i$, while $\left[d \ell_{i j}^{\prime}\right]=$ $\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}^{\prime},\left[d \ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=\left(E_{j}-E_{i}\right) \ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime},\left[d \ell_{i}\right]=2 E_{i}(d) \ell_{i},\left[d \ell_{i}^{\prime}=-2 E_{i}(d) \ell_{i}^{\prime}\right.\right.$. The dimension of $L$ is $n+n^{2}-n+2\left(n+(1 / 2)\left(n^{2}-n\right)\right)=2 n^{2}+n$; the dimension of $D$ is $n$.

Now consider $L=\mathfrak{s o}(2 n+1)$ (type $B_{n}$ ). Now the condition $M X=-X^{t} M$ says that $X$ has 0 as its11-entry; the remaining blocks of entries $b_{1}, b_{2}$ in its first row are the respective negative transposes of $c_{2}, c_{1}$, the remaining blocks of entries in its first column. The remainder of $X$ consists of four blocks $m, n, p, q$ as in the previous case, but this time with $q=-m^{T}, n^{T}=-n, p^{T}=-p$. Here $D$ consists of all sums $\sum_{i} a_{i} e_{i i}$ with $d_{1}=$ $0, d_{n+i}=-d_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $L$ is spanned by $D$ together with all differences $\ell_{i j}=$ $e_{i+1, j+1}-e_{n+j+1, n+i+1}($ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j)$, all differences $\ell_{i j}^{\prime}=e_{i+1, n+j+1}-e_{j+1, n+i+1}$ (for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ ), all differences $\ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=e_{n+i+1, j+1}-e_{n+j+1, i+1}$ (for $1 \leq j<i \leq n$ ), and all differences $\ell_{i}=e_{1, n+1+i}-e_{i+1,1}, \ell_{i}^{\prime}=e_{1, i+1}-e_{n+1+1,1}$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). We have $\left[d, \ell_{i j}\right]=\left( \pm\left(E_{i}+E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}\right.$ (according as $i<j$ or $j<i$, as before), while we have $\left[d, \ell_{i j}^{\prime}\right]=\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}^{\prime},\left[d \ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right)(d) \ell_{i j}^{\prime \prime},\left[d \ell_{i}\right]=E_{i}(d) \ell_{i},\left[d \ell_{i}^{\prime}\right]=-E_{i}(d) \ell_{i}^{\prime}$, where $E_{i}(d)=d_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Here the dimension of $D$ is again $n$ and the dimension of $L$ is
again $2 n^{2}+n$. Notice that we get the same linear functions arising on $D$ as in type $C_{n}$, except that $\pm 2 E_{i}$ is replaced by $E_{i}$.

Finally, we have $L=\mathfrak{s o}(2 n)$ (type $D_{n}$ ), where the matrices take the same form as in the previous paragraph, except that the first row and column of those matrices are omitted. The dimension of $L$ is now $2 n^{2}-n$ while the dimension of $D$ is again $n$; the linear functions on $D$ now arising are now $\pm E_{i} \pm E_{j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ (so that both $E_{i}$ and $2 E_{i}$ are omitted).

We have now met all but finitely many of the basic objects of study of the course! We conclude with a construction of the groups corresponding to our Lie algebras, again echoing what is done in manifold theory, but with a new twist. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 (so that we are free to divide by any nonzero integer in $K$ ) and let $d$ be a nilpotent derivation on $A$, so that $d^{n}$ is the 0 map on $A$ for some $n$. We can then set up the usual power series definition of the exponential $\exp d=\sum_{i=0}^{n} d^{i} / i$ !, taking $d^{0}$ as usual to be the identity map; this makes sense without any completeness assumption on $K$ since it has only finitely many terms. Then the usual formal calculation as in the case $K=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, not assuming that $d$ is nilpotent and taking the full power series expansion $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d^{i} / i$ ! of $\exp d$, shows that $\exp d$ is an automorphism of $A$ : $\exp d(a) \exp d(b)=\exp d(a b)$ for $a, b \in A$. The inverse of $\exp d$ is $\exp -d$. In particular, $\exp \operatorname{ad} x$ is an automorphism of any Lie algebra $L$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 if ad $x$ acts nilpotently on $L$. Now it turns out that if $K$ in addition is algebraically closed, then the group generated by all exp ad $x$ where ad $x$ is nilpotent can do everything that the group generated by all exp ad $x$ for arbitrary $x$ can do if $K=\mathbb{C}$. We call it the adjoint group of $L$ and denote it (for mysterious reasons) by Int $L$. This group will be used at several crucial points in what follows. For now we observe that if $L=\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})$, then its adjoint group $G$ is $P S L(n, \mathbb{C})$ the quotient of group $S L(n, \mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ matrices of determinant one by its center, a cyclic group of order $n$. If $L=\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ then $G=P S O(n, \mathbb{C})$, the quotient of the group of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices of determinant 1 by its center (trivial if $n$ is odd, cyclic of order 2 if $n$ is even). Finally, if $L=\mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$, then $G=\operatorname{PSp}(2 n, \mathbb{C})$, the group of complex symplectic $2 n \times 2 n$ matrices modulo its center (again of order two).

