

Lecture 1-16

Following the lines of our earlier investigation of linear nilpotent Lie algebras, we now look at solvable Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(m, K)$. Here for the first time we must make two previously mentioned assumptions on K , namely that it is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0. The result, called Lie's Theorem, states that *given any solvable Lie subalgebra L of $\mathfrak{gl}(m, K)$ with K algebraically closed and of characteristic 0, there is a common eigenvector $v \in K^m$ for the matrices in L .* (Note that, in contrast to the lemma used to prove Engel's Theorem, we make no assumption in advance about the matrices in L , but we do assume something about the structure of L itself.)

To prove this we follow the approach in proving the lemma just mentioned and argue by induction on the dimension d of L . If $d = 1$, so that L is spanned by a single matrix x , then any eigenvector of x has the desired property (but notice that we have already had to use our assumption that K is algebraically closed to be sure that x has an eigenvector). If the result holds for solvable Lie algebras of dimension less than d , then we note by the definition of solvability that L must properly contain its solvable derived subalgebra $[LL]$, and any subspace I of L containing $[LL]$ is automatically an ideal, so L has a solvable ideal I of codimension one, so that the matrices in I have a common eigenvector. This implies that for some linear function λ from I to K the common λ -eigenspace $V = V_\lambda = \{v \in K^n : xv = \lambda(x)v, x \in I\}$ is nonzero. Now if we can show that the matrices in L leave V invariant, then we will be done, for L is spanned by I and just one matrix y , so that any eigenvector of y in V does the job. To show that L preserves V , we let $x \in L, v \in V, y \in I$ and look at $xyv = yxv + [xy]v$. We have $xyv = \lambda(y)xv$ and $[xy] \in I$, so we must show that $\lambda[xy] = 0$. Let $n > 0$ be the smallest integer such that $v, xv, \dots, x^n v$ are linearly dependent and let V_i be the span of $v, \dots, x^{i-1}v$ for $i > 0$, while $V_0 = 0$, so that x maps V_n to itself. Then we have $yx^i v = yx^{i-1}xv = xyx^{i-1}v - [yx]x^{i-1}v$, whence by induction $yx^i v \equiv \lambda(y)x^i v \pmod{V_i}$ for all i . Hence y acts linearly on W_n by an upper triangular matrix with $\lambda(y)$ on the diagonal and its trace on W_n is $n\lambda(y)$. Then $[xy]$ acts as the commutator of two matrices on W_n , so its trace there must be 0. Since the characteristic of K is 0, we get $\lambda[xy] = 0$, as desired.

Given this result, we can form the quotient space K^m/Kv , on which L acts linearly, so L has a common eigenvector in this quotient space. Iterating this construction, we see that under the hypothesis the vector space K^m admits a chain of subspaces $K_0 = 0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots$ preserved by L such that $\dim K_i = i, K_m = K^m$. Equivalently, *there is a basis of K^m such that the matrices in L are all upper triangular with respect to this basis.* In particular, applying this result to the adjoint action of L on itself, we see that *any solvable Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0 admits a chain of ideals $L) = 0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots$ such that $\dim L_i = i, L_n = L$ for some n .* Also $[LL]$ is nilpotent whenever l is solvable, since $[LL]$ modulo its center acts on itself by strictly upper triangular and thus nilpotent matrices. It turns out that both of these properties can fail for a solvable Lie algebra L over a field K which is not algebraically closed of characteristic 0. Nevertheless, solvable Lie algebras L admitting a chain of ideals $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots$ of this type are important enough to deserve a special name; they are called *completely solvable*.

If L is a nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(m, K)$ and K as usual is algebraically closed of characteristic 0, then we can say more: there is a basis of K^m such that the matrices in L

are block diagonal with each block upper triangular with equal entries along its diagonal. This is a Lie algebra analogue of the Jordan canonical form for a single matrix over K ; it turns out that this form will be a basic tool in our study of Lie algebras as well.

Recall from Friday's lecture that a *module* M over a K -Lie algebra is a K -vector space such that for all $x \in L, m \in M$ we have $xm \in M$ depending linearly on x and m such that $xym - yxm = [xy]m$ for all $x, y \in L, m \in M$. Then n -dimensional modules M over a Lie algebra L over K correspond to Lie algebra homomorphisms from L into $\mathfrak{gl}(n, K)$; in this case, as previously noted we call either M or the homomorphism a representation of L . The notion of representation makes perfect sense if M is infinite-dimensional as well, and in fact infinite-dimensional representations of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra are actively studied in current research.

Just as in the ring case, we call a subspace N of an L -module M an L -submodule if it is preserved by L ; if so then L acts in a natural way on the quotient space M/N , which accordingly is called a quotient L -module. We say that M is *simple* or *irreducible* if it has no submodules apart from 0 and M itself. In this language Lie's Theorem says that the only irreducible finite-dimensional modules over a solvable Lie algebra L have dimension one (assuming as usual that the basefield K is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0).