

## LECTURE 5-31

We now review the four ways that we have discussed to measure the size of an affine domain  $R$  over a field  $k$ . The last one we introduced is the easiest to define; it is just the transcendence degree of  $k$  of the quotient field  $K$  of  $R$ . We defined the dimension of  $R$  in this way only quite recently, but we could have done it last quarter, as we saw then that any such  $R$  (indeed any affine ring, domain or not) is a finite integral extension of some polynomial ring  $k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ ; being thus not much larger than  $k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$  itself, it is natural to take its dimension to be  $d$ , and this is indeed the transcendence degree of its quotient field if  $R$  is a domain (though if  $R$  is not a domain, we have to be a bit careful, as there is no single field canonically attached to  $R$ , and its variety might have different components of different dimension).

The other three measures of dimension all involve a choice of maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$ , though all give the same answer for every  $M$ , at least for domains  $R$ . The first of these (Krull dimension) is mentioned already in Dummit and Foote; it is the supremum of the lengths  $n$  of all strictly increasing chains of prime ideals  $P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \dots \subset P_n = M$  in  $R$  ending at  $M$ . It is not at all obvious a priori that this supremum is finite, and in fact it need not be if one looks at all chains not ending in a *fixed* maximal ideal, but in our situation the supremum is indeed finite.

Next we have the rate of growth of powers of  $M$ , more precisely the dimension of the quotient  $M^n/M^{n+1}$  (or more generally the quotient of two successive terms of a stable  $M$ -filtration of  $R$ ) as a vector space over the field  $R/M$ . This dimension is a polynomial in  $n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , whose degree is the dimension of  $R$ . The leading term of this polynomial gives more refined information about the rate of growth of powers of  $M$ , which we have used to define the notion of multiplicity (replacing  $M$  by a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $N$  and using a finite filtration of  $N$  whose graded pieces take the form  $R/P$  for various prime ideals  $P$  of  $R$ ; the multiplicity counts the number of times  $R/P$  occurs in  $N$ ).

Finally we have the minimal number of generators required for any ideal containing a power  $M^n$  of  $M$ , or equivalently any ideal with radical  $M$ . We showed that any prime ideal of codimension  $d$  is minimal over some ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with the  $x_i \in P$ ; if we allow one more generator  $x_{d+1}$  we can guarantee that  $P$  is the only minimal prime over  $(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1})$ , or equivalently the radical  $\sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1})} = P$ . Whether one can replace these last  $d+1$  generators with  $d$  generators (of  $P$  up to radical) is one of the great open questions in algebraic geometry, for homogeneous prime ideals  $P$  of polynomial rings of codimension one over algebraically closed fields  $k$ . In particular the dimension of  $M/M^2$  over  $R/M$  (where  $M$  is maximal in a Noetherian ring  $R$ ) is always at least the codimension of  $M$ ; if equality holds,  $R$  is said to be *nonsingular at  $M$* . If  $R$  is affine over  $k$  and nonsingular at some maximal ideal  $M$  with  $A/M \cong k$ , then the associated graded ring  $G_M(R)$  is just the polynomial ring in  $\dim R$  variables over  $k$ ; so in some sense every Noetherian ring  $R$  nonsingular at a maximal ideal  $M$  looks like a polynomial ring over  $R/M$  to a first approximation.

We have shown in class that a ring is Artinian if and only if it is Noetherian and has dimension 0; any Artinian ring is a finite direct product of Artinian local rings, in each of which the maximal ideal is nilpotent. An Artinian affine ring is necessarily finite-dimensional (not just finitely generated) over its basefield  $k$ . The next simplest situation occurs when  $R$  is a Noetherian domain of dimension one, so that every nonzero prime ideal is maximal. To get a really nice theory of such rings one needs a further assumption on  $R$ ; usually this is taken to be that  $R$  is integrally closed in its quotient field. Then every nonzero ideal of  $R$  is uniquely a product of prime ideals, every primary ideal of  $R$  is a power of prime ideal (and conversely every power of a prime ideal is primary), and every localization  $R_P$  of  $R$  at a prime ideal  $P$  is a DVR (a PID with only one nonzero prime ideal). Nonzero ideals of  $R$  form a group under multiplication if one mods out by the principal ideals; this is called the *class group* of  $R$  and in general can be any abelian group, but in the special case where  $R$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  this group is finite. It may be identified with the *Picard group* of isomorphism classes of invertible modules that we studied this quarter (for Dedekind domains, but not in general).

We will wrap up by saying a few words about complete rings next time.