# Lecture 5-1: Factor sets, group extensions, and $H^2$

May 1, 2024

Lecture 5-1: Factor sets, group extensions,

May 1, 2024 1 / 1

Image: A math a math

Having studied split extensions of G by A in detail, we turn attention now to (possibly nonsplit) extensions. We will see that these are controlled by the next higher cohomology group  $H^2(G, A)$  and derive a condition under which all of them are split. We will then work out an example in detail.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Given an extension  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  with A abelian, note first that E acts on A by conjugation and the restriction of this action to A is trivial, so that  $G \cong E/A$  also acts on A. It is easy to check that equivalent extensions  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  and  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  induce the same action of G on A, so we can assume that the action of G on A has been fixed and consider only extensions inducing this action.

Given such an extension, for each  $g \in G$  choose a representative  $e_a$  of the right coset Ag of A in E. Then for  $g,h \in G$  we must have  $e_g e_h = a_{g,h} e_{gh}$  for some  $a_{g,h} \in A$ . If  $k \in G$ , then the associative law forces  $(e_a e_b)e_k = e_a(e_b e_k)$ , whence  $a_{g,h}e_{gh}e_k = a_{g,h}a_{gh,k}e_{ghk} = e_g(e_he_k) = e_g(a_{h,k}e_{hk}) =$  $(ga_{h,k})a_{a,hk}e_{ahk}$ . Cancelling  $e_{ahk}$  and reverting to additive notation for A we get  $a_{a,h} + a_{ah,k} = ga_{h,k} + a_{a,hk}$ . Rewriting  $a_{a,h}$ as f(g, h) (and calling it a factor set), this last condition is exactly the one for f to lie in  $Z^2(G, A)$ . Moreover, if we choose another representative  $e'_q = \alpha_g e_g$  of the same coset Ag for all  $g \in G$ , so that  $\alpha_g \in A$ , and write  $e'_g e'_h = a'_{a,h} e'_{gh}$ , then we find that  $a'_{a,h} = a_{g,h} + \alpha_g + g\alpha(h) - \alpha_{gh}$ , so that  $a_{g,h}$  and  $a'_{a,h}$  differ by a 1-coboundary. As it is easy to check that two extensions are equivalent if and only if their factor sets are related in this way, we get

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

## Theorem 36, DF, p. 828

For a fixed action of G on A, equivalence classes of extensions inducing this action are in bijection to cohomology classes in  $H^2(G, A)$ . The split extension (with E the semidirect product of A and G) corresponds to the trivial cohomology class.

We can simplify the analysis of factor sets f by choosing the identity element  $e_1 = 1$  to represent the identity coset A1; then f(1,g) = f(g,1) = 0 for all  $g \in G$ . Factor sets satisfying this condition are called normalized; every factor set lies in the same cohomology class as a normalized one.

### Corollary: DF, p. 828

If A is finite and its order is relatively prime to that of G, then every extension of G by A splits. Any two complements of A in an extension E are conjugate under A.

This follows since once again a previous result guarantees that  $H^1(G, A) = H^2(G, A) = 0$  in this situation.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Once this result is known, some simple group theory extends it to the case where A is not abelian.

## Schur's Theorem, DF, p. 829

If E is a finite group containing a normal subgroup N whose order and index are relatively prime, then N has a complementary subgroup H in E, so that E is a split extension of H by N.

### Proof.

By induction on the order of *E*. Since we may assume that  $N \neq 1$ , let *p* be a prime dividing the order |N| of *N* and let *P* be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *N*, with normalizer  $E_0$  in *E*. Set  $N_0 = N \cap E_0$ . Since any conjugate  $ePe^{-1}$  of *P* is *p*-Sylow in *N* and thus conjugate in *N* to *P*, we have  $E = E_0N$ , whence  $N_0$  is normal in  $E_0$  and the index  $[E_0 : N_0]$  of  $N_0$  in  $E_0$  equals the index [E : N] of *N* in *E*. If  $E_0 \neq E$ , then by inductive hypothesis  $N_0$  has a complement *H* in  $E_0$ , which is also a complement to *N* in *E*, as desired. Hence we may assume  $E_0 = E$ , so that *P* is normal in *E*. The center *Z*(*P*) of *P*, like *P* itself, is then preserved by conjugation in *E*, so is normal.

## Proof.

If Z(P) = N then N is abelian and we are done by the previous corollary. Otherwise we pass to the quotient group  $\overline{E} = E/Z(P)$ . The image  $\overline{N}$  of N in this group has index relatively prime to its order, so that group has a complement  $\overline{H}$  in  $\overline{E}$ . The preimage  $E_1$ of  $\overline{H}$  in E then has  $|E_1| = |\overline{H}||Z(P)| = |E/N||Z(P)|$ , so Z(P) has relatively prime order and index in  $E_1$ . By induction it has a complement H in  $E_1$  which by its order must be a complement to N in E, as desired.

As an example, take *E* to be the alternating group  $A_4$ . This group has *K*, the Klein four-group, as a normal subgroup whose index 3 is relatively prime to 4, so it has a complementary subgroup. Up to conjugacy, the unique such subgroup is *T*, the cyclic group generated by a 3-cycle, say (123), in *E*. Conversely, the subgroup *T* is such that  $gTg^{-1} \cap T = 1$  for  $g \notin T$ , so by Frobenius's Theorem (proved by induced characters), *T* is a complement of a normal subgroup of *E*. Of course this unique normal subgroup is just *K*.

Another example, to which I have a small personal connection, is the *Schur multiplier* of a finite group *G*, which is by definition the cohomology group  $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , where the action of *G* on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is trivial. Like all of you, I first learned about factor sets and group cohomology in a first-year algebra course. Although I paid no particular attention to this material when I first learned it, I realized a few years later that the Schur multiplier was going to play a crucial role in my thesis. Fortunately, I still had both my old book and notes on group cohomology; this is a lesson that one must never throw anything away!

We conclude with an extended example, following the discussion on pp. 830,1 in DF. Take G to be the Klein four-group and write its elements as 1, a, b, c. Take A to be the cyclic group of order 2, on which G (necessarily) acts trivially. To compute the group  $H^2(G, A)$  we must first look at groups E admitting a normal cyclic subgroup of order 2 (necessarily central) such that the quotient of E by this subgroup is isomorphic to G. The possibilities for *E* are  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , the quaternion group Q (of order 8), the product  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and the dihedral group *D* of order 8. There is only one extension up to equivalence in the first and last cases, since the automorphisms of E necessarily fix A and induce the full set of automorphisms of G.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The other two cases offer more possibilities. If E is the direct product of cyclic subgroups  $\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle$  of orders 4 and 2, respectively, then we must take A to be the subgroup generated by  $x^2$ . An automorphism of *E* must send *x* to one of  $x, x^3, xy, x^3y$ , while y goes to itself or to  $x^2y$ . Modulo  $x^2$ , then y must go to itself and there are just tow choices for the image of x, so that only two of the six automorphisms of G arise from automorphisms of E. Accordingly, there are three inequivalent extensions with this group E. Similarly, if  $E \cong D$  is generated by the cyclic subgroups  $\langle r \rangle$  and  $\langle s \rangle$  of orders 4 and 2 generated by a rotation r and a reflection s, respectively, then we must have  $A = \langle r^2 \rangle$ . An automorphism of E sends r to itself or  $r^3$ , so that modulo A, r must go to itself, and again only two of the six automorphisms of Garise from automorphisms of E. Thus there are again three inequivalent extensions with this group E.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

As every nonidentity element of  $H^2(G, A)$  has order 2, we must have  $H^2(G, A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Actually, there is more structure present here, which can be used to better explain what is going on. If A and B are two G-modules, then it is easy to see that  $H^n(G, A \oplus B)$  is the direct sum of  $H^n(G, A)$  and  $H^n(G, B)$  for all n. But if instead G, H are two groups equipped with commuting actions on A, then  $H^n(G \times H, A)$  is *not* the direct sum of  $H^n(G, A)$ and  $H^n(H, A)$ . Just to leave you in suspense, I will defer explaining this until next time.

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほう