# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Lecture 4-19: Hook formula and symmetric functions

April 19, 2024

[Lecture 4-19: Hook formula and symmetric functions](#page-0-0) April 19, 2024 1/1

 $QQQ$ 

 $\leftarrow \mathbb{B}$ 

 $($   $\Box$   $)$   $($   $\Box$   $)$ 

Whole books are written and whole courses devoted to the representations of the symmetric group; while I cannot go into depth on this topic, there are couple of additional topics that I would like to mention. I will give a beautiful formula for the number of standard tableaux of a fixed shape and give an inkling of the many connections between the symmetric group and symmetric polynomials.

イロメ イ何 メ イヨメ イヨメー

Given a Young diagram, each box in it corresponds to a hook, consisting of itself and all boxes either directly below it or directly to its right; its length is the number of boxes in it. For example, starting with the partition  $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$ , I have labelled each box in the corresponding Young diagram by the length of its hook below.

5 3 1 3 1 1

Then we have

#### Hook length formula

If  $n = |\lambda|$ , then the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ equals n! divided by the product of the hook lengths in the Young diagram of  $\lambda$ .

Thus for example we have dim  $S^{(3,2,1)} = \frac{6!}{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1} = 16$ .

 $QQ$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \times & \overline{c} & \overline{c} & \times \end{array} \right.$ 

Many proofs of this result have been given since the original one in 1954 by Frame, Robinson, and Thrall, which used the representation theory of  $S_n$  in prime characteristic. A straightforward proof by induction is given in Fulton's book, together with a heuristic argument which can be converted to a rigorous probabilistic proof. More recently Pak and others have given a geometric proof, by computing the n-dimensional volume of a certain polytope.

画

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

It turns out that the representations of  $S_n$  as n varies fit together in a beautiful way. To see how this works, let  $R_n$  be the free  $\mathbb Z$ -module spanned by the irreducible characters of  $S_n$ . Make the direct sum  $R = \stackrel{\infty}{\bigoplus} R_n$  into a graded ring by decreeing that the  $n=0$ product  $\chi \circ \mu$  of the irreducible characters  $\chi, \mu$  of  $S_m, S_n$ , respectively, be the induced character Ind $\frac{S_{m+n}}{S_m \times S_n} \chi \times \mu$  of  $S_{m+n}$  , where  $\chi \times \mu$  is regarded as a character of the direct product  $S_m \times S_n$  via  $\chi \times \mu(g, h) = \chi(g)\mu(h)$  and  $S_m \times S_n$  is embedded in  $S_{m+n}$  in the obvious way. A straightforward argument using the transitivity of induction (Proposition 14 in DF, p. 898) shows that multiplication in R is associative and commutative.

 $\Omega$ 

画

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

The ring R then turns out to be isomorphic to a well-known ring Λ arising in a different context. Recall that a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  is called symmetric if it remains unchanged when the variables are permuted:  $\rho(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\rho(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n)}$ for all  $\sigma \in S_n$ . Note that p is symmetric if and only if the sum  $h_i$  of its monomial terms of degree *i* is symmetric for all *i*. A symmetric function  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{p}}=(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{p}}_1,\boldsymbol{\mathsf{p}}_2,\ldots)$  is then a tuple of symmetric polynomials  $\rho_i$  in  $x_1,\ldots,x_i$ , such that  $\rho_j(x_1,\ldots,x_i,0\ldots,0)=\rho_i(x_1,\ldots,x_i)$  for all indices *i*, *j* with *i < j*. For example,  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots)$  has this property if we set  $p_i = x_1 + \ldots + x_i.$  It is clear that the sum and .<br>product of symmetric functions are symmetric. Thus  $\Lambda = \overset{\infty}{\bigoplus} \Lambda_n$  $n=0$ has the structure of a commutative graded ring, where  $\Lambda_n$ denotes the set of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n.

 $\Omega$ 

 $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{$ 

To any partition  $\lambda$  one can attach a symmetric function, as follows. We first broaden the definition of Young tableau, now declaring any filling of the boxes in a Young diagram by positive integers to be such a tableau. We say that the tableau T is semistandard if the numbers in the boxes increase weakly across the rows but strictly down the columns. For every semistandard tableau  $I$  denote by  $x^I$  (the *weight* of  $I$ ) the monomial  $x_1^{G_1}$  $x_1^{a_1} \ldots x_m^{a_m}$ , where the exponent  $a_i$  counts the number of times i appears in T. Then the Schur polynomial  $s_{\lambda,m}$  in m variables is defined to be the sum  $\sum_I x^I$  as  $I$  runs over the semistandard tableaux using only the numbers 1 through m (but not necessarily all of them).

 $QQ$ 

÷,

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

For example, if  $\lambda$  has a single part *n*, then  $s_{\lambda,m}$  is the *n*th complete symmetric polynomial  $h_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ , equal to the sum of all monomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  of total degree n. If instead  $\lambda = (1, \ldots, 1)$  with n ones, then  $s_{\lambda,m}$  equals  $e_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ , the nth elementary symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ , equal to the sum of all products of  $n$  distinct variables among the  $x_i$ ; note that  $e_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 0$  if  $m < n$ .

 $\Omega$ 

D.

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

We further have  $s_{(2,1),1} = 0$ , since there are no semistandard tableaux of shape (2, 1) using only the number 1, while  $s_{(2,1),2} = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2$ . The coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 x_3$  in  $s_{(2,1),3}$  is 2, since there are two standard tableaux of shape (2, 1). As indicated by (but certainly not obvious from) the examples so far, it turns out that  $s_{\lambda,i}$  is symmetric for every  $\lambda$  and  $i$ , whence  $s_\lambda=(s_{\lambda,1},s_{\lambda,2},\ldots)$ is a symmetric function, called the Schur function  $s_\lambda$ corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トーヨー

The connection between characters of  $S_n$  and symmetric functions is then established by the following fundamental result.

## Theorem: Fulton, Chapter 7

The graded rings R and Λ are isomorphic by the map sending the character  $\chi_\lambda$  of the Specht module  $S^\lambda$  (lying in  $R_\textsf{n}$ ) to  $\mathsf{s}_\lambda\in\mathsf{\Lambda}_\textsf{n}.$ 

 $\Omega$ 

This result is particularly remarkable since most of the tableaux T involved in the definition of  $s_{\lambda}$  are not standard and play no role in the definition of  $S^\lambda.$  The theorem mostly has to do with the representation theory of the infinite group  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , which involves semistandard tableaux in a crucial way. The connection to  $S_n$ amounts to a side benefit.

 $\Omega$ 

By working with semistandard tableaux one can deduce the following restriction formula for representations of  $S_n$ .

### Theorem: restriction formula

Given a partition  $\lambda$ of *n,* the restriction of the Specht module  $S^\lambda$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$  as the sum  $\sum \mathcal{S}^{\lambda'}$  as  $\lambda'$  runs through the partitions whose diagrams are obtained from that of  $\lambda$  by deleting one box. In particular, this restriction is irreducible if and only if the diagram of  $\lambda$  is a rectangle (all rows have the same length).

 $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー

If one restricts  $S^{\lambda}$  to  $S_{n-1}$  , then to  $S_{n-2}$  , and so on, all the way to  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , one obtains the sum of  $f^\lambda = \dim S^\lambda$  copies of the trivial representation. So  $f^\lambda$  equals the number of ways to reduce the diagram  $D_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  to a single box by removing one box at a time, making sure that resulting shape is always a diagram. Now the boxes that can be removed from  $D_{\lambda}$  at the first step are exactly those which can be filled by the largest number n in a standard tableau of shape  $\lambda$ , and similarly for the boxes that can be removed at the subsequent steps.

 $QQ$ 

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

In this way we recover the earlier result that  $\dim S^\lambda$  equals the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ . We conclude with the induction analogue of the restriction formula: the representation induced from  $\mathcal{S}_n$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$  by  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  is the sum  $\sum \mathcal{S}^{\lambda^n}$  as  $\lambda^n$  runs over the partitions whose diagrams are obtained from  $D_{\lambda}$  by adding one box, possibly forming a new row by itself.

 $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー