

Lecture 5-7: Noetherian property of completions

May 7, 2025

I will wrap up Chapter 10 of Atiyah-Macdonald, showing that the completion $\hat{R}$ of a Noetherian ring R is again Noetherian.

Last time I proved Krull's Theorem, which asserts that the kernel of the natural map from a Noetherian ring R to its I -adic completion consists of the $x \in R$ annihilated by $1 - i$ for some $i \in I$. I now digress briefly to show that this result can fail if R is not Noetherian. Let $R = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the ring of real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ having derivatives of all orders, and let I be the principal ideal (x) of R . By L'Hopital's Rule, I consists exactly of the functions $f \in R$ with $f'(0) = 0$; similarly I^n consists of all $f \in R$ with $f^{(i)}(0) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$. The intersection $K = \cap_n I^n$ consists of all $f \in R$ with $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ for all n .

Recall now from advanced calculus that $K \neq 0$: the function $g(x)$ defined to be e^{-1/x^2} if $x \neq 0$ and 0 if $x = 0$ lies in K . On the other hand, this function is not annihilated by any function in $1 + I$, for if $hg = 0$ with $h \in R$, then $h(x) = 0$ for $x \neq 0$, forcing $h = 0$ by continuity. Thus Krull's Theorem fails for R ; in particular R is not Noetherian. By contrast, the ring of real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that are analytic at 0 is Noetherian, and in fact a DVR, its unique nonzero prime ideal being generated by the function x . The proof is the same as for the power series ring $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$. Thus rings of convergent power series behave algebraically much like rings of formal ones, but rings of smooth functions behave quite differently.

Returning now to a general ring R with ideal I , recall from the lecture on April 18 that the graded ring $G(R) = G_I(R)$ is defined to be $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n / I^{n+1}$, with the product $\bar{x}_n \bar{x}_m$ defined for $\bar{x}_n \in G_n, \bar{x}_m \in G_m$ by choosing preimages $x_n \in I^n, x_m \in I^m$ of $\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_m$, respectively, and taking $\bar{x}_n \bar{x}_m$ to be the image of $x_n x_m$. Given an R -module M and an I -filtration (M_n) of M , I defined the graded module $G(M) = G_I(M)$ in a similar way to $G(R)$ and observed that $G(M)$ is a $G(R)$ -module. I also showed that **if R is Noetherian, M is finitely generated, and the filtration (M_n) is stable, then $G(M)$ is finitely generated over $G(R)$** ; moreover, the results of last time show that $G_I(R) \cong G_I(\hat{R})$.

Now let A, B be **filtered groups**, so that we are given chains of subgroups $A_0 = A \supset A_1 \supset \cdots$ and $B = B_0 \supset B_1 \supset \cdots$ of A and B , respectively. We then have the **graded groups** $G(A) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n/A_{n+1}$, $G(B) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n/B_{n+1}$ and the completed groups $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$ defined as above relative to the inverse systems $A/A_n, B/B_n$. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a **homomorphism of filtered groups**, so that ϕ restricts (by definition) to a homomorphism from A_n to B_n for all n . Then ϕ induces natural homomorphisms $G(\phi)$ and $\hat{\phi}$ taking $G(A)$ to $G(B)$ and $\hat{A}$ to $\hat{B}$, respectively.

Lemma

With notation as above, if $G(\phi)$ is injective, so is $\hat{\phi}$; likewise, if $G(\phi)$ is surjective, so is $\hat{\phi}$.

Proof.

There are exact sequences $0 \rightarrow A_n/A_{n+1} \rightarrow A/A_{n+1} \rightarrow A/A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B_n/B_{n+1} \rightarrow B/B_{n+1} \rightarrow B/B_n \rightarrow 0$; they are linked by maps $G_n(\phi) : A_n/A_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n/B_{n+1}$, $\phi_{n+1} : A/A_{n+1} \rightarrow B/B_{n+1}$, $\phi_n : A/A_n \rightarrow B/B_n$ in such way that the resulting diagram commutes. The Snake Lemma then yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker G_n(\phi) \rightarrow \ker \phi_{n+1} \rightarrow \ker \phi_n \rightarrow (B_n/B_{n+1})/\text{im } G_n(\phi) \rightarrow (B/B_{n+1})/\text{im } \phi_{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$. It follows by induction on n that all ϕ_n have kernel 0 in the first case, as desired, and all ϕ_n are surjective in the second case; we also have that the map from $\ker \phi_{n+1}$ to $\ker \phi_n$ is surjective in the latter case. Taking inverse limits and using the surjectivity of the inverse systems we get the second assertion. □

The next result is the main step in showing that $\hat{R}$ is Noetherian whenever R is.

Proposition

Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R , M an R -module, and (M_n) an I -filtration of M . Suppose that R is complete in the I -adic topology and that M is Hausdorff in the filtration topology (so that $\cap_n M_n = 0$). Suppose also that the graded module $G(M)$ is finitely generated over $G(R)$. Then M is finitely generated over R .

Proof.

Choose a finite set of generators of $G(M)$ and split them up into their graded components $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$, where α_i has degree $n(i)$ and is the image of $x_i \in M_{n(i)}$. Let F^i be the module R with the stable I -filtration given by $F_k^i = R$ if $k \leq n(i)$, $F_k^i = I^{k-n(i)}$ if $k > n(i)$ and put $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F^i$. Mapping the generator 1 of each F^i to x_i defines a homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ of filtered groups and $G(\phi) : G(F) \rightarrow G(M)$ is a homomorphism of $G(R)$ -modules, which by the construction is surjective. By the previous result the inverse limit map $\hat{\phi}$ is surjective. We now have maps $\phi : F \rightarrow M$, $\hat{\phi} : \hat{F} \rightarrow \hat{M}$ and maps $\alpha : F \rightarrow \hat{F}$, $\beta : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ making the obvious diagram commute. Since F is free and $R = \hat{R}$ it follows that α is an isomorphism; since M is Hausdorff, β is injective. The surjectivity of $\hat{\phi}$ then implies the surjectivity of ϕ , which says exactly that the x_i generate M over R . □

Corollary

With hypotheses as above, if $G(M)$ is a Noetherian $G(R)$ -module, then M is a Noetherian R -module.

I must show that every submodule M' of M is finitely generated. Letting $M'_n = M' \cap M_n$, then (M'_n) is an I -filtration of M' and the embedding $M'_n \rightarrow M_n$ gives rise to an injection $M'_n/M'_{n+1} \rightarrow M_n/M_{n+1}$ and thus an injection from $G(M')$ into $G(M)$. Then $G(M')$ is finitely generated over $G(R)$ and M' is Hausdorff since M is, whence by the previous result M' is finitely generated.

Finally we get the main result.

Theorem

If R is Noetherian and I is an ideal, then the completion $\hat{R}$ is Noetherian.

Indeed, we have seen that $G_I(R) \cong G_I(\hat{R})$ is Noetherian.

Applying the previous corollary to the complete ring $\hat{R}$, taking $M = \hat{R}$ (filtered by $\hat{I}^n$, so Hausdorff by the construction) we get the desired result. In particular, any power series ring $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ over a field k is Noetherian, being the completion of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in the $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ -adic topology.

Next time I will turn to the proofs of Hensel's Lemma (promised last quarter) and the **Cohen Structure Theorem**, which shows that completions of coordinate rings in algebraic geometry are closely related to power series rings.