

# Lecture 5-21 Flat modules, concluded

May 21, 2025

I will wrap up Chapter 6 of Eisenbud with an important consequence of the Local Criterion for Flatness and a construction of a flat module over  $k[t]$  with  $k$  a field.

First I need a lemma.

### Lemma 6.10, p. 170

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module,  $x \in R$  a non-zero-divisor which is also a non-zero-divisor on  $M$ . For any  $R/(x)$ -module  $N$  we have  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R/(x)}(N, M/xM) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$  for all  $i > 0$ .

## Proof.

Let  $(F_n)$  be a free resolution of  $M$  as an  $R$ -module. I claim that  $(R/(x) \otimes F_n)$  is a free  $R/(x)$  resolution of  $R/(x) \otimes M$ . Given this, we may compute  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R/(x)}(N, M/xM)$  as the homology of  $N$  tensored over  $R/(x)$  with this resolution, which coincides with  $N$  tensored with the resolution of  $M$ , and we are done. The homology of  $(R/(x) \otimes F_n = F_n/xF_n)$  is  $\operatorname{Tor}^R((R/(x), M)$ ; as shown in the lecture on May 16, we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_0^R(R/(x), M) = M/xM$ ,  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/(x), M) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ , since  $x$  is a non-zero-divisor on  $M$ . This says that  $(R/(x) \otimes F_n)$  is indeed a resolution; it is easily seen to be free over  $R/(x)$  as well. □

The corollary relating flat  $R$ -modules to flat  $R/(x)$  ones is then

### Corollary 6.9, p. 170

Let  $R$  be a local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal  $I$ ,  $S$  a local Noetherian  $R$ -algebra with maximal ideal  $J$  such that  $IS \subset J$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $S$ -module and  $x \in I$  a non-zero-divisor in  $R$  which is also a non-zero-divisor on  $M$ . Then  $M$  is flat over  $R$  if and only if  $M/xM$  is flat over  $R/(x)$ .

### Proof.

If  $M$  is flat over  $R$ , then  $M/xM = R/(x) \otimes_R M$  is flat over  $R/(x)$ ; more generally, if  $R \rightarrow R'$  is a homomorphism of rings and  $M$  is flat over  $R$ , then  $R' \otimes_R M$  is flat over  $R'$ , since  $(R' \otimes_R M') \otimes_{R'} N = M \otimes_R N$  for any  $R'$ -module  $N$ . So suppose that  $M/xM$  is flat over  $R/(x)$ . Let  $k = R/I$  be the residue field. Then  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{R/(x)}(k, M/xM) = 0$ , whence by Lemma 6.10,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, M) = 0$ , so  $M$  is flat by the local criterion. □

An algebro-geometric situation to which the local criterion is often applied is the following one. Let  $X, Y$  be affine varieties over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and suppose we have morphisms  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y, \psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ . We say that  $X$  is flat over  $Y$  if the homomorphism  $k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$  makes  $k[X]$  a flat  $k[Y]$ -module. For every  $p \in \mathbf{A}^1$  there is a map of fibers  $X_p \rightarrow Y_p$ , where  $X_p$  is the preimage of  $p$  under  $\psi \circ \phi$  and similarly for  $Y_p$ . Let  $x$  be a coordinate function on  $\mathbf{A}^1$  taking the value 0 at  $p$  and let  $R = k[Y]_{P'}$ ,  $M = k[X]_{P''}$ , the localizations of  $k[Y], k[X]$  at the maximal ideals  $P', P''$  corresponding to  $p', p''$ . Assuming (as is usually the case in applications) that  $X$  and  $Y$  map onto an open subset of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ , the maps  $k[x] \rightarrow R, k[x] \rightarrow M$  corresponding to  $\psi$  and  $\psi \circ \phi$ , respectively, are injections from  $k[x]$  into the domains  $R, M$ .

Then  $x$  is a non-zero-divisor in both  $R$  and  $M$ , whence by Corollary 6.3 from the lecture on May 16,  $X$  and  $Y$  are flat over  $\mathbf{A}^1$ . In this setting Corollary 6.9 says that if the map  $X_p \rightarrow Y_p$  is flat in a neighborhood of  $p''$  in  $X_p$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y$  is flat in a neighborhood of  $p''$  in  $X$ . Thus if the fibers of  $X_p \rightarrow Y_p$  vary nicely near  $p''$ , then the same is true of all fibers of  $X \rightarrow Y$  near  $p''$ .

Given a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , one can use  $I$  and  $R$  to produce an  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}(R, I)$  called the **Rees algebra**, similar in spirit to the graded ring  $G_I(R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$  defined in the lecture on April 18. Set  $\mathcal{R}(R, I) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I^n t^{-n} = R[t, t^{-1}I] \subset R[t, t^{-1}]$  (see p. 171), where  $R[t, t^{-1}]$  is the ring of Laurent polynomials in one variable over  $R$ ; here we take  $I^n = R$  if  $n \leq 0$ . If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra (with  $k$  a field) then we regard  $\mathcal{R}(R, I)$  as a  $k[t]$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{R}(R, I)/t\mathcal{R}(R, I) = G(I)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(R, I)/(t - a)\mathcal{R}(R, I) = R$  for any  $a \in k^*$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}(R, I)$  has fiber  $G_I(R)$  at  $t = 0$  and  $R$  at  $t = a$  for  $a \neq 0$ . This behavior of the fibers is uniform enough to yield



## Corollary 6.11, p. 172

The Rees algebra  $S = \mathcal{R}(R, I)$  is flat over  $k[t]$ . If  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} I^i = 0$ , then every element of the form  $1 - ts$  with  $s \in S$  is a non-zero-divisor in  $S$ .

### Proof.

For the first statement it is enough by Corollary 6.3 cited above to show that  $S$  is torsion-free as a  $k[t]$ -module, and this is immediate since  $S \subset R[t, t^{-1}]$ . For the second statement, note that if  $p(1 - ts) = 0$  for some  $p \in S$ , then looking at the equation modulo  $t$  we get  $p = qt$  for some  $q \in S$ , whence  $q(1 - st) = 0$  since  $t$  is not a zero divisor. Repeating this argument, we see that  $p \in t^n S$  for all  $n$ . Writing  $p = \sum_{i=-j}^j p_i t^i$  with  $p_i \in R$  we get  $p_i \in I^n$  for all  $n$ , whence  $p = 0$  as required.  $\square$

I conclude with two examples, one of them historically the first flat family to be considered. Fix a degree  $d$ . For each ordered triple  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  of nonnegative integers  $\alpha_i$  summing to  $d$  let  $x_\alpha$  be an indeterminate. Let  $R = k[\{x_\alpha\}]$  be the polynomial ring in the  $x_\alpha$  over  $k$ , an algebraically closed field, and set  $S = R[y_0, y_1, y_2]/I$ , where  $I$  is the ideal generated by all  $\sum_\alpha x_\alpha y^\alpha$ , where  $y^\alpha$  denotes  $y_0^{\alpha_0} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2}$ . Geometrically, this corresponds to the family of all projective plane curves of degree  $d$ ; one could replace 3 by any number  $r + 1$  and get the family of hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbf{P}^r$ . Except over the point where all  $x_\alpha$  are 0, this family is “good”; algebraically the fiber at a prime ideal  $P$  is a polynomial over the quotient field of  $R/P$  modulo an equation of degree  $d$  and fibers over different points look much the same. Here it turns out that  $S$  is not flat as an  $R$ -module, but if we invert any  $x_\alpha$ , then  $S[x_\alpha^{-1}]$  is flat over  $R[x_\alpha^{-1}]$ .

On the other hand, the blowup of the plane is not flat over the plane. This means that if  $R = k[x, y]$  and  $S$  is the subring of the quotient field of  $R$  generated by  $x/y$  and  $y$ , then  $S$  is not flat over  $R$ . Here, flatness fails because the fiber over the origin is a curve, whereas nearby fibers are only points. I will say more about blowups next time.