

# Lecture 5-19: Flat modules, continued

May 19, 2025

Continuing with Chapter 6 of Eisenbud, I give an equational criterion for flatness and use it to show that under mild conditions, given a module over a local ring, it suffices to check the ideal criterion for flatness given last time on just the maximal ideal.

I begin by translating the criterion given last time for a sum of tensors to be 0 in a tensor product to a criterion for flatness.

### Equational Criterion for Flatness, p. 165

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is flat if and only if the following condition is satisfied: for every relation  $\sum_i n_i m_i = 0$  with  $m_i \in M, n_i \in R$  there are elements  $m'_j \in M, a_{ij} \in R$  such that  $\sum_j a_{ij} m'_j = m_i$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_i a_{ij} n_i = 0$  for all  $j$ .

Proposition 6.1 last time says that  $M$  is flat if and only if for every ideal  $I$ , an element  $x = \sum_i n_i \otimes m_i \in I \otimes M$  goes to 0 in  $R \otimes M$  if and only if  $\sum_i n_i \otimes m_i$  satisfies the criterion given last time to be 0. Since the image of  $x$  in  $R \otimes M = M$  is  $\sum_i n_i m_i$ , the result follows.

This last criterion can be formulated in terms of a commutative diagram, as follows.

### Corollary 6.6, p. 166

A module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  is flat if and only if for every map  $\beta : F \rightarrow M$  from a free module  $F$  of finite rank and for every submodule  $K$  of  $\ker \beta$  generated by one element there are maps  $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$ ,  $\pi : G \rightarrow M$  with  $\beta = \pi\gamma$ ,  $G$  free, and  $K \subset \ker \gamma$ . Equivalently,  $M$  is flat if and only if this condition holds for every finitely generated submodule of  $\ker \beta$ . If  $M$  is finitely presented, then  $M$  is flat if and only if  $M$  is projective.

## Proof.

This is just a translation of the Equational Criterion. An element  $f$  in the kernel of a map from a free module  $F$  to  $M$  is a relation on the images  $m_i \in M$  of basis elements of  $F$ . The elements  $m'_j$  of the preceding result correspond to a map from another free module  $G$  taking the generators of  $G$  to the  $m'_j$ . A matrix with entries  $a_{ij}$  such that  $\sum_j a_{ij} m'_j = m_i$  corresponds to a map  $\gamma$  as in the statement; the condition that  $\sum_i a_{ij} n_i = 0$  for all  $j$  says that  $\gamma(f) = 0$ . If the condition holds for submodules  $K$  generated by single elements, then by composing finitely many maps  $\gamma$  killing particular elements of  $\ker \beta$ , we get such a  $\gamma$  killing any finite subset of  $\ker \beta$ . □

## Proof.

Finally, I proved already in the fall that projective modules are flat. If  $M$  is finitely presented, then (by definition) there is a surjection from a finitely generated free module  $F$  onto  $M$  whose kernel  $K$  is also finitely generated; choosing  $\gamma$  as in the second assertion, its image is carried isomorphically onto  $M$  by the map from  $G$ , so that the map  $G \rightarrow M$  splits and  $M$  is a direct summand of a free module, hence projective. □

I now prove a result asserting that a nice property of one fiber of a family implies a nice property of the family itself.

### Corollary 6.7, p. 167

Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k[t]$ ; let  $S$  be a Noetherian ring flat over  $R$ . If the fiber  $S/tS$  over the prime  $(t)$  is a domain and  $U$  is the set of elements of the form  $1 - ts$  for  $s \in S$ , then the localization  $S[U^{-1}]$  of  $S$  at  $U$  is a domain.

## Proof.

Since localization preserves flatness, we can replace  $S$  by  $S[U^{-1}]$  at the beginning and assume that all elements of the form  $1 - ts$  are already units of  $S$ . Suppose that  $I, J \subset S$  are ideals with  $IJ = 0$ ; we must show that  $I = 0$  or  $J = 0$ . Enlarging  $I$  and  $J$  if necessary, we may assume that each is the annihilator of the other. Since  $IJ \equiv 0 \pmod{(t)}$  and  $S/(t)$  is a domain, we may assume that  $J \subset (t)$ . Then  $J = (J : t)t$ , where  $(J : t)$  denotes  $\{x \in S : xt \in J\}$ . Since  $t$  is a non-zero-divisor of  $S$  (by flatness of  $S$ ) and  $I(J : t)t = 0$ , we get that  $(J : t)$  annihilates  $I$ , forcing  $(J : t) \subset J$ ,  $J = Jt$ . Since  $J$  is finitely generated an application of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem shows that  $(1 - ts)J = 0$  for some  $s \in S$ , forcing  $J = 0$ , as desired. □



Note that the localization is essential in the last result; it is not always true that the ring  $S$  itself is a domain. For example, if  $R = k[t]$ ,  $S = k[x, t] \times k[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $k$  a field, then the fiber over  $(t - a)$  is  $S/(t - a)$  for  $a \in k$ ; when  $a = 0$ , this is a domain, since  $tk[t, t^{-1}] = k[t, t^{-1}]$ , but for  $a \neq 0$  the fiber is not a domain (and neither is  $S$  itself). Such pathologies can be avoided by working with graded rings (or geometrically with projective maps).

Now I can prove the main result, giving a criterion for flatness using just the maximal ideal of a local ring rather than all ideals.

### Local Criterion for Flatness, p. 168

Let  $R$  be a local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal  $I$  and  $S$  a local Noetherian  $R$ -algebra with maximal ideal  $J$ . Assume that  $IS \subset J$ . If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is flat over  $R$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$ .

## Proof.

We know that if  $M$  is flat, then  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$ . Now suppose that  $S$  and  $M$  are as in the theorem and that the hypothesis on  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R$  is satisfied. I first show that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$  if  $N$  has finite length over  $R$ , by induction on the length. The case of length 1 follows from the hypothesis, since  $R/I$  is the only module of length 1 over  $R$ . The long exact sequence for Tor and the inductive hypothesis then proves the vanishing for any  $N$  of finite length. Now let  $K$  be an arbitrary ideal and suppose that  $u \in K \otimes M$  is in the kernel of the multiplication map from  $K \otimes M$  to  $M$ . I will show that  $u = 0$ . The  $S$ -module structure on  $M$  gives  $K \otimes M$  an  $S$ -module structure and we have  $I^n(K \otimes M) \subset J^n(K \otimes M)$ . Since  $K \otimes M$  is finitely generated over  $S$ , Krull's Theorem on the kernel of the map from a Noetherian ring to its completion implies that  $\cap_n J^n(K \otimes M) = 0$ , whence  $\cap_n I^n(K \otimes M) = 0$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $u \in I^n(K \otimes M)$  for every  $n$ . □

## Proof.

The module  $I^n(K \otimes M)$  is the image in  $K \otimes M$  of  $(I^n K) \otimes M$ . By the Artin-Rees lemma,  $I^t \cap K \subset I^n$  for sufficiently large  $t$ , so it suffices to show that  $u$  lies in the image of  $(I^t \cap K) \otimes M$  for all  $t$ . Tensoring the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I^t \cap K \rightarrow K \rightarrow K/(I^t \cap K) \rightarrow 0$  with  $M$  produces the exact sequence  $(I^t \cap K) \otimes M \rightarrow K \otimes M \rightarrow K/(I^t \cap K) \otimes M \rightarrow 0$ , so it suffices to show that  $u$  goes to 0 in  $K/(I^t \cap K) \otimes M$ . The map  $K \otimes M \rightarrow K/(I^t \cap K) \otimes M$  is obtained by tensoring the map  $K \rightarrow K/(I^t \cap K)$  with  $M$ ; here  $K$  embeds in  $R$  while  $K/(I^t \cap K) \otimes M$  maps to  $R/I^t \otimes M$ , say by the map  $\phi \otimes 1$ . Now it suffices to show that the kernel of  $\phi \otimes 1$  is 0.  $\square$

## Proof.

Identifying  $K/(I^t \cap K)$  with  $(K + I^t)/I^t$ , we see that  $\phi$  is the leftmost map in the exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow (K + I^t)/I^t \rightarrow R/I^t \rightarrow R/(K + I^t) \rightarrow 0$ . Applying  $\text{Tor}$ , we get a long exact sequence of which a part is

$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/(K + I^t), M) \rightarrow (K + I^t)/I^t \otimes M \rightarrow R/I^t \otimes M$ , where the rightmost map is  $\phi \otimes 1$ , so it is enough to show that

$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/(K + I^t), M) = 0$ . Since  $R/(K + I^t)$  is annihilated by  $I^t$ , it has finite length as an  $R$ -module, so we are done by the first part of the proof. □