

Lecture 3-12: Review, part 2

March 12, 2025

Today I will concentrate on group cohomology and its applications, particularly to finite-dimensional central simple algebras over a field.

If G is a finite group and A is a G -module (that is, an abelian group on which G acts linearly), then $H^n(G, A)$, the n th cohomology group of G with coefficients in A , is defined to be $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}G}^n(\mathbb{Z}, A)$, the n th Ext group of the trivial module $\mathbb{Z}$ over the (integral) group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ with coefficients in A . It can be computed by taking a projective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module (for example the bar resolution), taking homomorphisms of each term in to A , and then taking the cohomology groups of the resulting cochain complex.

Using this definition, it is easy to compute the cohomology groups $H^n(\mathbb{Z}_n, A)$ of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order n . Letting σ be a generator of this group and writing $N = 1 + \sigma + \dots + \sigma^{n-1}$ we have $H^0(G, A) = A^G$, $H^n(G, A) = A^G / N A$ for odd $n \geq 1$, $H^n(G, A) = A^G / N A$ for even $n \geq 2$, where A^G denotes the set of G -fixed vectors in A and $N A$ denotes the set of vectors in A sent to 0 by N . (In fact the formula $H^0(G, A) = A^G$ holds for any group G and module A .) This basic example should always be kept in mind. Two very useful general facts are that $|A| H^n(G, A) = 0$ for $n > 0$ if A is finite of order $|A|$ and $|G| H^n(G, A) = 0$ for $n > 0$ if $|G|$ is the order of G . In particular, if A is finite and of order relatively prime to that of G , then $H^n(G, A) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

In view of the bar resolution, one can also write down formula for $H^n(G, A)$ directly, making no reference to Ext groups or projective resolutions. The detailed formula are not so important, but two special cases are. First of all, $H^1(G, A)$ may be identified with the group of **crossed homomorphisms** $f : G \rightarrow A$, satisfying $f(g_1 g_2) = f(g_1) + g_1 \cdot f(g_2)$, modulo the subgroup of **principal crossed homomorphisms**, of the form $f(g) = g \cdot a - a$ for some fixed $a \in A$. Secondly, $H^2(G, A)$ may be identified with the group of **factor sets** $f : G^2 \rightarrow A$, such that $f(g, h) + f(gh, k) = g \cdot f(h, k) + f(g, hk)$, modulo **coboundaries**, of the form $b(g, h) = \ell(g) + g\ell(h) - \ell(gh)$ for some $\ell : G \rightarrow A$. Any factor set f can be **normalized**, so that it is replaced by another factor set f' differing from it by a 1-coboundary, such $f'(1, g) = f'(g, 1) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. In these formulas the group operation in A is written additively throughout.

The formulas for $H^1(G, A)$ and $H^2(G, A)$ lead directly to group-theoretic interpretations of these cohomology groups: $H^1(G, A)$ parametrizes A -conjugacy classes of complements of A in a semidirect product $E = A \rtimes G$, where G acts on A in E according to the specified action. Similarly, $H^2(G, A)$ parametrizes equivalence classes of extensions of G by A , that is, short exact sequences of groups of the form $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Recall that the notion of equivalent extension refers to the entire exact sequence and *not* just the isomorphism class of the middle group E .

Galois theory and group cohomology come together in an especially beautiful way in the theory of finite-dimensional central simple algebras over a fixed field F , equivalence classes of these being parametrized by the Brauer group of F defined last quarter. Here I developed the theory more deeply than in the text, taking the time to prove some assertions only stated there. Specifically, **any central simple algebra over F is equivalent to a central division algebra over F and then in turn is equivalent to an algebra A over F admitting a maximal subfield K which is finite Galois over F , such that the degree $[A : F] = [K : F]^2$. Any such algebra A admits a basis over K indexed by the Galois group G of K over F , such that basis elements e_g multiply in A according to a factor set $f \in H^2(G, K^*)$, so that $e_g e_h = f(g, h) e_{gh}$. The element e_g acts on K by conjugation as g does.**

Given two central simple algebras A, A' over F with the same maximal subfield K , addition of their respective factor sets f, f' corresponds to taking the equivalence class $[A \otimes_F A']$ of their tensor product $A \otimes A'$, this latter operation in turn corresponding to multiplication in the Brauer group. In this way one captures a piece $H^2(G, K^*)$ of $\text{Br}(F)$, where G is the Galois group of K over F ; but in order to capture the whole Brauer group one must take into account all finite Galois extensions of F simultaneously. This is done by looking at the **inverse limit** of Galois groups of finite extensions of F , partially ordering such extensions by inclusion.

A very important special case is that of $F = \mathbb{R}$. Here F admits just two Galois extensions, namely itself and $\mathbb{C}$, the latter having cyclic Galois group of order two. Accordingly there are just two central division algebras over F up to isomorphism, namely F itself and the ring H of quaternions, the latter corresponding to the nontrivial element of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Over $\mathbb{Q}$, by contrast, one gets not just ring of quaternions, but many, one for each choice of nonzero $a, b \in -\mathbb{Q}^+$ such that ba^{-1} is not a square in $\mathbb{Q}$. Such rings are generated by two elements x, y over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $x^2 = a, y^2 = b, xy = -yx$.

Thus the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R})$ is cyclic of order two; of course the Brauer group of any algebraically closed field F is trivial. The Brauer group is also trivial for finite fields F and in a few other cases. If it is finite but not trivial, then it must be cyclic of order two, since a well-known theorem asserts that if a field F is such that its algebraic closure K is a finite nontrivial extension of F , then in fact K is generated over F by a square root of -1 .

Next time I will review Dedekind domains, which are the ring-theoretic analogues of finite Galois extensions K of $\mathbb{Q}$. More precisely, the Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}_K$ corresponding to the Galois extension K consists of all elements of K integral over $\mathbb{Q}$ (roots of a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$).