Lecture 2-24: Discriminants and integers in cyclotomic fields; general Dedekind domains

February 24, 2025

Lecture 2-24: Discriminants and integers in

A B A B A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

I now introduce a tool that is useful in useful for studying both rings of integers and Galois groups of polynomials. I will use this to prove that the obvious formula for $R_n = \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ is in fact the correct one, where $K_n = \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon_n] = \mathbb{Q}[e^{2\pi i/n}]$, if *n* is prime (it actually holds in general).

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Definition 3.1, p. 6

Given the ring of integers $R = \mathbb{O}_K$ in a number field K of degree n over \mathbb{Q} and elements $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ of K, the *discriminant* disc $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of the α_i is the square of the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix M whose *ij*th entry is $\sigma_i(\alpha_j)$, where $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ are the distinct embeddings of the field K into \mathbb{C} .

This quantity is 0 if the α_i are dependent over \mathbb{Q} , since then the matrix M has dependent columns. Otherwise it makes sense as an element of \mathbb{Q}^* , since it is fixed by the Galois group G of the Galois closure \overline{K} of K in \mathbb{C} . It is nonzero because the embeddings σ_i are independent over \overline{K} , by the same argument that automorphisms in G are independent as functions on \overline{K} . It is independent of the ordering of the α_i or σ_j , since changing either or both of these orderings changes the determinant by a sign at most, which disappears on taking the square.

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

If the α_i form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of R, then disc $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is called the discriminant of R and denoted disc(R). This makes sense since any other \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\beta_i\}$ of R has $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)A$ for some $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which must have determinant ± 1 . Finally, it always lies in \mathbb{Z} if the α_i lie in R, since then it is an algebraic integer fixed by G and so is rational. More generally, we define disc(S) for any \mathbb{Z} -submodule S of R of rank n as disc $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ for any \mathbb{Z} -basis (α_i) of S; as with R this definition is independent of the choice of basis.

Lemma 3.16, p. 9

With notation as above, we have $disc(S) = disc(R)N(S)^2$.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Proof.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \ldots, β_n be \mathbb{Z} -bases of R and S, respectively, with $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)A$ for some $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$. The definition of discriminant shows that disc $(S) = \text{disc}(R) \text{det}^2 B$ and it is not difficult to check that $N(S) = |\det B|$, so I am done.

As an immediate corollary, I get that the norm of a principal ideal (α) of R is the absolute value of the norm $N(\alpha)$ of α , since then if $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is a basis of R, then $\alpha \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha \alpha_n$ is a basis of (α) and the discriminant of the second basis is $N(\alpha)^2$ times the discriminant of the first one.

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

An especially convenient basis to use in computing disc(R) or disc(S) arises as follows. By the Primitive Element Theorem, we have $K = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ for some α . Then $D = \text{disc}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) \neq 0$ since the powers α_i are independent over \mathbb{Q} . Writing the Galois conjugates of α as $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \dots, \alpha_n$, we see that the *ij*th entry of the matrix M in the definition of D is α_i^{j-1} , whence M is a so-called Vandermonde matrix. Its determinant is well known to be $\prod_{i>j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$, since when regarded as a function of the variables α_i , it vanishes whenever two of these variables are equal and the coefficient of $\alpha_n^{n-1}\alpha_{n-1}^{n-2}\dots\alpha_2$ in it is 1.

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほう

Letting f be the minimal polynomial of the α_i over \mathbb{Q} , we see that D is the product of the squared differences of the roots of f. This product of squared differences of the roots of a polynomial is called the discriminant of the polynomial. It is 0 if and only if the polynomial has two equal roots and is fixed by the Galois group of the polynomial.

ヘロン ヘ回 とくほ とく ヨン

Computing the discriminant of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ for a guadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$ with d a square-free integer, we get $(2\sqrt{d})^2 = 4d$ if $d \neq 1 \mod 4$ and $\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2} - \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)^2 = d$ if $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Here I am using the basis $(1, \sqrt{d})$ in the first case and $(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2})$ in the second one. The other specific field mentioned last time, namely the cyclotomic field K_p corresponding to the prime p > 2, is harder to compute, since I have not yet given a basis for its ring of integers R_p . I first calculate the discriminant of a particular set of elements in R_p and then show that this coincides with the discriminant of R_p itself.

For this purpose I need a general result.

Proposition 3.10, p. 8

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ be a number field of degree n, f the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . Then $D = \operatorname{disc}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} N(f'(\alpha))$, where $N(f'(\alpha))$ denotes the norm of $f'(\alpha)$.

I showed above that $\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$, where $\alpha_{=}\alpha, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are the Galois conjugates of α , so that $f = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$. Evaluating $f'(\alpha)$ and $N(f'(\alpha))$ by the product rule, the result follows at once.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

I need a couple of other calculations. Let $\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/p}$ be s primitive *p*th root of 1 in \mathbb{C} . Recall that the minimal polynomial of ϵ over \mathbb{Q} is the *p*th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_p = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = 1 + \cdots + x^{p-1}$.

Lemma 8.3, p. 27

We have $N(1 - \epsilon^i) = p$ for $1 \le i \le p - 1$. The differences $1 - \epsilon^i$ are unit multiples of each other. We have $\operatorname{disc}(1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon^{p-2}) = (-1)^{\binom{p}{2}} p^{p-2} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{p-2}$.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proof.

The conjugates of ϵ are the powers ϵ^i . Then we have $N(1-\epsilon) = (1-\epsilon) \cdots (1-\epsilon^{p-1}) = g'(1) = p$, by the product rule, where $g = x^p - 1$. The other differences $1 - \epsilon^i$ are conjugates of $1 - \epsilon$, so have the same norm. Given any two differences $1 - \epsilon^i, 1 - \epsilon^j$, there are integers a, b with $ia = j, jb = i \mod p$, whence $1 - \epsilon^i, 1 - \epsilon^j$ are multiples and thus unit multiples of each other. To compute the discriminant, apply Proposition 3.10 above; here $\alpha = \epsilon, f = \Phi_p, f'(\alpha) = p \frac{\epsilon^{p-1}}{\epsilon-1}$, by the quotient rule.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Now I can finally state the main result. Set $S = \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon]$.

Proposition 8.4, p. 28

With notation as above, we have $R_p = S = \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon]$.

Proof.

Lemma 8.3 shows that disc(S) = $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p^{p-2}$. By Lemma 3.16, the finite group R_p/S has order a power of p, whence there is N with $p^N R_p \subset S$. Since $N(1-\epsilon) = p$, the finite group $R_p/(1-\epsilon)$ has order p, whence its elements are the cosets of $0, \ldots, p-1$. Given $z \in R_p$ we can write $z = a_0 + (1 - \epsilon)z_1$ for some $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, z_1 \in R_p$ and then $z = a_0 + (1 - \epsilon)a_1 + (1 - \epsilon^2)z_2$ for some $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, z_2 \in R_p$. Continuing in this way, we write $z + a_0 + (1 - \epsilon)a_1 + (1 - \epsilon)^2 a_2 + \ldots + (1 - \epsilon)^{(p-1)N} z_{(p-1)N}$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, z_{(p-1)N} \in R_p$. But now the power $(1-\epsilon)^{p-1}$ is a unit multiple of p and $p^N R_p \subset S$, whence finally $z \in S$, as desired.

3

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The proof shows that the prime p is ramified in R_p ; that is (as defined last time) the ideal p is the (p - 1)st power of an ideal in R_p . It turns out that p is the only prime that ramifies in R_p ; in general, the only primes in any R that can ramify are those dividing the discriminant of R. As mentioned previously, the ring R_n of integers in any cyclotomic field $K_n = \mathbb{Q}[e^{2\pi i/n}]$ coincides with $\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/n}]$. There is a slightly more complicated formula for the discriminant of R_n for general n.

I now shift gears, letting *R* be any Dedekind domain (that is, any integral domain integrally closed in its quotient field such that every ideal is finitely generated). I will show later that any nonzero ideal of *R* is uniquely a product of prime ideals; for now I take this property for granted and work out its consequences, following section 16.3 of the Dummit and Foote text. Let *R* be a a Dedekind domain, *I* a nonzero ideal of *R*.

Proposition 18, p. 768

If $I = P_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots P_n^{\alpha_n}$ with the P_i distinct prime ideals, then $R/I \cong S = R/P_1^{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times R/P_n^{\alpha_n}$.

イロン イ理 とくほ とくほ とう

Proof.

Setting $I_i = P_i^{\alpha_i}$, one sees that the I_i are pairwise coprime, so that $I_i + I_i = R$ for $i \neq j$; indeed, a prime ideal containing $I_i + I_i$ would have to contain both P_i and P_i and thus be all of R since P_i and P_i are maximal. Similarly, any two products of distinct I_i with no common terms are coprime, whence by a calculation done last quarter we have that the product $\prod I_i$ coincides with their intersection $\cap I_i$. Letting J_i be the product of the I_i with $j \neq i$ for all indices *i*, one checks that the sum of the J_i is not contained in any proper prime ideal so is all of R. Then for each i there is $a_i \in R$ with $a_i \equiv 1 \mod l_i$ (that is, $a_i - 1 \in l_i$ and $a_i \equiv 0 \mod l_i$ for $j \neq i$. Then the projection $R \rightarrow S$ sending r to the tuple $(\bar{r}, \ldots, \bar{r})$ of images of r in the quotients R/I_i is both injective and surjective, hence an isomorphism.

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Corollary 19, p. 768

Every ideal of R/I is principal (though R/I need not be a principal ideal *domain*).

Proof.

By the proposition it is enough to show that every ideal of a quotient $R' = R/P^n$ is principal if P is a prime ideal of R. Since the ideals of R' are the images of ideals of R containing P^n , it follows that the image \overline{P} of P is the only prime ideal of R' and every nonzero ideal of R' is a power of \overline{P} . Thus we are reduced to showing that \overline{P} is principal. By unique factorization in R, we cannot have $P = P^2$, so choose $x \in P, x \notin P^2$. The only possible prime factorization of the ideal (\overline{x}) generated by the image of x in R' is then \overline{P} , whence \overline{P} is principal, as desired.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう