

Lecture 11-4: Structure of the group algebra

November 4, 2024

In this lecture we analyze the structure of the group algebra kG completely, both as a ring and as a G -module, for every algebraically closed field k whose characteristic does not divide the order of G . We will see that G has only finitely many inequivalent irreducible representations and that they all occur in kG .

For any positive integer r , denote by $M_r(k)$ the ring of $r \times r$ matrices over k .

Theorem 10, p. 861

For every algebraically closed basefield k whose characteristic does not divide the order of the finite group G , the group algebra kG is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(k)$ of finitely many rings $M_{n_i}(k)$. Irreducible kG -modules (up to equivalence) are in bijection to summands $S_i = M_{n_i}(k)$ of kG , with the module $M_i = k^{n_i}$ corresponding to the summand S_i , such that S_i acts on M_i by matrix multiplication by column vectors while the other summands (even those isomorphic to S_i) act by 0. In particular, kG is isomorphic as a G -module to the direct sum of n_i copies of k^{n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The sum $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i^2$ of the squares of the n_i equals the order of G .

Proof.

Let M be an irreducible G -module of degree d . Let v_1, \dots, v_d be linearly independent vectors in M . I claim that

$kG(v_1, \dots, v_d) \subset M^d$ is all of M^d . I prove this by induction on d , the base case $d = 0$ being trivial. If the assertion holds for d and v_1, \dots, v_{d+1} are independent in M , then the projection of $S = kG(v_1, \dots, v_{d+1})$ to the first d coordinates is all of M^d . Then $N = \{m \in M : (0, \dots, 0, m) \in S\}$ is a submodule of M ; if it is not 0, then it must be all of M by irreducibility, implying the desired result. But if $N = 0$, then for all $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \in M^e$ there is a *unique* $v_{d+1} \in M$ with $(v_1, \dots, v_{d+1}) \in S$ and the map sending (v_1, \dots, v_d) to v_{d+1} is a G -module map. \square

Proof.

Its restriction to each copy of M in M^d must then be a scalar, by Schur's Lemma, whence there are $c_1, \dots, c_d \in k$ with

$v_{d+1} = \sum_{i=1}^e c_i v_i$. This is a contradiction, since $(v_1, \dots, v_{d+1}) \in S$ and

the v_i are independent. Hence in particular we have

$kG(v_1, \dots, v_d) = M^d$ for any basis v_1, \dots, v_d of M . In a similar way, if M_1, \dots, M_r are r inequivalent irreducible G -modules, of degrees n_1, \dots, n_r , and for each i we choose a basis v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in_i} of M_i , then the tuple v whose coordinates are the v_{ij} is such that $kG(v)$ is all of $M_1^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_r^{n_r}$. □

Proof.

This says exactly that kG acts on the direct sum $M' = \bigoplus_i M_i$ as the sum of matrix rings in the theorem, with $M_i \cong k^{n_i}$. Since the dimension of kG over k equals the order n of G , we see that **there are only finitely many inequivalent irreducible G -modules and the sum of the squares of their degrees is at most n .** But now if any $x \in kG$ acts by 0 on all irreducible G -modules, then it would have to do so on kG itself, since kG is the sum of its irreducible submodules, forcing $x = 0$. Hence the sum of the squares of the n_i is exactly n , as claimed. □

If we had an explicit isomorphism from kG to the sum of matrix rings, then we could read off the degrees n_i of the irreducible representations from G . We cannot quite do this, but we will now show that we can at least compute the number m of irreducible modules from G .

Theorem 10 (4), p. 861

The number m of inequivalent irreducible representations of G equals the number of conjugacy classes in G .

Proof.

Compute the dimension of the center Z of kG in two different ways. First, an element of kG is central if and only if it acts on every irreducible representation of G by a scalar, so that as a vector space (and as a ring) Z is isomorphic to k^m . On the other hand, a combination $x = \sum_{g \in G} c_g g$ is central if and only if $hxh^{-1} = x$ for all $h \in G$; but $hxh^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} c_g hgh^{-1}$, so that x is central if and only if $c_g = c_{hgh^{-1}}$ for all g, h in G . Thus a basis for Z is given by the sums $s_C = \sum_{g \in C} g$ of the elements in C as C ranges over the conjugacy classes in G and m is the number of such classes. □

The elements s_C occurring in the preceding proof will reappear in the course. By Schur's Lemma, each s_C acts by a scalar on any irreducible representation of G ; we will say more about which scalars occur later. For now we will consider some examples. First, if G is abelian, then all of its conjugacy classes have just one element, so the number of its irreducible representations equals the order of G , in accordance with a previous result. Next, if G is the simplest nonabelian group, namely the symmetric group S_3 on three letters, then it has two irreducible representations of degree one. One is the **trivial representation** on k , where every $g \in G$ fixes all elements of k ; the other is the **sign representation**, also on k , where $g \in G$ acts by 1 if g is even as a permutation and by -1 if g is odd.

Since G has just three conjugacy classes, it has just one more irreducible representation. This must have degree 2, since $|G| = 6 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$. It is easy to identify this representation. Since G is isomorphic to the symmetry group of an equilateral triangle, whence its elements may be naturally regarded as real or complex orthogonal matrices. Working out the entries of these matrices explicitly, by writing down vertices for the triangle, one sees that they make sense over any algebraically closed field k of characteristic different from 2 or 3, so that indeed G has an irreducible representation of degree 2 over k .

In a similar way, the dihedral group D_4 of order 8 has a natural irreducible two-dimensional representation over any field (algebraically closed or not) of characteristic different from 2, arising from its realization as the symmetries of a square in k^2 . D_4 has five conjugacy classes, and accordingly four more irreducible representations, necessarily of degree 1. Writing x, y for the generators of D_4 , with x a 90° rotation and y any reflection, I recall that $x^4 = y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1}$. Decreeing that the 180° rotation x^2 acts trivially on k and modding out by the central subgroup generated by this element, one gets the Klein four-group. Letting the generators of this last group act by ± 1 , one obtains the four remaining representations of G .

So far I have studiously avoided working with the matrices arising from a homomorphism π from G to some $GL(V)$; but now the time has come to consider them more carefully. It is too much, however, to understand such matrices all at once. I would like to replace a matrix $\pi(g)$ by a single number $\chi(g)$ that would somehow capture enough information that one could recover $\pi(g)$ from it. At first this would seem like a miracle, but it turns out that I have enough structure in place to perform it.

Definition, p. 866

Given a representation $\pi : G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$, its *character* χ is the k -valued function defined by $\chi(g) = \text{tr } \pi(g)$, where tr denotes the trace.

Clearly $\chi(g)$ is a **class function**, meaning that $\chi(g) = \chi(h)$ whenever g, h lie in the same conjugacy class in G . Recall that the trace of any (square) matrix equals the sum of its eigenvalues and that the eigenvalues of $\pi(g)$ are all roots of unity in k . I will continue with character theory next time.