

# Lecture 11-22: Representations of the general linear group

November 22, 2024

I round out the course with representations of the (in general infinite) general linear group  $G = GL_m(R)$  of all invertible  $m \times m$  matrices, over a commutative ring  $R$ , giving a construction of these very similar to the one I gave of representations of symmetric groups. I will follow Chapter 8 of Fulton.

I begin with a very general construction, which given an  $R$ -module  $M$  and a partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$  produces a new  $R$ -module denoted  $M^\lambda$  and called the **Schur module** of  $M$  and  $\lambda$  (see p. 106). Start with the  $n$ -fold tensor power  $\otimes^n M$ , writing its decomposable elements as Young diagrams  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  whose boxes are filled with elements of  $M$  rather than integers as before. Generalizing earlier terminology, call these **tableaux**.

Impose the **alternating relations**  $T = -T'$  whenever  $T'$  is obtained from  $T$  by interchanging two elements in the same column, while  $T = 0$  if two elements in the same column of  $T$  coincide. Next impose the **exchange relations**  $T = \sum_{i=1}^r T_i$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  are two columns of  $Y$  with  $c_2$  to the right of  $c_1$ ,  $k$  is an integer at most equal to the length of  $c_2$ , and  $T_1, \dots, T_r$  are the tableaux obtained from  $T$  by interchanging the top  $k$  entries of  $c_2$  with all possible sets of  $k$  entries of  $c_1$ , preserving the vertical order of entries throughout.

Now specialize down to the case where  $M = R^m$  is free over  $R$ . Observe that the defining relations of  $M^\lambda$  in this case are very similar to those of the Specht module  $S^\lambda$  derived last time. To strengthen this analogy, note that  $G = GL_m(R)$  acts in a natural way on any tableau in  $M^\lambda$ , by acting on each entry simultaneously, and that this action preserves the defining relations of both the tensor power and  $M^\lambda$ . Thus  $M^\lambda$  is a representation of  $G$  for every partition  $\lambda$ , which by multilinearity is spanned by tableaux  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  in which the entries are standard basis vectors  $e_i$  of  $R^m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Rewriting  $T$  by replacing  $e_i$  by  $i$  throughout, one finds that the  $T$  becomes a Young tableau in the earlier sense.

Unlike  $S^\lambda$ , however,  $M^\lambda$  is not spanned by standard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , but rather by **semistandard tableaux**, whose entries once again increase strictly down columns, but this time increase only weakly across rows. In particular  $M^\lambda = 0$  if  $\lambda$  has more than  $m$  parts, since then there are no semistandard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  with entries in  $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and indeed the alternating relations and multilinearity show that every tableau of shape  $\lambda$  in this case equals 0 in  $M^\lambda$ .

In the special case where  $\lambda = r$  has just a single part, the exchange relations show that  $M^\lambda$  identifies with the  $r$ th symmetric power  $S^r R^m$ , which I showed in the lecture on October 11 to have a basis over  $R$  corresponding to the semistandard tableaux of this shape (equivalent to monomials in a set of  $m$  variables). Similarly, if  $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$  is such that its diagram has a single column, say of length  $r$ , then  $M^\lambda$  identifies with the exterior power  $\bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^m$  by the alternating relations, and again you have seen that  $M^\lambda$  is spanned by semistandard tableaux in this case. To see what is going on in general, I digress to prove a key result in linear algebra.

## Sylvester's Lemma, p. 108

For any  $p \times p$  matrices  $M, N$  over  $R$  and an index  $k$  between 1 and  $p$ , one has

$$\det(M) \det(N) = \sum \det(M') \det(N')$$

where the sum takes place over all pairs  $(M', N')$  of matrices obtained from  $(M, N)$  by interchanging a fixed set of  $k$  columns in  $N$  with any  $k$  columns of  $M$ , preserving the positions of the columns.



## Proof.

By the alternating property of determinants I may assume that the fixed set of columns of  $N$  consists of its leftmost  $k$  columns. For vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_p \in R^p$ , denote by  $[v_1 \dots, v_p]$  the determinant of the matrix having the  $v_i$  as its columns. I must show that

$$[v_1 \dots, v_p][w_1 \dots w_p] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} [v_1 \dots w_1 \dots w_k \dots v_p][v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}, w_{k+1} \dots]$$

where  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  replace  $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}$ , in that order, in the leftmost factor. It suffices to show that the difference of the two sides is an alternating function of the  $p + 1$  vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_p, w_1$ , regarding the other vectors as fixed, since any such function must be identically 0. □

## Proof.

For this it suffices to show that the two sides are equal when two consecutive vectors  $v_i, v_{i+1}$  are equal, which is immediate, and when  $v_p = w_1$ . In the latter case, fixing  $v_p = w_1$ , it suffices to show that the difference of the two sides is an alternating function of  $v_1, \dots, v_p, w_2$ . Now the cases  $v_i = v_{i+1}$  and  $v_p = w_2$  are both immediate. □

Given positive integers  $m, n$  let  $x_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$  be independent variables over  $R$  and let  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  denote the polynomial ring over  $R$  in these variables. For each  $p$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$  of integers from  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  set

$$M_{i_1 \dots i_p} = \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{1i_1} & \dots & x_{1i_p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{pi_1} & \dots & x_{pi_p} \end{bmatrix}$$

and let  $D_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in R[X]$  be its determinant, an alternating function of the subscripts. For a tableau  $T$  with at most  $m$  rows filled with entries in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , let  $D_T$  be the product of the determinants corresponding to the columns of  $T$ , so that

$$D_T = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} D_{T(1,j), T(2,j), \dots, T(\mu_j, j)},$$

where  $\mu_j$  is the length of the  $j$ th column of  $T$ ,  $\ell$  is the number of columns of  $T$ , and  $T(i, j)$  is the entry of  $T$  in its  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.

### Lemma 3, p. 109

If  $M = R^m$  is free over  $R$  then there is a canonical homomorphism from  $M^\lambda$  to  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  sending  $T$  to  $D_T$  for all tableaux  $T$  with entries in  $[m]$ .

It suffices to show that the  $D_T$  satisfy the alternating and exchange relations. The alternating relations follow from the alternating property of determinants. Given two columns of  $T$  between which an exchange takes place, with entries  $i_1, \dots, i_p$  in the leftmost column and  $j_1, \dots, j_q$  in the rightmost column, an application of Sylvester's Lemma to the matrices  $M = M_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$  and  $N$ , obtained from  $M_{j_1, \dots, j_q}$  by adding a  $q \times (p - q)$  block of zeroes to its right on top of a copy of the identity matrix of size  $(p - q) \times (p - q)$  as its new lower right corner, satisfies the corresponding exchange relation.

## Theorem 1, p. 110

With  $M = R^m$  as above the module  $M^\lambda$  is free with basis consisting of all (classes of) semistandard tableaux  $T$  with entries in  $[m]$ .

To prove this I argue as in the proof of Lemma 6 on p. 98, given last time. Given two tableaux  $T, T'$ , I once again decree that  $T \succ T'$  if in the rightmost column where they are different, the lowest box where they differ has a larger entry in  $T'$ . Given any  $T \in M^\lambda$  that is not semistandard, I again invoke the alternating and exchange relations to write it as a linear combination of tableaux  $T'$  that are higher in this order. This shows that the classes of standard  $T$  generate  $M^\lambda$ .

To show that these classes are linearly independent, I invoke Lemma 3 above, which implies that it is enough to show that the determinants  $D_T$  are independent in  $R[X]$  as  $T$  runs over semistandard tableaux. Order the variables  $x_{ij}$  lexicographically, so that  $x_{ij} < x_{i'j'}$  if  $i < i'$  or  $i = i'$  and  $j < j'$ . Extend this lexicographic order to monomials  $M_i$  in the  $x_{ij}$ , so that  $M_1 < M_2$  if the smallest  $x_{ij}$  that occurs to a different power in the  $M_i$  occurs to a larger power in  $M_1$ . Note that if  $M_1 < M_2$  and  $N_1 \leq N_2$  then  $M_1 N_1 < M_2 N_2$ . It follows at once that the smallest monomial appearing in a determinant  $D_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  is the diagonal term  $x_{1,i_1} x_{2,i_2} \dots x_{p,i_p}$ , if  $i_1 < \dots < i_p$ .

Then the smallest monomial occurring in  $D_T$ , if  $T$  has increasing columns, is  $\prod (x_{ij})^{m_T(i,j)}$ , where  $m_T(i,j)$  is the number of times  $j$  occurs in the  $i$ th row of  $T$ . This monomial occurs with coefficient 1. Now reorder the tableaux, decreeing that  $T < T'$  if the first row where they differ, and the first entry where they differ in that row, is smaller in  $T$  than in  $T'$ . Equivalently, the smallest  $i$  for which there is a  $j$  with  $m_T(i,j) \neq m_{T'}(i,j)$ , and the smallest such  $j$ , has  $m_T(i,j) > m_{T'}(i,j)$ . It follows that if  $T < T'$  then the smallest monomial occurring in  $D_T$  is smaller than any monomial occurring in  $D_{T'}$ . Now the independence follows: if  $\sum r_T D_T = 0$ , take  $T$  minimal with  $r_T \neq 0$ ; then the coefficient of  $\prod (x_{ij})^{m_T(i,j)}$  in  $\sum r_T D_T$  is  $r_T$ . □

As a corollary of the proof, I note that the map from  $M^\lambda$  to  $R[X]$  is injective and its image is free as an  $R$ -module on the set of polynomials  $D_T$  as  $T$  runs over the semistandard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ . Next time I will specialize to the case  $R = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{C}^m$ ; in this case the representations  $M^\lambda$  of  $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$  turn out to be irreducible and to exhaust the set of so-called polynomial representations of that group.