# Lecture 11-18: Representations of the symmetric group

November 18, 2024

Lecture 11-18: Representations of the symi November 18, 2024 1/1

Image: A matrix

4 王

I turn now to a particular group whose representations turn out to be particularly nice (and were historically among the first ones studied). This is the symmetric group  $S_n$ . I will follow the development in Chapter 7 of Fulton's wonderful 1997 book entitled "Young tableaux" (Cambridge University Press); throughout I will work over the *rational* field  $\mathbb{Q}$  rather than the complex one. All references will be to Fulton's book.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Recall first that the conjugacy class of a permutation  $\sigma$  is determined by the lengths of the cycles in its cycle decomposition (including the 1-cycles). These form a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  of n, so that  $\sum \lambda_i = n$ ; by convention we arrange the parts  $\lambda_i$  of  $\lambda$  so that  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots$ . I also write  $|\lambda| = n$ .

Given a partition  $\lambda$  of n, I define a (Young) diagram of shape  $\lambda$  to be a an arrangement of boxes in rows, lined up on the left, so that the *i*th row of the arrangement has  $\lambda_i$  boxes (p. 1). Filling in the boxes with the numbers 1 through n, using each number exactly once, I get a (Young) tableau of this shape, which is called standard if the numbers in the boxes increase across rows and down columns (p. 2).

Thus for example

is a standard tableau of shape (3, 2, 1). There is an obvious action of  $S_n$  on tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , obtained by permuting the numbers in the boxes. Given such a tableau *T*, denote by R(T)the subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of permutations permuting the elements of each row among themselves (p. 84). Then R(T) is a direct product of symmetric groups, one for each part of  $\lambda$ .

Similarly denote by C(T) the subgroup of permutations preserving the columns of T. In the above example R(T) and C(T) are both isomorphic to  $S_3 \times S_2 \times S_1$ . Note that  $R(T) \cap C(T) = 1$ , since a permutation in the intersection cannot move any number from its row or column in T. Given two partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  and  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_r)$  of the same integer n, we say that  $\lambda$  dominates  $\lambda'$  if for all i we have  $\sum_{i=1} \lambda_j \ge \sum_{i=1} \lambda'_j$ , defining  $\lambda_j = 0$  if j > m and  $\lambda'_k = 0$  if k > r. This is a partial order on partitions of n. The following lemma provides the basic tool I need.

### Lemma 1, p. 84

Let T, T' be tableaux of shapes  $\lambda, \lambda'$  with  $|\lambda| = |\lambda'| = n$ . Assume that  $\lambda$  does not strictly dominate  $\lambda'$ . Then exactly one of the following holds.

- There are two distinct integers in the same row of T' and the same column of T.
- $\lambda' = \lambda$  and there are  $p' \in R(T'), q \in C(T)$  with  $p' \cdot T' = q \cdot T$ .

#### Proof.

If the first assertion fails, then the numbers in the first row of T' all occur in different columns of T, so there is  $q_1 \in C(T)$  such that these numbers occur in the first row of  $q_1 \cdot T$ . The numbers in the second row of T' then occur in different columns of T so also of  $q_1 \cdot T$ , so there is  $q_2 \in C(q_1 \cdot T) = C(T)$  not moving the numbers equal to those in the first row of T', such that these numbers all occur in the first two rows of  $q_2 q_1 \cdot T$ . Continuing in this way we get  $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in C(T)$  such that the numbers in the first k rows of T' all occur in the first k rows of  $q_k \cdots q_1 \cdot T$ . Since T and  $q_k \ldots q_1 \cdot T$ have shape  $\lambda$ , the sum of the first k parts of  $\lambda'$  can be at most the corresponding sum for  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda$  dominates  $\lambda'$ .

#### Proof.

Since I have assumed the  $\lambda$  does not strictly dominate  $\lambda'$ , I must have  $\lambda = \lambda'$ ; taking k to be the number of rows of  $\lambda$  and  $q = q_k \cdots q_1$ , I see that  $q \cdot T$  and T' have the same numbers in each row, so there is  $p' \in R(T')$  with  $p' \cdot T' = q \cdot T$ , as desired; conversely, if such p', q exist, then the first assertion must fail.

I now define two total orders, one on partitions and the other on tableaux. Given two distinct partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  and  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_r)$  I say that  $\lambda > \lambda'$  (in the lexicographic order; see p. 26) if  $\lambda_i > \lambda'_i$ , where *i* is the smallest index for which  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda'_i$ . Given tableaux T, T' of respective shapes  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda'$  write T > T' if either  $\lambda > \lambda'$  in the lexicographic order, or  $\lambda = \lambda'$  and the largest number occurring in a different position in T and T' occurs either in a column further to the left in T or in the same column but lower down (p. 84). Then for T standard, if  $p \in R(T), q \in C(T)$ , then  $p \cdot T > T$ ,  $q \cdot T < T$ ; indeed, the largest number in T moved by p is must be moved to the left, while the largest number moved by *q* must be moved up (see p. 85).

It follows that if T, T' are standard tableaux with T' > T then there is a pair of numbers in the same row of T' and the same column of T (Corollary, p. 85). For otherwise I would be in the second case of Lemma 1, so that  $p' \cdot T' = q \cdot T$  for some p', q; but this forces  $q \cdot T \leq T, p' \cdot T' \geq T'$  by the above observation, a contradiction. I now define a tabloid  $\{T\}$  to be an equivalence class of tableaux, two tableaux being equivalent if they have the same shape and the same numbers in each row (p. 85). Thus the tableaux represented by

1 3 2	6	7
4 6 2	3	1

and

are the same when regarded as tabloids. Clearly  $\{T\} = \{T'\}$  if and only if  $T' = p \cdot T$  for some  $p \in R(T)$ .

 $S_n$  acts on tabloids by the recipe  $\sigma \cdot \{T\} = \{\sigma \cdot T\}$ ; thus the space  $M^{\lambda}$  spanned by all tabloids of shape  $\lambda$  is an  $S_n$ -module. For a tableau T, define  $v_T = \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \epsilon_{\sigma} \sigma\{T\} = b_T\{T\}$ , where

 $b_T = \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \epsilon_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}S_n$ , the rational group algebra of  $S_n$ , where  $\epsilon_{\sigma}$  is

the sign of  $\sigma$  (1 if  $\sigma$  is an even permutation, -1 otherwise). Clearly  $v_T \neq 0$ , since  $R(T) \cap C(T) = 1$ , whence

 $b_T v_T = b_T^2 \{T\} = \#C(T)v_T \neq 0$ , where #C(T) denotes the cardinality of C(T). We have  $\sigma \cdot v_T = v_{\sigma \cdot T}$  for  $\sigma \in S_n$  and all tableaux *T*. Now finally I define the Specht module  $S^{\lambda}$  to be the  $\mathbb{Q}S_n$ -module spanned by the  $v_T$  as *T* runs through tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  (p. 87).

・ロン ・ 同 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ ・

Irreducibility of the  $S^{\lambda}$  will follow from the following lemma.

## Lemma 2, p. 86

Let T, T' be tableaux of respective shapes  $\lambda, \lambda'$  and assume that  $\lambda$  does not dominate  $\lambda'$ . If there is a pair of integers in the same row of T' and column of T, then  $b_T \cdot \{T'\} = 0$ . Otherwise we have  $b_T \cdot \{T'\} = \pm v_T$ .

#### Proof.

If there is such a pair of integers, let t be the transposition that swaps them. Then  $b_T t = -b_T$ , since  $t \in C(T)$ , but  $t \cdot \{T'\} = \{T'\}$ , since  $t \in R(T')$ . It follows that  $b_T \cdot \{T'\} = -b_T \cdot \{T'\} = 0$ . If there is no such pair, choose p' and q as in the second case of Lemma 1. Then

$$b_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \{\mathcal{T}'\} = b_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \{p' \cdot \mathcal{T}'\} = b_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \{q \cdot \mathcal{T}\} = b_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot q \cdot \{\mathcal{T}\} = \epsilon_q b_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \{\mathcal{T}\} = \epsilon_q \cdot v_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad \Box$$

By the remark right after Lemma 1, I deduce that if T, T' are standard tableaux with T' > T then  $b_T \cdot \{T'\} = 0$  (Corollary, p. 87).

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう