

Lecture 5-24: Riemann integration, continued

May 24, 2023

Last time I showed that any continuous function on an interval $[a, b]$ is (Riemann) integrable on that interval; now I want to prove the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, which shows that the integral of any such function is differentiable and in fact an antiderivative of the function itself. I begin with a simple lemma.

Theorem 6.12, p. 150

A function f is integrable on an interval $[a, b]$ if and only if it is integrable on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$ for any $c \in [a, b]$; in this case we have $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx + \int_c^b f(x) dx$.

Proof.

Indeed, if f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $c \in [a, b]$ then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition P of $[a, b]$ with $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$. Add the point c to P (if necessary) to construct a new partition P' ; then $U(f, P') - L(f, P') < \epsilon$, since $L(f, P') \geq L(f, P)$, $U(f, P') \leq U(f, P)$. Intersecting P' with the intervals $[a, c]$, $[c, b]$ yields two partitions P_1, P_2 of $[a, c]$, $[c, b]$, respectively, with $U(f, P_i) - L(f, P_i) < \epsilon$, whence f is integrable on both intervals. Conversely, if f is integrable on both $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$ and partitions P_1, P_2 of $[a, c]$, $[c, b]$ are chosen so that $U(f, P_i) - L(f, P_i) < \epsilon/2$, then the union P of P_1, P_2 is a partition of $[a, b]$ with $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$, $U(f, P) = U(f, P_1) + U(f, P_2)$, $L(f, P) = L(f, P_1) + L(f, P_2)$. As ϵ is arbitrary the result follows. □

If $a > b$ and f is integrable on $[b, a]$ then we set $\int_a^b f(x) dx = - \int_b^a f(x) dx$. Then the above formula holds for all a, b, c whenever all relevant integrals are defined.

Now I can prove a strengthened form of one of the Fundamental Theorems of Calculus.

Theorem 6.29, p. 168

Let f be integrable on $[a, b]$ and continuous at $c \in [a, b]$. Set $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Then F is differentiable at c and $F'(c) = f(c)$.

Proof.

We already know that f is integrable on $[a, x]$, so the definition of $F(x)$ makes sense, and $\frac{F(x)-F(c)}{x-c} = \frac{\int_c^x f(t) dt}{x-c}$. This last fraction is bounded between m_x and M_x , where m_x is the infimum and M_x the supremum of f in the interval between c and x . Continuity at c forces $m_x, M_x \rightarrow f(c)$ as $x \rightarrow c$ and the result follows. \square

In particular, if f is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$, then $F'(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, so that f has an antiderivative; this is what Theorem 6.29 actually says. In the special case $f(t) = 1/t$, this completes fully justifies my earlier construction of the natural logarithm $\ln x$: we now know that $1/x$ has an antiderivative, whence I can describe $\ln x$ as the unique antiderivative of $1/x$ taking the value 0 at $x = 1$,

As a simple corollary we get

Theorem 6.22, p. 161

Let f be continuous on $[a, b]$ and F be any antiderivative of f .
Then $\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)$.

Proof.

The Mean Value Theorem shows that any two antiderivatives of f differ by a constant (so that the conclusion of the theorem is independent of the choice of F). Choosing a particular antiderivative F and setting $G(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ for $x \in [a, b]$ we have $G(x) = F(x) + c$ for some constant c ; plugging in $x = a$, we get the desired result. □

It is also very useful to know that integration is linear.

Linearity of the integral: Theorem 6.15, p. 153

If f, g are integrable on $[a, b]$ and c is constant, then $f \pm g$ and cf are also both integrable, with

$$\int_a^b (f \pm g)(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx \pm \int_a^b g(x) dx, \int_a^b cf(x) dx = c \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Proof.

The second assertion is clear, since the upper Darboux sums of cf are just the multiples by c of the upper or lower Darboux sums of f (according as c is positive or negative). Now it suffices to show that $\int_a^b (f + g)(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b g(x) dx$. For this we just observe that the infimum $m_{c,d}$ of $f + g$ on any interval $[c, d]$ is at least the sum $m'_{c,d} + m''_{c,d}$ of the infima $m'_{c,d}, m''_{c,d}$ of f, g on $[c, d]$; similarly the supremum $M_{c,d}$ of $f + g$ on $[c, d]$ is at most the sum of the suprema $M'_{c,d}, M''_{c,d}$ of f, g on $[c, d]$, whence $L(f + g, P) \geq L(f, P) + L(g, P)$, $U(f + g, P) \leq U(f, P) + U(g, P)$ for any partition P . The result follows. □

I conclude with

Mean Value Theorem for integrals

Let f, g be continuous on $[a, b]$ with $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.
Then there is $c \in [a, b]$ with $\int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx = f(c) \int_a^b g(x) dx$.

Proof.

Letting m, M be the minimum and maximum of f on $[a, b]$ we have $mg(x) \leq f(x)g(x) \leq Mg(x)$ on $[a, b]$, whence $\int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx$ lies between $m \int_a^b g(x) dx$ and $M \int_a^b g(x) dx$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem there is $c \in [a, b]$ such that the conclusion holds. □

In particular, taking g to be the constant function 1, we see that for any integrable f we have $\int_a^b f(x) dx = f(c)(b - a)$ for some $c \in [a, b]$. Some authors call just this result the Mean Value Theorem for Integrals; this is what is stated in Theorem 6.26.