

# Problem Set 6 Solutions

UW Math Circle – Advanced Group

Session 9 (21 November 2013)

1. (a) We must find a sequence of steps to get from any rational number to 0 by reversing the operations  $x \mapsto x + 1$ ,  $x \mapsto -x$ , and  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ , that is, using  $x \mapsto x - 1$ ,  $x \mapsto -x$ , and  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ . Here is an algorithm to do this:

- i. If the number is negative, do  $x \mapsto -x$ .
- ii. While  $x \geq 1$ , do  $x \mapsto x - 1$ . (After this step,  $0 \leq x < 1$ .)
- iii. If  $x = 0$ , done.
- iv. Do  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ .
- v. Go to ii.

For example:  $-\frac{5}{7} \mapsto \frac{5}{7} \mapsto \frac{7}{5} \mapsto \frac{2}{5} \mapsto \frac{5}{2} \mapsto \frac{3}{2} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$ .

Let's prove that this algorithm always terminates. Since after step ii the numerator is strictly smaller than the denominator and iv inverts the numerator with the denominator, steps ii-iv strictly decrease the denominator. So, eventually the denominator will decrease to 1, after which ii results in 0 and iii tells us to end.

- (b) This time we can only use the operations  $x \mapsto x - 1$  and  $x \mapsto \frac{-1}{x}$ . Here is a modification to the algorithm that will eventually give us 0:

- i. If the number is negative, do  $x \mapsto \frac{-1}{x}$ .
- ii. While  $x > 0$ , do  $x \mapsto x - 1$ . (After this step,  $-1 < x \leq 0$ .)
- iii. If  $x = 0$ , done.
- iv. Go to i.

For example:  $-\frac{5}{7} \mapsto \frac{7}{5} \mapsto \frac{2}{5} \mapsto -\frac{3}{5} \mapsto \frac{5}{3} \mapsto \frac{2}{3} \mapsto -\frac{1}{3} \mapsto 3 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$ .

As above, the denominator decreases, so the algorithm will terminate.

2. Suppose no such  $m$  exists. Then, for any small distance ( $\frac{1}{n}$ ), there are points  $x \in C$  and  $y \in D$  such that  $d(x, y) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Take a sequence of points  $(x_n)$  in  $C$  such that  $x_n$  is less than  $\frac{1}{n}$  from some point of  $D$ . Because  $D$  is bounded, some subsequence of  $x_n$  converges to a boundary point of  $D$ , but, because  $C$  is compact, this subsequence must converge to a point of  $C$ . That is, some boundary point of  $D$  is in  $C$ .  $D$  contains all of its boundary points, so  $C$  and  $D$  intersect. But  $D$  and  $C$  were assumed to be disjoint, contradiction.
3. (a) Take any equilateral triangle with side length 1. Two of the vertices are the same color – there is our segment.
- (b) Take a hexagon  $ABCDEF$  and let its center be  $O$ . Suppose there is no equilateral triangle with all vertices the same color.

Without loss of generality,  $O$  is green.

If all of  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  are blue, then  $ACE$  is an all-blue equilateral triangle. So, at least one of them (without loss of generality,  $A$ ) is green.

Then  $B$  is blue (lest  $OAB$  be an all-green triangle), and so is  $F$  (from  $OAF$ ).  $D$  is green (from  $FBD$ ) and  $E$  and  $C$  are blue (from  $ODE$  and  $ODC$ ).

Let  $X$  be the intersection point of lines  $AF$  and  $DE$ .  $X$  must be green (from  $FEX$ ), but it must also be blue (from  $ADX$ ). Contradiction. So, there exists an all-green or all-blue equilateral triangle.