

# CORRECTIONS TO Introduction to Smooth Manifolds (Second Edition)

BY JOHN M. LEE

JUNE 14, 2024

- (8/8/16) **Page 6, just below the last displayed equation:** Change  $\varphi([x])$  to  $\varphi_{n+1}[x]$ , and in the next line, change  $x^i$  to  $x^{n+1}$ . After “(Fig. 1.4),” insert “with similar interpretations for the other charts.”
- (8/8/16) **Page 7, Fig. 1.4:** Both occurrences of  $x^i$  should be  $x^{n+1}$ .
- (12/19/18) **Page 9, proof of Theorem 1.15:** In the second line of the proof, replace “For each  $j$ ” with “For each  $j \geq 0$ .” Then in the fourth-to-last line, replace “positive integers” by “nonnegative integers.”
- (1/15/21) **Page 13, line 1:** Delete the words “and injective.”
- (1/18/21) **Page 20, Example 1.31:** There are multiple errors in this example. Replace everything after the first two sentences by the following: For each  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , let  $(U_i^\pm \cap S^n, \varphi_i^\pm)$  denote the graph coordinate charts we constructed in Example 1.4. For any distinct indices  $i$  and  $j$  and any choices of  $\pm$  signs, the transition maps  $\varphi_i^\pm \circ (\varphi_j^\pm)^{-1}$  and  $\varphi_i^\pm \circ (\varphi_j^\mp)^{-1}$  are easily computed. For example, in the case  $i < j$ , we get the following formula for all  $u$  in the domain of  $\varphi_i^+ \circ (\varphi_j^+)^{-1}$ :
- $$\varphi_i^+ \circ (\varphi_j^+)^{-1}(u^1, \dots, u^n) = (u^1, \dots, \widehat{u^i}, \dots, \sqrt{1 - |u|^2}, \dots, u^n)$$
- (with  $u^i$  omitted and the square root replacing  $u^j$ ), and similar formulas hold in the other cases. When  $i = j$ , the domains of  $\varphi_i^+$  and  $\varphi_i^-$  are disjoint, so there is nothing to check. Thus, the collection of charts  $\{(U_i^\pm \cap S^n, \varphi_i^\pm)\}$  is a smooth atlas, and so defines a smooth structure on  $S^n$ . We call this its *standard smooth structure*. //
- (6/23/13) **Page 23, two lines below the first displayed equation:** Change “any subspace  $S \subseteq V$ ” to “any  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $S \subseteq V$ .”
- (9/15/19) **Page 24, first full paragraph, fourth line:** Change “any subspace  $S$ ” to “any  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $S$ .”
- (12/19/18) **Page 26, first line:** Change  $U \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Int } \mathbb{H}^n)$  to  $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Int } \mathbb{H}^n)$ .
- (12/19/18) **Page 27, last paragraph, sixth line:** Change  $\tilde{U} \cap \mathbb{H}^n$  to  $\tilde{U} \cap U$ .
- (2/22/15) **Page 29, proof of Theorem 1.46, second paragraph, line 4:** Change  $\varphi(U \cap V)$  to  $\psi(U \cap V)$ .
- (10/8/15) **Page 30, Problem 1-6:** Interpret the formula for  $F_s$  to mean  $F_s(0) = 0$  when  $s \leq 1$ .
- (1/27/18) **Page 31, Fig. 1.13:** Change  $\{x^n = 0\}$  to  $\{x^{n+1} = 0\}$ .
- (3/12/18) **Page 31, Problem 1-11, next-to-last line:** Change  $S^n$  to  $S^n \setminus \{N\}$ .
- (4/25/17) **Page 45, second paragraph:** Replace the last sentence of that paragraph with the following: “If  $N$  has empty boundary, we say that a map  $F : A \rightarrow N$  is *smooth on  $A$*  if it has a smooth extension in a neighborhood of each point: that is, if for every  $p \in A$  there exist an open subset  $W \subseteq M$  containing  $p$  and a smooth map  $\tilde{F} : W \rightarrow N$  whose restriction to  $W \cap A$  agrees with  $F$ . When  $\partial N \neq \emptyset$ , we say  $F : A \rightarrow N$  is smooth on  $A$  if for every  $p \in A$  there exist an open subset  $W \subseteq M$  containing  $p$  and a smooth chart  $(V, \psi)$  for  $N$  whose domain contains  $F(p)$ , such that  $F(W \cap A) \subseteq V$  and  $\psi \circ F|_{W \cap A}$  is smooth as a map into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the sense defined above (i.e., it has a smooth extension in a neighborhood of each point).”
- (7/23/14) **Page 45, last displayed equation:** The first  $=$  sign should be  $\subseteq$ .
- (9/15/19) **Page 46, line 9:** Change “on an open subset” to “on a nonempty open subset.”

- (6/20/18) **Page 47, proof of Theorem 2.29, second paragraph:** Replace the first sentence of the paragraph by “Let  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth bump function that is positive in  $B_1(0)$  and zero elsewhere.”
- (2/13/22) **Page 49, Problem 2-10(c):** Change “an isomorphism” to “a bijection.”
- (1/20/22) **Page 54, just after the first sentence:** Insert “(The integral is a smooth function of  $x$  by iterative application of Theorem C.14.)”
- (11/17/12) **Page 56, first displayed equation:** Change  $d\iota(v)_p$  to  $d\iota_p(v)$ .
- (1/21/21) **Page 56, just below the last displayed equation:** Replace “the last two equalities follow” by “the last equality follows.”
- (6/9/19) **Page 58, proof of Lemma 3.11, next-to-last line:** Change  $\mathbb{H}^n$  to  $\text{Int } \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- (1/26/15) **Page 68, proof of Proposition 3.21:** Insert the following sentence at the beginning of the proof: “Let  $n = \dim M$  and  $m = \dim N$ .” Then in the second sentence, change (3.9) to (3.10). Finally, in the displayed equation, change  $F^n$  to  $F^m$  (twice).
- (11/17/12) **Page 70, two lines above Corollary 3.25:** Change “Proposition 3.23” to “Proposition 3.24.”
- (3/5/15) **Page 76, Problem 3-8:** Add the following remark: “(For  $p \in \partial M$ , we need to allow curves with domain  $[0, \varepsilon)$  or  $(-\varepsilon, 0]$  and to interpret the derivatives as one-sided derivatives.)”
- (10/23/18) **Page 78, proof of Prop. 4.1, third and fourth lines:** Change  $m \times n$  to  $n \times m$  (twice).
- (11/9/16) **Page 79, proof of Theorem 4.5, fourth line:** Change  $\hat{F}(p)$  to  $\hat{F}(0)$ .
- (12/12/21) **Page 82, line 4 from the bottom:** Change “This is a diffeomorphism onto its image” to “This is an open map and a diffeomorphism onto its image.”
- (12/12/21) **Page 83, proof of Theorem 4.14, line 8:** Change “no open subset” to “no nonempty open subset.”
- (5/4/13) **Page 96, Problem 4-3:** This problem probably needs a better hint. First, to get a good result, you’ll have to add the assumption that  $\ker dF_p \not\subseteq T_p \partial M$ . After choosing smooth coordinates, you can assume  $M \subseteq \mathbb{H}^m$  and  $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and extend  $F$  to a smooth function  $\tilde{F}$  on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $\text{rank } F = r$ , show that there is a coordinate projection  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$  such that  $\pi \circ \tilde{F}$  is a submersion, and apply the rank theorem to  $\pi \circ \tilde{F}$  to find new coordinates in which  $\tilde{F}$  has a coordinate representation of the form  $\tilde{F}(x, y) = (x, R(x, y))$ . Then use the rank condition to show that  $R|_M$  is independent of  $y$ .
- (12/22/21) **Page 100, first sentence:** At the end of the sentence, change “smooth embeddings” to “smooth embeddings of smooth manifolds.”
- (9/8/15) **Page 100, proof of Proposition 5.4, next-to-last line:** Change “It a homeomorphism” to “It is a homeomorphism.”
- (7/8/19) **Page 104, line below the proof of Theorem 5.11:** Change “See Theorem 5.31” to “See Problem 5-24.” [Problem 5-24 is a new problem, described later in this list. Theorem 5.31 is not appropriate in this situation because it applies only to manifolds without boundary.]
- (6/9/19) **Page 105, line 4 from the bottom:** Change  $F$  to  $\Phi$ .
- (11/9/16) **Page 112, Fig. 5.10:** Interchange the labels  $M$  and  $N$  on the figure, to be consistent with the notation in Theorem 5.29.

(5/5/22) **Page 113, line 6:** Change the definition of  $\tilde{\psi}$  to  $\tilde{\psi} = \pi \circ \psi|_{V_0}$ . After the end of that sentence, insert the following: “To see that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a smooth coordinate map, let  $i: V \hookrightarrow M$  be the inclusion map. Note first that for each  $q \in V_0$ ,  $x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n$  are all constant on the image of  $i$ , so the image of  $di_q$  is contained in the span of  $\partial/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial/\partial x^k$ . Since  $di_q$  is injective and its image has trivial intersection with  $\text{Ker } d\tilde{\psi}_q$ , it follows that  $d\tilde{\psi}_q \circ di_q$  is injective, so for dimensional reasons it is an isomorphism. Thus  $\tilde{\psi} \circ i$  is a local diffeomorphism by the inverse function theorem. Since it is bijective from  $V_0$  to its image, it is a diffeomorphism and hence a smooth coordinate map for  $V$ .”

(9/15/19) **Page 118, Fig. 5.13:** Change  $N$  to  $v$ .

(9/20/22) **Page 119, third line:** Starting in the middle of that line, replace the rest of the proof with the following: “For each  $\alpha$  such that  $p \in U_\alpha$ , we have  $f_\alpha(p) = 0$  and  $v(f_\alpha) = v^n > 0$  by Proposition 5.41. Thus

$$v(f) = \sum_{\alpha} (f_\alpha(p)v(\psi_\alpha) + \psi_\alpha(p)v(f_\alpha)).$$

For each  $\alpha$ , the first term in parentheses is zero and the second is nonnegative, and there is at least one  $\alpha$  for which the second term is positive. Thus  $v(f) > 0$ , which implies that  $df_p(v) = (vf)d/dt|_{f(p)} \neq 0$ , where  $t$  is the standard coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(7/15/15) **Page 120, proof of Proposition 5.46:** At the beginning of the proof, insert this sentence: “Let  $F: D \hookrightarrow M$  denote the inclusion map.”

(7/21/18) **Page 121, line 5:** Change  $x^m$  to  $x^n$ .

(9/15/19) **Page 123, Problem 5-6:** Add the assumption that  $m > 0$ .

(7/8/19) **Page 124:** At the end of the page, add a new problem:

5-24. Suppose  $M$  is a smooth manifold with boundary,  $N$  is a smooth manifold, and  $F: N \rightarrow M$  is a smooth map whose image is contained in  $\partial M$ . Show that  $F$  is smooth as a map into  $\partial M$ , and use this to prove that  $\partial M$  has a unique smooth structure making it an embedded submanifold of  $M$ .

(12/19/18) **Page 129, proof of Sard’s theorem, second paragraph:** Just before the last sentence of the paragraph, insert the following: “In the  $\mathbb{H}^n$  case, extend  $F$  to a smooth map on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and replace  $U$  by that open subset; if we can show that the set of critical values of the extended map has measure zero, then the same is true of the set of critical values of  $F$ .”

(3/16/19) **Page 129, displayed equation near the bottom of the page:** Change “ $i$ th partial derivatives” to “ $i$ th-order partial derivatives.”

(12/26/18) **Page 130, just below equation (6.2):** Right after the displayed equation, insert “(where the component functions  $F^2, \dots, F^n$  might be different from the ones in the original coordinate chart).”

(3/28/20) **Page 131, two lines below the first displayed equation:** Change  $A'(R/K)^{k+1}$  to  $A'(R\sqrt{m}/K)^{k+1}$ .

(1/8/18) **Page 131, three lines below the first displayed equation:** Insert “at most” before “ $K^m$  balls.”

(3/28/20) **Page 131, second displayed equation:** Change the left-hand side to  $K^m(A')^n(R\sqrt{m}/K)^{n(k+1)}$ , and in the next line, change the definition of  $A''$  to  $A'' = (A')^n(R\sqrt{m})^{n(k+1)}$ .

(4/17/13) **Page 132, proof of Lemma 6.13, second paragraph:** This argument does not apply when  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , because in that case  $M \times M$  is not a smooth manifold with boundary. Instead, we can consider the restrictions of  $\kappa$  to  $(M \times \text{Int } M) \setminus \Delta_M$  and to  $(M \times \partial M) \setminus \Delta_M$  (both of which are smooth manifolds with boundary), and note that there is a point  $[v] \in \mathbb{R}P^{N-1}$  that is not in the image of  $\tau$  or either of these restrictions of  $\kappa$ . [Thanks to David Iglesias Ponte for suggesting this correction.]

- (10/25/21) **Page 134, proof of Theorem 6.15, just after the fourth paragraph of the proof:** Insert the following: “In case  $M$  is an arbitrary compact subset of a larger manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with or without boundary, we can adapt this argument to obtain an embedding of a neighborhood of  $M$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . After covering  $M$  with finitely many regular coordinate balls or half-balls for  $\tilde{M}$ , the argument above produces an injective immersion  $F: \bigcup_i \bar{B}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , which is an embedding because its domain is compact; the restriction of this map to the union of the sets  $B_i$  is the desired embedding.” [This is needed in the ensuing argument for the noncompact case, because the sets  $E_i$  might not be regular domains when  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ .]
- (7/3/15) **Page 134, displayed equations two-thirds of the way down the page:** In the definition of  $E_i$ , there’s an “ $i - i$ ” that should be “ $i - 1$ .” It should read  $E_i = f^{-1}([b_{i-1}, a_{i+1}])$ .
- (10/24/21) **Page 134, just below the displayed equations two-thirds of the way down the page:** Delete the sentence “By Proposition 5.47, each  $E_i$  is a compact regular domain.” Two lines below that, replace “smooth embedding of  $E_i$ ” with “smooth embedding of a neighborhood of  $E_i$ .”
- (7/2/18) **Page 137, first paragraph under the subheading “Tubular Neighborhoods,” fifth line:** Change  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (7/27/18) **Page 138, proof of Theorem 6.23, end of the first paragraph:** Change “standard coordinate frame” to “standard coordinate basis.”
- (11/25/12) **Page 145, statement of Corollary 6.33:** After “immersed submanifold,” insert “with  $\dim S = \dim M$ .”
- (12/5/16) **Page 145, paragraph above Prop. 6.34:** In the definition of *smooth family of maps*, replace “ $F: M \times S \rightarrow N$ ” by “ $F: N \times S \rightarrow M$ .”
- (9/28/19) **Page 146, equation (6.9):** Should read  $dF(T_{(p,s)}W) \subseteq T_qX$ . [Change the equal sign to subset.]
- (9/28/19) **Page 146, line below the last displayed equation:** Change “ $= T_qX$ ” to “ $\subseteq T_qX$ .”
- (11/25/12) **Page 148, Problem 6-13:** Delete part (c). [This statement is simply wrong. It is true with the added hypothesis that  $F'$  is an embedding, but then it’s essentially just a restatement of part (b).]
- (2/10/18) **Page 150, last line:** Change “Theorem 20.16” to “Theorem 20.22.”
- (12/30/17) **Page 160, first line:** Change  $R_{hh^{-1}}$  to  $R_{h^{-1}h}$ .
- (2/16/18) **Page 164, just above the subheading:** Replace the last line of the proof of Prop. 7.23 by “The action is smooth because each  $\varphi$  can be written locally as a composition of a smooth local section followed by  $\pi$ .”
- (8/26/14) **Page 169, first line:** Change  $\tilde{G}$  to  $G$ .
- (6/21/20) **Page 169, statement of Theorem 7.35:** Replace the phrase “closed Lie subgroups such that  $N$  is normal” by “Lie subgroups such that  $N$  is normal and closed.” [In fact, using the result of Theorem 19.25 later in the book, the hypothesis that  $N$  is closed can also be omitted.]
- (3/18/19) **Page 171, third line from the end of the proof:** Change  $E_i$  to  $E_j$ , so the formula reads  $\rho_j^i(g) = \pi^i(g \cdot E_j)$ .
- (9/17/14) **Page 173, Problem 7-21:** Replace the first sentence by “Prove that the groups in Problem 7-20 are isomorphic to direct products of the indicated groups in cases (a) and (c) if and only if  $n$  is odd, and in cases (b) and (d) if and only if  $n = 1$ .”
- (1/18/21) **Page 178, Example 8.10(d):** Change “Example 8.4” to “Example 8.5.”
- (9/15/23) **Page 179, statement of Lemma 8.13:** Change “local frame for  $T\mathbb{R}^n$ ” to “local frame for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .”
- (6/9/19) **Page 184, Example 8.20, next-to-last line:** Change  $p = (u, v)$  to  $q = (u, v)$ .

- (3/19/21) **Page 184, proof of Proposition 8.22:** After “Proposition 5.37,” insert “in the case  $\partial S = \emptyset$ . When  $S$  has nonempty boundary, the proof of Proposition 5.37 still goes through using boundary slice coordinates for  $S$ .”
- (11/17/12) **Page 196, proof of Proposition 8.45, next-to-last line:** Should read “ $F_* \circ (F^{-1})_* = (F \circ F^{-1})_* = \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}(H)}$  and  $(F^{-1})_* \circ F_* = \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}(G)}$ .”
- (4/6/24) **Page 197, first paragraph:** Change “proposition” to “theorem.”
- (4/6/24) **Page 197, paragraph following the proof of Theorem 8.46:** Change “proposition” to “theorem” (twice).
- (5/1/24) **Page 201, Problem 8-15, last sentence:** Before that sentence, insert “If  $S$  is positive-dimensional.”
- (5/27/17) **Page 208, first line:** Change to “This is just the existence and smoothness statements of Theorem D.1 . . .”
- (4/6/24) **Page 213, line 6 of the proof:** Change “to same ODE” to “to the same ODE.”
- (3/10/16) **Page 213, first sentence of the last paragraph:** The definition of  $t_0$  should be  $t_0 = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : (t, p_0) \in W\}$ .
- (5/24/19) **Page 214, Fig. 9.6:** The shaded area should be labeled  $W$ , not  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- (12/2/15) **Page 217, Fig. 9.7:** Both occurrences of  $\varphi$  should be  $\Phi$ .
- (12/2/15) **Page 219, second displayed equation:** Change “ $V^j(0, p) = 0$ ” to “ $\Phi^j(0, p) = 0$ .”
- (12/2/15) **Page 219, two lines below (9.12):** Here and in the rest of the paragraph, change  $p_0$  to  $p_1$  (seven times) to avoid confusion with the prior unrelated use of  $p_0$  in this proof.
- (5/29/16) **Page 222, just below the section heading:** Insert the following sentence: “On a manifold with boundary, the definitions of *flow domain*, *flow*, and *infinitesimal generator of a flow* are exactly the same as on a manifold without boundary.”
- (2/15/19) **Page 223, line 2:** Change  $\delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\delta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- (8/19/14) **Page 223, proof of Theorem 9.26:** There’s a gap in this proof, because it is not necessarily the case that  $M(a)$  is a regular domain in  $\text{Int } M$ . To correct the problem, we have to choose our collar neighborhood more carefully. Replace the first sentence of the proof by the following paragraph:  
 “Theorem 9.25 shows that  $\partial M$  has a collar neighborhood  $C_0$  in  $M$ , which is the image of a smooth embedding  $E_0: [0, 1) \times \partial M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $E_0(0, x) = x$  for all  $x \in \partial M$ . Let  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a smooth positive exhaustion function. Note that  $W = \{(t, x) : f(E_0(t, x)) > f(x) - 1\}$  is an open subset of  $[0, 1) \times \partial M$  containing  $\{0\} \times \partial M$ . Using a partition of unity as in the proof of Theorem 9.20, we may construct a smooth positive function  $\delta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(t, x) \in W$  whenever  $0 \leq t < \delta(x)$ . Define  $E: [0, 1) \times \partial M \rightarrow M$  by  $E(t, x) = E_0(t\delta(x), x)$ . Then  $E$  is a diffeomorphism onto a collar neighborhood  $C$  of  $\partial M$ , and by construction  $f(E(t, x)) > f(x) - 1$  for all  $(t, x) \in [0, 1) \times \partial M$ . We will show that for each  $a \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $E([0, a] \times \partial M)$  is closed in  $M$ . Suppose  $p$  is a boundary point of  $E([0, a] \times \partial M)$  in  $M$ ; then there is a sequence  $\{(t_i, x_i)\}$  in  $[0, a] \times \partial M$  such that  $E(t_i, x_i) \rightarrow p \in M$ . Then  $f(E(t_i, x_i))$  remains bounded, and thus  $f(x_i) < f(E(t_i, x_i)) + 1$  also remains bounded. Since  $\partial M$  is closed in  $M$ ,  $f|_{\partial M}$  is also an exhaustion function, and therefore the sequence  $\{x_i\}$  lies in some compact subset of  $\partial M$ . Passing to a subsequence, we may assume  $(t_i, x_i) \rightarrow (t_0, x_0)$ , and therefore  $p = E(t_0, x_0) \in E([0, a] \times \partial M)$ .”  
 Then at the end of the first paragraph of the proof, add the following sentences:  
 “To see that  $M(a)$  is a regular domain, note first that it is closed in  $M$  because it is the complement of the open set  $C(a)$ . Let  $p \in M(a)$  be arbitrary. If  $p \notin E([0, a] \times \partial M)$ , then  $p$  has a neighborhood in  $\text{Int } M$  contained in  $M(a)$  by the argument above. If  $p \in E([0, a] \times \partial M)$ , then  $p = E(a, x)$  for some  $x \in \partial M$ , and  $C$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  in which  $M(a) \cap C$  is the diffeomorphic image of  $[a, 1) \times \partial M$ .”
- (1/30/14) **Page 223, proof of Theorem 9.26, last line of the first paragraph:** Change  $0 \leq t < a$  to  $0 \leq s < a$ .

(1/30/14) **Page 225, Example 9.31:** At the end of the example, insert the sentence “If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $M_1 \# M_2$  is connected.”

(7/25/16) **Page 226, Example 9.32, fifth line:** Replace the sentence beginning “It is a smooth manifold without boundary ...” by “It is a topological manifold without boundary, and can be given a smooth structure such that each of the natural maps  $M \rightarrow D(M)$  (induced by inclusion into the left and right summands of the disjoint union) is a smooth embedding.”

(3/2/21) **Page 230, line 1 and first displayed equation:** Change  $\theta_t(x)$  to  $\theta_t(u)$  (twice).

(4/23/13) **Page 230, second paragraph:** “from Case” should be “from Case 1.”

(2/26/18) **Page 230, fourth paragraph, last line:** Change  $[X, Y]$  to  $[V, W]$ .

(9/8/18) **Page 234, proof of Theorem 9.46, second paragraph:** Replace the two parenthesized sentences by the following: “(To see this, just choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $U_1 \subseteq U$  such that  $\theta_1$  maps  $(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) \times U_1$  into  $U$ , and then inductively choose  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $U_i$  such that  $\theta_i$  maps  $(-\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) \times U_i$  into  $U_{i-1}$ . Taking  $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_i\}$  and  $Y = U_k$  does the trick.)”

(5/29/16) **Page 241, Example 9.52:** At the end of the example, add the sentence “Note that  $u$  is smooth on the open set  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , which is a neighborhood of  $S$ .”

(6/4/14) **Page 246, Problem 9-11:** Delete the second sentence of the hint. [Because  $N$  is inward-pointing along  $\partial M$ , no integral curve that starts on  $\partial M$  can hit the boundary again, because the vector field would have to be tangent to  $\partial M$  or outward-pointing at the first such point.]

(11/17/21) **Page 248, first displayed equation:** Should read

$$V(t, p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=t} H_s(H_t^{-1}(p)).$$

(11/12/16) **Page 248, Problem 9-22(c):** Replace the problem statement by

$$(c) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -y, \quad u(0, y) = 0.$$

[Without this sign change, the third claim in Problem 9-23 is not true.]

(11/16/20) **Page 254, paragraph beginning “With respect to,” third line:** Replace  $V_p \times \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ .

(11/4/21) **Page 255, Example 10.8, line 5:** Replace the phrase “a bijective map  $\Phi|_U : (\pi|_S)^{-1}(U \cap S) \rightarrow (U \cap S) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ ” with “a bijective map from  $(\pi|_S)^{-1}(U \cap S)$  to  $(U \cap S) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ .” [The notation  $\Phi|_U$  is inappropriate here.]

(6/17/19) **Page 255, Example 10.8, lines 6–8:** Replace the sentence beginning with “If  $E$  is a smooth vector bundle” by the following: “If  $E$  is a smooth vector bundle and  $S \subseteq M$  is an embedded submanifold, it follows easily from the chart lemma that  $E|_S$  is a smooth vector bundle. If  $S$  is merely immersed, we give  $E|_S$  a topology and smooth structure making it into a smooth rank- $k$  vector bundle over  $S$  as follows: For each  $p \in S$ , choose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $M$  over which there is a local trivialization  $\Phi$  of  $E$ , and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $S$  that is embedded in  $M$  and contained in  $U$ . Then the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  is a bijection from  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  to  $V \times \mathbb{R}^k$ , and we can apply the chart lemma to these bijections to yield the desired structure.”

(3/30/21) **Page 255, Example 10.8, last line:** Change “over  $M$ ” to “over  $S$ .”

(11/27/20) **Page 260, two lines above Proposition 10.22:** Change  $\tau^n(p)$  to  $\tau^k(p)$ .

(10/22/18) **Page 261, statement of Proposition 10.25, first line:** Change  $\pi' : E \rightarrow M'$  to  $\pi' : E' \rightarrow M'$ .

(4/2/21) **Page 263, first full paragraph:** In the first two lines of the paragraph, change  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  to  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  (twice).

(7/2/14) **Page 264, paragraph above the subheading, first sentence:** “homomorphism” should be “homomorphisms.”

- (6/21/23) **Page 265, proof of Lemma 10.32, fifth line:** Change “basis for  $D_p$  at each point  $p \in U$ ” to “basis for  $D_q$  at each point  $q \in U$ .”
- (4/6/24) **Page 267, paragraph before Lemma 10.35:** Change “proposition” to “lemma.”
- (8/7/23) **Page 267, proof of Lemma 10.35, lines 3 & 4:** Change “single slice in some coordinate ball or half-ball” to “single slice or half-slice in some coordinate ball.”
- (4/2/21) **Page 271, Problem 10-18:** Change “a properly embedded” to “an embedded.”
- (2/6/21) **Page 271, Problem 10-19(d):** Add the following: [Hint: For the “only if” direction, to show that  $F$  is compact, use a finite number of local trivializations to construct a closed set over which  $E$  is trivial.]
- (2/6/22) **Page 276, proof of Proposition 11.9, first line:** Change “Theorem 10.4” to “Proposition 10.4.”
- (6/29/15) **Page 278, Example 11.13, third line:** Change “every coordinate frame” to “every coordinate coframe.”
- (6/11/19) **Page 296, line 6 from the bottom:** Change “closed forms” to “closed covector fields” (twice).
- (4/18/20) **Page 301, Problem 11-10(c):** Change  $S^2$  to  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .
- (4/20/20) **Page 301, Problem 11-13:** Add the assumption that  $n > 0$ .
- (5/19/18) **Page 303, just below the commutative diagram:** Insert this sentence: “A natural transformation is called a *natural isomorphism* if each map  $\lambda_X$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ .”
- (5/19/18) **Page 303, Problem 11-18(b) and (c):** Change “natural transformation” to “natural isomorphism” in both parts.
- (6/14/24) **Page 303, Problem 11-18(d):** Change  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  to  $\text{Set}$  (twice). [Because the Lie bracket is bilinear instead of linear, it does not define a morphism in the category  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . But it does define a morphism in the category of sets, which is sufficient for the purposes of this problem.]
- (4/7/21) **Page 317, paragraph beginning “Any one”:** At the end of the paragraph, add this sentence: “If  $A$  and  $B$  are tensor fields, then  $A \otimes B$  denotes the tensor field defined by  $(A \otimes B)_p = A_p \otimes B_p$ .”
- (5/24/18) **Page 317, displayed equation just below the middle of the page:** Change  $A_{j_1 \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$  to  $A_{j_1 \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$  on the third line of the display, and again on the line below the display. [The last lower index should be  $j_l$ , not  $i_l$ .]
- (4/18/17) **Page 320, statement of Proposition 12.25:** Change the domain and codomain of  $G$ : It should read  $G: P \rightarrow M$ .
- (4/18/17) **Page 320, Proposition 12.25(e):** Should read  $(F \circ G)^* B = G^*(F^* B)$ .
- (4/17/15) **Page 333, first line:** Change  $U \subseteq M$  to  $V \subseteq M$ .
- (7/1/14) **Page 345, Problem 13-10:** In the last line of the problem statement, change  $L_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{\gamma}) > L_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma)$  to  $L_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{\gamma}) \geq L_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma)$ , and delete the phrase “unless  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a reparametrization of  $\gamma$ .” [Because the definition of reparametrization that I’m using requires a diffeomorphism of the parameter domain, the original problem statement was not true.]
- (12/18/12) **Page 355, proof of Lemma 14.10:** At the beginning of the proof, insert “Let  $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  be the basis for  $V$  dual to  $(e^i)$ .”
- (12/18/12) **Page 356, Case 4, second line:** Should read “brings us back to Case 3.”
- (1/23/24) **Page 357, first line after the proof of Proposition 14.11:** Change “this lemma” to “this proposition.”
- (7/3/15) **Page 368, second paragraph:** At the end of the first sentence of the paragraph, insert “(see pp. 341–343).”
- (7/18/17) **Page 368, paragraph below equation (14.25):** Change  $TM$  to  $T\mathbb{R}^3$  (twice).

- (9/17/14) **Page 371, three lines above (14.31):** Change that sentence to “The only terms in this sum that can possibly be nonzero are those for which  $J$  has no repeated indices and  $m$  is equal to one of the indices in  $J$ , say  $m = j_p$ .”
- (5/14/20) **Page 374, Problem 14-2:** Add “[Hint: One way to approach this is to prove first that a  $k$ -covector  $\omega$  is decomposable if and only if the map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n*})$  given by  $v \mapsto v \lrcorner \omega$  has  $(n - k)$ -dimensional kernel.]”
- (12/2/20) **Page 377, line 4:** Change “is a simply” to “is simply.”
- (5/9/24) **Page 379, proof of Proposition 15.3, second paragraph:** Change “ $B$  is the transition matrix” to “the matrix representation of  $B$  with respect to  $(E_i)$  is the transition matrix.”
- (10/17/21) **Page 382, proof of Proposition 15.6, second paragraph:** In the first sentence of the paragraph, after “smooth chart,” insert “with connected domain.”
- (3/9/16) **Page 386, just above Proposition 15.24:** After “determines an orientation on  $\partial M$ ,” insert “if  $M$  is oriented.”
- (4/24/22) **Page 388, last paragraph:** Change “Proposition 13.6” to “Corollary 13.8.”
- (7/20/17) **Page 389, Exercise 15.30:** Change “a local isometry” to “an orientation-preserving local isometry.”
- (1/25/24) **Page 393, Example 15.38, next-to-last line in the first paragraph:** Change  $\text{Aut}_\pi(E)$  to  $\text{Aut}_q(E)$ .
- (5/9/20) **Page 397, Problem 15-1:** At the end of the last sentence, add “when  $n > 1$ .”
- (5/14/20) **Page 397, Problem 15-3:** Change  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}$  (twice).
- (5/28/22) **Page 397, Problem 15-4:** Change the first sentence to “Let  $\theta$  be the flow of a smooth vector field on an oriented smooth manifold.” [The stated result is true also for manifolds with boundary and for nonmaximal flows, but to prove it, one must first do a little work to generalize some of the results of Theorem 9.12 to more general flows.]
- (4/26/14) **Page 402, lines 2–3:** There should not be a paragraph break before “and.”
- (3/14/16) **Page 403, just after the last displayed equation:** Add “(In the  $\mathbb{H}^n$  case, apply Theorem C.26 to the interiors of  $D$  and  $E$  considered as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)”
- (5/28/18) **Page 409, line 2:** Change  $\varphi_i$  to  $\varphi$ .
- (6/24/18) **Page 415, paragraph above Example 16.19:** Change “interior charts and charts with corners” to “interior charts, boundary charts, and charts with corners.”
- (6/2/16) **Page 416, line 3 from the bottom:** Change “ $\gamma(0) = p$ ” to “ $\gamma(0) = \psi(p)$ .”
- (9/25/19) **Page 418, statement of Proposition 16.21:** Delete “compact,” and change “ $n$ -manifold” to “ $(n + 1)$ -manifold.”
- (6/24/18) **Page 419, proof of Theorem 16.25, first paragraph:** Replace the second and third sentences of the paragraph by the following: “By means of smooth charts and a partition of unity, we may reduce the theorem to the cases in which  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $M = \mathbb{H}^n$ , or  $M = \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ . The  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n$  cases are treated just as before.”
- (9/3/23) **Page 423, just above equation (16.11):** Change “ $\beta: \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ ” to “ $\beta: TM \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}T^*M$ .”
- (7/22/15) **Page 424, second displayed equation:** Change  $\iota_S^* \beta(X)$  to  $\iota_{\partial M}^* \beta(X)$ .
- (2/18/13) **Page 426, three lines below the section heading:** “cam” should be “can.”
- (2/11/15) **Page 430, Proposition 16.38(c):** This statement is wrong. Change it to “If  $F$  is smooth, then  $F^* \mu$  is a continuous density on  $M$ ; and if  $F$  is a local diffeomorphism,  $F^* \mu$  is smooth.”
- (5/31/22) **Page 435, Problem 16-4:** Change “manifold with boundary” to “manifold with nonempty boundary.”



(7/27/16) **Page 439, Problem 16-23:** The formula for  $g$  should be

$$g = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{(1 - x^2 - y^2)^2}.$$

(2/19/13) **Page 444, two lines below equation (17.4):** Change  $T_{(q,s)}M$  to  $T_{(q,s)}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ .

(4/7/24) **Page 446, last line:** Change  $c_q$  to  $C_q$ .

(4/7/24) **Page 447, line 2:** After “inclusion map,” insert “and  $c_q: M \rightarrow \{q\}$  denotes the constant map.”

(6/6/18) **Page 447, Corollary 17.15:** Change “every closed form is exact” to “every closed  $p$ -form is exact for  $p \geq 1$ .”

(5/15/15) **Page 450, proof of Theorem 17.21, line 5:** Change  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^n)$  to  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1)$ .

(8/14/17) **Page 451, proof of Corollary 17.25, next-to-last line:** Change  $\text{Id}_{H_{\text{dR}}^{n-1}(S)}$  to  $\text{Id}_{H_{\text{dR}}^{n-1}(S)}$ .

(11/24/17) **Pages 455–456, Proof of Theorem 17.32:** The proof given in the book is incorrect, because the  $V_i$ 's might not be connected, so Theorem 17.30 does not apply to them. Here's a corrected proof.

**Lemma.** *If  $M$  is a noncompact connected manifold, there is a countable, locally finite open cover  $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $M$  such that each  $V_i$  is connected and precompact, and for each  $j$ , there exists  $k > j$  such that  $V_j \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a countably infinite, locally finite cover of  $M$  by precompact, connected open sets (such a cover exists by Prop. 1.19 and Thm. 1.15). By successively deleting unneeded sets and renumbering, we can ensure that no  $W_j$  is contained in the union of the other  $W_i$ 's.

Let  $Y_1 = \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} W_i$ . Because  $M$  is connected, each component of  $Y_1$  meets  $W_1$ , and by local finiteness of  $\{W_j\}$ , there are only finitely many such components. Such a component is precompact in  $M$  if and only if it is a union of finitely many  $W_i$ 's. Let  $V_1$  be the union of  $W_1$  together with all of the precompact components of  $Y_1$ , and let  $X_1$  be the union of all  $W_i$ 's not contained in  $V_1$ . Then  $V_1$  is connected and precompact, and  $X_1$  has no precompact components. Proceeding by induction, suppose we have defined connected, precompact open sets  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  whose union contains  $W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_m$ , and such that the union  $X_m$  of all the  $W_i$ 's not contained in  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  has no precompact components. Let  $j_m$  be the smallest index such that  $W_{j_m}$  is not contained in  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ , and let  $Y_{m+1}$  be the union of all  $W_i$ 's other than  $W_{j_m}$  not contained in  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ . Any precompact component of  $Y_{m+1}$  must meet  $W_{j_m}$ , because otherwise, it would be a precompact component of  $X_m$ . Let  $V_{m+1}$  be the union of  $W_{j_m}$  with all of the precompact components of  $Y_{m+1}$ . As before,  $V_{m+1}$  is precompact and connected, and the union  $X_{m+1}$  of the  $W_i$ 's not contained in  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{m+1}$  has no precompact components. Then by construction, for each  $j$ , the set  $X_j = \bigcup_{i>j} V_i$  has no precompact components. If some  $V_j$  does not meet  $V_k$  for any  $k > j$ , then  $V_j$  itself is a precompact component of  $X_{j-1}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus for each  $j$ , there is some  $k > j$  such that  $V_j \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 17.32.* Choose an orientation on  $M$ . Let  $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be an open cover of  $M$  satisfying the conclusions of the preceding lemma. For each  $j$ , let  $K(j)$  denote the least integer  $k > j$  such that  $V_j \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $\theta_j$  be an  $n$ -form compactly supported in  $V_j \cap V_{K(j)}$  whose integral is 1. Let  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to  $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ .

Now suppose  $\omega$  is any  $n$ -form on  $M$ , and let  $\omega_j = \psi_j \omega$  for each  $j$ . Let  $c_1 = \int_{V_1} \omega_1$ , so that  $\omega_1 - c_1 \theta_1$  is compactly supported in  $V_1$  and has zero integral. It follows from Theorem 17.30 that there exists  $\eta_1 \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(V_1)$  such that  $d\eta_1 = \omega_1 - c_1 \theta_1$ . Suppose by induction that we have found  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m$  and constants  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  such that for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\eta_j \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(V_j)$  and

$$d\eta_j = \left( \omega_j + \sum_{i:K(i)=j} c_i \theta_i \right) - c_j \theta_j. \quad (*)$$

Let

$$c_{j+1} = \int_{V_{j+1}} \left( \omega_{j+1} + \sum_{i:K(i)=j+1} c_i \theta_i \right).$$

Then by Theorem 17.30, there exists  $\eta_{j+1} \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(V_{j+1})$  satisfying the analog of (\*) with  $j$  replaced by  $j+1$ . Set  $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j$ , with each  $\eta_j$  extended to be zero on  $M \setminus V_j$ . By local finiteness, this is a smooth  $(n-1)$ -form on  $M$ . It satisfies

$$d\eta = \omega + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i:K(i)=j} c_i \theta_i \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \theta_j.$$

Each term  $c_i \theta_i$  appears exactly once in the first sum above, so the two sums cancel each other.  $\square$

(7/27/16) **Page 457, line below the second displayed equation:** Change “Theorem 17.31” to “Theorem 17.30.”

(7/12/16) **Page 463, line above equation (17.15):** Insert missing space before “Similarly.”

(7/13/16) **Page 464, end of proof of Corollary 17.42:** Insert “Note that this construction produces a form  $\sigma$  whose support is contained in  $U \cap V$ .” [This might be useful for solving Problem 18-6.]

(7/12/16) **Page 471, last paragraph:** Replace the sentence starting “The hardest part ...” with “The hardest part is showing that the singular chain complex of  $M$  can be replaced by a chain complex built out of simplices whose images lie in either  $U$  or  $V$ , without changing the homology.”

(9/12/17) **Page 487, Problem 18-1, first line:** Change “an oriented smooth manifold” to “a smooth manifold.”

(8/8/18) **Page 489, Problem 18-7(b):** Add to the hint: “In order to use Lemma 17.27, you’ll need to prove the following fact: *Every bounded convex open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .* To prove this, let  $U$  be such a subset, and without loss of generality assume  $0 \in U$ . First show that there exists a smooth nonnegative function  $f \in C^\infty(U)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(x) \geq 1/d(x)$  away from a small neighborhood of 0, where  $d(x)$  is the distance from  $x$  to  $\partial U$ . Next, show that  $g(x) = 1 + \int_0^1 t^{-1} f(tx) dt$  is a smooth positive exhaustion function on  $U$  that is nondecreasing along each ray starting at 0. Finally, show that the map  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $F(x) = g(x)x$  is a bijective local diffeomorphism. Also, you may use the fact that the conclusion of the five lemma is still true even if the appropriate diagram commutes only up to sign.”

(1/15/13) **Page 491, Example 19.1(c):** Delete the word “unit.”

(5/22/15) **Page 492, line above Proposition 19.2:** Change “lie” to “Lie.”

(12/17/15) **Page 492, proof of Proposition 19.2, fourth line:** Change “Given  $p \in M$ ” to “Given  $p \in U$ .”

(9/12/16) **Page 506, Lemma 19.24, last line:** Before “left-invariant,” insert “smooth.”

(6/1/20) **Page 512, Problem 19-4:** In the first line of the problem, change “all three coordinates are positive” to “ $z$  is positive.” Then replace the last sentence by “Find an explicit global chart on  $U$  in which  $D$  is spanned by the first two coordinate vector fields.” [Technically it might not be a flat chart because its image need not be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .]

(7/27/22) **Page 513, Problem 19-10:** Add the following to the end of the problem statement: “(Transversality to an immersed submanifold is defined exactly as in the embedded case.)”

(10/4/17) **Page 518, sentence before Prop. 20.3:** Change “one-parameter subgroups of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ” to “one-parameter subgroups of subgroups of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .”

(5/23/16) **Page 521, first displayed equation:** Change  $d\Phi_0$  to  $d\Phi_e$  (twice).

(7/10/23) **Page 524, first paragraph, last line:** Change “ $U_i \subseteq U_0$  and  $\tilde{U}_i \subseteq \tilde{U}_0$ ” to “ $U_i \subseteq U_0$ ,  $V_i \subseteq \Phi(\tilde{U}_0)$ , and  $\tilde{U}_i \cap \mathfrak{h} \subseteq U_0$ .”

- (6/9/19) **Page 528, line 9:** Change two instances of  $(g, p)$  in subscripts to  $(g, q)$ .
- (5/19/18) **Page 528, just below the displayed equation in the middle of the page:** The smoothness of the map  $\sigma_q$  is not quite immediate from the definition. Replace the three sentences beginning “It follows” with this: “Because  $S_p$  is a weakly embedded submanifold by Theorem 19.17, to show that  $\sigma_q$  is a smooth local section of  $S_p$ , it suffices to show that it is smooth into  $G \times M$  and takes its values in  $S_p$ . The first component function is smooth as a map into  $G$  by smoothness of group multiplication. To show that the second component is smooth into  $M$  as a function of  $\hat{X}$  (and therefore of  $\exp X$ ), you need to use the argument sketched out just below equation (20.10): as in the proof of Prop. 20.8, apply the fundamental theorem on flows to the vector field  $\Xi_{(p,X)} = (\hat{X}_g, 0)$  on  $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $\gamma(t) = (g \exp tX, \eta_{(\hat{X})}(t, q))$  is an integral curve of  $\hat{X}$  starting at  $(g, q)$ , from which it follows easily that  $\sigma_q(g \exp X) = \gamma(1) \in S_p$ .”
- (1/10/17) **Page 537, Problem 20-6(a):** Change  $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$  to  $B \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (5/31/16) **Page 538, Problem 20-11(b):** Here’s a better hint, which doesn’t require proving part (a) first: “[Hint: Consider the graph of  $F$  as a subgroup of  $G \times H$ .]”
- (10/18/17) **Page 542, middle of the paragraph before Example 21.3:** Change “the action of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ” to “the action of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .”
- (12/28/23) **Page 543, 6th line from the bottom:** Change “subsequence of  $G_K$ ” to “sequence in  $G_K$ .”
- (2/25/18) **Page 548, last two lines:** Allen Hatcher’s name is misspelled. (Sorry, Allen.)
- (5/23/16) **Page 549, proof of Proposition 21.12, last sentence:** Change the first phrase of that sentence to “Second, if  $p, p' \in E$  are in different orbits and  $\pi(p) \neq \pi(p'), \dots$ ” Then add the following sentences at the end of the proof: “If  $p$  and  $p'$  are in different orbits and  $\pi(p) = \pi(p')$ , let  $W$  be an evenly covered neighborhood of  $\pi(p)$ , and let  $V, V'$  be the components of  $\pi^{-1}(W)$  containing  $p$  and  $p'$ , respectively. For any  $g \in \text{Aut}_\pi(E)$ , a simple connectedness argument shows that  $g \cdot V$  is a component of  $\pi^{-1}(W)$ ; if it had nontrivial intersection with  $V$  it would have to be equal to  $V$ , which would imply  $g \cdot p = p'$ , a contradiction.”
- (7/26/16) **Page 567, two lines above Proposition 22.8:** Insert “a” before “2-covector.”
- (10/9/15) **Page 568, Example 22.9(a), first line:** The coordinates should be  $(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n)$ . (The last coordinate is  $y^n$ , not  $x^n$ .)
- (11/17/21) **Page 571, line below equation (22.5):** Delete the spurious word “theorem” at the end of the line.
- (3/27/19) **Page 572, middle of the page:** Replace the sentence starting “On the other hand” by this: “On the other hand, the left-hand side is just the ordinary  $t$ -derivative of a time-dependent tensor on a fixed vector space, and expanding in terms of a basis shows that it satisfies a similar product rule:”
- (10/5/17) **Page 573, statement of Proposition 22.15, second line:** Change “ $V : J \times M$ ” to “ $V : J \times M \rightarrow TM$ ”; and change  $\psi$  to  $\theta$ .
- (11/18/17) **Page 583, line 4:** Change  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (7/26/16) **Page 583, third displayed equation:** Should read
- $$T \lrcorner d\Theta = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (x^i dx^i + y^i dy^i) = -d(|x|^2 + |y|^2).$$
- (7/26/16) **Page 583, two lines below the third displayed equation:** The formula for  $d\Theta(N, T)$  should be  $d\Theta(N, T) = 2(|x|^2 + |y|^2)$ .

(11/28/12) **Page 584, Exercise 22.29:** Part (b) should read

$$(b) T = \frac{\partial}{\partial z};$$

(8/14/14) **Page 584, paragraph above Theorem 22.33:** Change all occurrences of  $\theta$  in this paragraph to  $\psi$ , to avoid confusion with the use of  $\theta$  for a contact form elsewhere in this section.

(11/24/17) **Page 585, statement of Theorem 22.34, last line:** Change  $H$  to  $F$ .

(11/17/12) **Page 587, equation (22.27):** Change both occurrences of  $\sigma(s)$  to  $\sigma(x)$ .

(6/7/22) **Page 591, Problem 22-5:** Add the hypothesis  $n > 0$ .

(11/18/17) **Page 592, Problem 22-15:** Add the hypothesis that  $M$  is connected.

(9/22/15) **Page 608, Proposition A.41(a):** Insert the following phrase at the beginning of this statement: *With the exception of the word “closed” in part (d).*

(7/22/13) **Page 616, Proposition A.77(b), last line:** Change  $\tilde{f}(0)$  to  $\tilde{f}_e(0)$ .

(12/19/18) **Page 619, proof of Lemma B.2, fourth line:** Replace “By Exercise B.1(b)” with “If  $w_1$  is equal to one of the  $v_i$ ’s, then the ordered  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $(w_1, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is linearly dependent; if not, then by Exercise B.1(b), . . .”

(9/1/16) **Page 632, Exercise B.29:** Change “by a matrix” to “by a certain matrix” (twice).

(12/19/18) **Page 637, Exercise B.42:** Delete the words “is a homeomorphism that.” [Checking that it’s a homeomorphism requires the norm topology, which is not defined until later on that page.]

(9/6/16) **Page 637, Exercise B.44:** Change “basis map” to “basis isomorphism.”

(12/19/18) **Page 653, proof of Proposition C.21, second paragraph, second line:** Change  $f$  to  $f_D$ .

(2/25/18) **Page 658, two lines above (C.15):** Change  $B_\delta(0)$  to  $\bar{B}_\delta(0)$ .

(2/25/18) **Page 660, display (C.20):** Change  $F^{-1}(x)$  to  $F^{-1}(y)$ .

(1/18/21) **Page 664, statement of Theorem D.1(b):** After the phrase “Any two differentiable solutions to (D.3)–(D.4),” insert “defined on intervals containing  $t_0$ .”

(12/2/15) **Page 666, just below the fifth display:** After the sentence ending “by our choice of  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ ,” insert “(If  $t < t_0$ , interchange  $t$  and  $t_0$  in the second line above.)”

(1/18/21) **Page 667, statement of Theorem D.4:** After the phrase “any two differentiable solutions to (D.3)–(D.4),” insert “defined on intervals containing  $t_0$ .”

(2/13/24) **Page 667, last paragraph:** Change  $U$  to  $U_0$  (twice).

(2/13/24) **Page 668, line 2:** Change  $W$  to  $\bar{W}$ .

(2/13/24) **Page 668, paragraph below equation (D.10):** In the fourth line of the paragraph, change  $\bar{W}$  to  $W$ ; and in the fifth line, change  $W$  to  $\bar{W}$ .

(1/18/21) **Page 670, displayed inequality between (D.17) and (D.18):** Change  $n$  to  $n^2$ .

(1/18/21) **Page 670, last line:** Change  $n$  to  $n^2$  in the definition of  $B$ .

(1/18/21) **Page 671, inequality (D.19):** Change  $n$  to  $n^2$  (twice).

(12/15/20) **Page 671, just below (D.19):** Replace the sentence “Since the expression on the right can be made as small as desired by choosing  $h$  and  $\tilde{h}$  sufficiently small, this shows . . .” by the following: “Thus the expression on the left can be made as small as desired by choosing  $h$  and  $\tilde{h}$  sufficiently small. This shows . . .”

(6/11/19) **Page 692:** Under the entry for “Form,” delete the references to page 294 for “closed” and page 292 for “exact.”

(2/25/18) **Page 693:** The index entry for “Hatcher, Allen” is misspelled.