

The classification of thick tensor ideals for Lie Superalgebras

Jonathan Kujawa
University of Oklahoma

AMS Fall Western Section Meeting
San Francisco State University
October 25-26, 2014

Tensor Ideals

Say \mathcal{F} is a (*k-linear additive*) tensor category. That is:

- \mathcal{F} has a direct sum and a tensor product.
- We have a unit object: $X \otimes \mathbb{1} \cong X \cong \mathbb{1} \otimes X$.
- We have commutativity: $X \otimes Y \cong Y \otimes X$.
- Various axioms (exactness of \otimes , associativity, etc.)

Examples:

- Category of *all* G -modules.
- Category of finite dimensional G -modules.
- Category of *all* \mathfrak{g} -modules.
- Category of finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules.

A (thick) tensor ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a subcategory which satisfies:

- $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X \oplus Y \in \mathcal{I}$.
- $X \in \mathcal{I}, Y \in \mathcal{F}$, then $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{I}$.
- $X \oplus Y \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$.

Examples:

- \mathcal{F} .
- $\{0\}$.
- $Proj := \{P \in \mathcal{F} \mid P \text{ Projective}\}$.

Note that $Proj \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ for all nonzero ideals \mathcal{I} .

A (thick) tensor ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a subcategory which satisfies:

- $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X \oplus Y \in \mathcal{I}$.
- $X \in \mathcal{I}, Y \in \mathcal{F}$, then $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{I}$.
- $X \oplus Y \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$.

Examples:

- \mathcal{F} .
- $\{0\}$.
- $Proj := \{P \in \mathcal{F} \mid P \text{ Projective}\}$.

Note that $Proj \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ for all nonzero ideals \mathcal{I} .

Question:

Given an interesting \mathcal{F} , can we classify the thick tensor ideals?

Given \mathcal{F} , let \mathbf{K} be the **stable module category** for \mathcal{F} . The objects are the same as in \mathcal{F} but

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{F})}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, N) / \sim$$

where $f \sim g$ if and only if $f - g$ factors through a projective.

Effects:

- in \mathbf{K} the projectives are isomorphic to 0.
- In fact, M and N are isomorphic in \mathbf{K} if there are projectives P_1 and P_2 so that in \mathcal{F}

$$M \oplus P_1 \cong N \oplus P_2.$$

- \mathbf{K} is not an abelian category!

Given \mathcal{F} , let \mathbf{K} be the **stable module category** for \mathcal{F} . The objects are the same as in \mathcal{F} but

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{F})}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, N) / \sim$$

where $f \sim g$ if and only if $f - g$ factors through a projective.

Effects:

- in \mathbf{K} the projectives are isomorphic to 0.
- In fact, M and N are isomorphic in \mathbf{K} if there are projectives P_1 and P_2 so that in \mathcal{F}

$$M \oplus P_1 \cong N \oplus P_2.$$

- \mathbf{K} is not an abelian category!

Good News:

But \mathbf{K} is a triangulated category for nice \mathcal{F} .

Tensor Triangulated Categories

Let \mathbf{K} be a **Tensor Triangulated Category**:

- \mathbf{K} is a triangulated category;
- There is a tensor product functor $- \otimes - : \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$;
- We have $M \otimes N \cong N \otimes M$ for all M, N ;
- And a unit object $\mathbb{1}$.

An object $C \in \mathbf{K}$ is **compact** if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(C, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(C, M_i)$$

\mathbf{K} is **compactly generated** if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(C, M) = 0$$

for all compact C implies $M = 0$.

Standing Assumptions

\mathbf{K} is a tensor triangulated category which is compactly generated, $\mathbb{1}$ is compact, and all compact objects are dualizable. We'll write \mathbf{K}^c for the full subcategory of compact objects.

Example

$\mathbf{K} = \text{Stab}(\text{all } G\text{-modules})$

$\mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(\text{finite dimensional } G\text{-modules})$

Tensor Triangular Geometry

An ideal \mathcal{P} is a **prime ideal** if it is proper and if

$$X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{P} \text{ implies } X \in \mathcal{P} \text{ or } Y \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The **Spectrum** of \mathbf{K}^c is

$$\mathrm{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c) = \{\mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{P} \text{ a prime ideal of } \mathbf{K}\}$$

with the Zariski topology.

Why is this interesting?

- $\mathbf{K} = \text{Stab}(G - \text{Mod}), \mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(G - \text{mod}):^a$

$$\text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c) \cong \text{Spec}(H^\bullet(G, k)).$$

- R a commutative Noetherian ring:

$$\mathbf{K} = D(R - \text{Mod}), \mathbf{K}^c = D_{\text{perf}}^b(R - \text{mod}):^b$$

$$\text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c) \cong \text{Spec}(R).$$

^aBenson-Carlson-Rickard, Friedlander-Pevtsova, Benson-Iyengar-Krause

^bHopkins, Neeman

Theorem (Balmer)

We can define a “support variety” theory on \mathbf{K} by:

$$\text{supp} : \mathbf{K}^c \rightarrow \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$$

by

$$\text{supp}(M) = \{\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c) \mid M \notin \mathcal{P}\}.$$

This has all the properties desirable of a support variety theory and is “universal” among such theories.

Theorem (Balmer)

The map

$$\{\text{tensor ideals}\} \rightarrow \{\text{specialization closed subsets of } \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)\}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{I} \mapsto \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{I}} \text{supp}(M)$$

is a bijection.

The Lie Superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ be the Lie superalgebra of $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrices. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} \right\} \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and with bracket

$$[A, B] = AB - (-1)^{\bar{A} \cdot \bar{B}} BA.$$

Note: $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

Representations

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of \mathfrak{g} -supermodules which are finitely semisimple over $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the category of f.d. \mathfrak{g} -supermodules which are finitely semisimple over $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

We then form:

$$\mathbf{K} = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$$

$$\mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$$

Question:

What is $\text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$?

Question:

What is $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$?

“In all applications though, the crucial anchor point is the computation of the triangular spectrum $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$ in the first place. Without this knowledge, abstract results of tensor triangular geometry are difficult to translate into concrete terms. It is therefore a major challenge to compute the spectrum $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$ in as many examples as possible. . . .”

— Balmer, “Spectra, spectra, spectra”

The Detecting Subalgebra

Let $\mathfrak{f} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ be the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{f} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} W & X \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g} \mid W, X, Y, Z \text{ are diagonal matrices} \right\}.$$

Let $G_0 = GL(n) \times GL(n)$ with the adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} and let

$$N = \text{Norm}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{f}_{\bar{1}}) \cong T \times \Sigma_n.$$

Since N acts on $f_{\bar{1}}$, it acts on $S^{\bullet}(f_{\bar{1}}^*)$ by ring automorphisms.

Theorem (BKN)

$$\text{res} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{f})}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})^N \cong S^{\bullet}(f_{\bar{1}}^*)^N$$

Theorem (Lehrer-Nakano-Zhang)

For any $M, N \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\text{res} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})}^{\bullet}(M, N) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{f})}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Theorem (BKN)

Let $\mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Then there is an explicit homeomorphism

$$\text{Proj}(N - \text{Spec}(S^\bullet(f_{\bar{1}}^*))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$$

Theorem (BKN)

Let $\mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Then there is an explicit homeomorphism

$$\text{Proj}(N - \text{Spec}(S^\bullet(f_{\bar{1}}^*))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$$

If N is a group acting on a commutative ring R , then:

What is $N - \text{Spec}(R)$?

Since N acts on R , N also acts on $X := \text{Spec}(R)$. We then have three natural spaces and maps between them:

$$X \rightarrow X/N := \{\text{N-orbits in } X\} \rightarrow X//N := \text{Spec}(R^N)$$

Since N acts on R , N also acts on $X := \text{Spec}(R)$. We then have three natural spaces and maps between them:

$$X \rightarrow X/N := \{\text{N-orbits in } X\} \rightarrow X//N := \text{Spec}(R^N)$$

$$N - \text{Spec}(R) := \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in N} nP \mid P \in \text{Spec}(R) \right\}$$

Since N acts on R , N also acts on $X := \text{Spec}(R)$. We then have three natural spaces and maps between them:

$$X \rightarrow X/N := \{\text{N-orbits in } X\} \rightarrow X//N := \text{Spec}(R^N)$$

$$N - \text{Spec}(R) := \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in N} nP \mid P \in \text{Spec}(R) \right\}$$

Lemma

$$X \rightarrow X/N \rightarrow N - \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X//N$$

Theorem

There is a bijection between the closed sets of $N - \text{Spec}(R)$ and the N -stable closed sets of $\text{Spec}(R)$.

The proof

Key Theorem (Dell'Ambrogio, Pevtsova-Smith, BKN)

Let \mathbf{K} be a compactly generated TTC with set-indexed coproducts. Let X be a Zariski space and let

$V : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow$ Subsets of X such that:

- 1 $V(0) = \emptyset, V(\mathbb{1}) = X;$
- 2 $V(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(M_i);$
- 3 $V(\Sigma M) = V(M);$
- 4 for any distinguished triangle $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \Sigma M$ we have

$$V(N) \subseteq V(M) \cup V(Q);$$

- 5 $V(M \otimes N) = V(M) \cap V(N);$
- 6 $V(M) = V(M^*)$ is closed for $M \in \mathbf{K}^c.$

Key Theorem, cont.

- 7 $V(M) = \emptyset$ if and only if $M = 0$;
(Projectivity Testing Property)
- 8 for any closed $W \subseteq X$ there exists an $M \in \mathbf{K}$ such that
 $V(M) = W$.
(Realization Property).

Then $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$ given by the universal property:

$$f(x) = \{M \in \mathbf{K}^c \mid x \notin V(M)\}$$

is a homeomorphism.

Key Theorem, cont.

- 7 $V(M) = \emptyset$ if and only if $M = 0$;
(Projectivity Testing Property)
- 8 for any closed $W \subseteq X$ there exists an $M \in \mathbf{K}$ such that
 $V(M) = W$.
(Realization Property).

Then $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spc}(\mathbf{K}^c)$ given by the universal property:

$$f(x) = \{M \in \mathbf{K}^c \mid x \notin V(M)\}$$

is a homeomorphism.

For $\mathbf{K}^c = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}))$:

$$V(M) := \mathcal{V}_f(M),$$

the f -support variety.