

On p -permutation bimodules and equivalences between blocks of group algebras

Robert Boltje
(joint work with Philipp Perepelitsky)

University of California, Santa Cruz

AMS Sectional Meeting
San Francisco State University
October 25–26, 2014

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Conjecture (Broué \sim 1988) Let $A \in BI(FG)$ with abelian defect group D , set $H := N_G(D)$ and let $B \in BI(FH)$ be the Brauer correspondent of A . Then A and B are derived equivalent.

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Conjecture (Broué ~1988) Let $A \in BI(FG)$ with abelian defect group D , set $H := N_G(D)$ and let $B \in BI(FH)$ be the Brauer correspondent of A . Then A and B are derived equivalent.

Strengthening (Rickard 1996) Equivalence can be given by a bounded chain complex of p -permutation bimodules whose indecomposable direct summands have vertices contained in $\Delta(D) := \{(x, x) \mid x \in D\}$.

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Conjecture (Broué ~1988) Let $A \in BI(FG)$ with abelian defect group D , set $H := N_G(D)$ and let $B \in BI(FH)$ be the Brauer correspondent of A . Then A and B are derived equivalent.

Strengthening (Rickard 1996) Equivalence can be given by a bounded chain complex of p -permutation bimodules whose indecomposable direct summands have vertices contained in $\Delta(D) := \{(x, x) \mid x \in D\}$.

Here, $M \in FG\text{mod}$ is called a *p -permutation module* if $\text{Res}_P^G(M)$ is a permutation module for all p -subgroups $P \leq G$

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Conjecture (Broué ~1988) Let $A \in BI(FG)$ with abelian defect group D , set $H := N_G(D)$ and let $B \in BI(FH)$ be the Brauer correspondent of A . Then A and B are derived equivalent.

Strengthening (Rickard 1996) Equivalence can be given by a bounded chain complex of p -permutation bimodules whose indecomposable direct summands have vertices contained in $\Delta(D) := \{(x, x) \mid x \in D\}$.

Here, $M \in FG\text{mod}$ is called a *p -permutation module* if $\text{Res}_P^G(M)$ is a permutation module for all p -subgroups $P \leq G$
($\iff M$ is a direct summand of a permutation module

1. Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Throughout: F algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Conjecture (Broué ~1988) Let $A \in BI(FG)$ with abelian defect group D , set $H := N_G(D)$ and let $B \in BI(FH)$ be the Brauer correspondent of A . Then A and B are derived equivalent.

Strengthening (Rickard 1996) Equivalence can be given by a bounded chain complex of p -permutation bimodules whose indecomposable direct summands have vertices contained in $\Delta(D) := \{(x, x) \mid x \in D\}$.

Here, $M \in FG\text{mod}$ is called a *p -permutation module* if $\text{Res}_P^G(M)$ is a permutation module for all p -subgroups $P \leq G$
($\iff M$ is a direct summand of a permutation module
 \iff each indecomposable direct summand of M has trivial source.)

2. $T^\Delta(A, B)$

Let G, H be arbitrary finite groups and $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$.

2. $T^\Delta(A, B)$

Let G, H be arbitrary finite groups and $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$.

- $T^\Delta(A, B)$:= Grothendieck group, w.r.t. \oplus , of the category of p -permutation (A, B) -bimodules, all of whose indecomposable direct summands have twisted diagonal vertices.

2. $T^\Delta(A, B)$

Let G, H be arbitrary finite groups and $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$.

- $T^\Delta(A, B)$:= Grothendieck group, w.r.t. \oplus , of the category of p -permutation (A, B) -bimodules, all of whose indecomposable direct summands have twisted diagonal vertices.
- Here, a *twisted diagonal subgroup* of $G \times H$ is a subgroup of the form

$$\Delta(P, \alpha, Q) := \{(\alpha(y), y) \mid y \in Q\},$$

where $G \geq P \overset{\alpha}{\sim} Q \leq H$.

2. $T^\Delta(A, B)$

Let G, H be arbitrary finite groups and $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$.

- $T^\Delta(A, B) :=$ Grothendieck group, w.r.t. \oplus , of the category of p -permutation (A, B) -bimodules, all of whose indecomposable direct summands have twisted diagonal vertices.
- Here, a *twisted diagonal subgroup* of $G \times H$ is a subgroup of the form

$$\Delta(P, \alpha, Q) := \{(\alpha(y), y) \mid y \in Q\},$$

where $G \geq P \overset{\alpha}{\leftarrow} Q \leq H$.

- \mathbb{Z} -basis of $T^\Delta(A, B)$: Isomorphism classes $[M]$ of indecomposable p -permutation (A, B) -bimodules M with twisted diagonal vertices.

3. Brauer construction

3. Brauer construction

Let $P \leq G$ be a p -subgroup. There exists a functor

$$FG\text{mod} \rightarrow F[N_G(P)/P]\text{mod}, \quad M \mapsto M(P),$$

where

$$M(P) := M^P / \sum_{Q < P} \text{tr}_Q^P(M^Q), \quad (\text{tr}_Q^P: M^Q \rightarrow M^P, m \mapsto \sum_{x \in P/Q} xm).$$

3. Brauer construction

Let $P \leq G$ be a p -subgroup. There exists a functor

$$FG\text{mod} \rightarrow F[N_G(P)/P]\text{mod}, \quad M \mapsto M(P),$$

where

$$M(P) := M^P / \sum_{Q < P} \text{tr}_Q^P(M^Q), \quad (\text{tr}_Q^P: M^Q \rightarrow M^P, m \mapsto \sum_{x \in P/Q} xm).$$

If $M = F[X]$ for a G -set X , then

$$F[X^P] \hookrightarrow M^P \twoheadrightarrow M(P)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, if M is a p -permutation module then $M(P)$ is a p -permutation module.

4. Fixed points of tensor products of bisets

4. Fixed points of tensor products of bisets

Theorem (B.-Danz, 2012) Let G, H, K be finite groups, let ${}_G X_H$ and ${}_H Y_K$ be bifree bisets, and let $\Delta(U, \varphi, W) \leq G \times K$ be a twisted diagonal subgroup. Then the canonical map

$$\coprod_{U \xleftarrow{\alpha} V \xleftarrow{\beta} W} X^{\Delta(U, \alpha, V)} \times_{C_H(V)} Y^{\Delta(V, \beta, W)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \times_H Y)^{\Delta(U, \varphi, W)}$$

is a $(C_G(U), C_K(W))$ -biset isomorphism. Here, $U \xleftarrow{\alpha} V \xleftarrow{\beta} W$ runs through all factorizations of φ through H , up to H -conjugation.

4. Fixed points of tensor products of bisets

Theorem (B.-Danz, 2012) Let G, H, K be finite groups, let ${}_G X_H$ and ${}_H Y_K$ be bifree bisets, and let $\Delta(U, \varphi, W) \leq G \times K$ be a twisted diagonal subgroup. Then the canonical map

$$\coprod_{U \xleftarrow{\alpha} V \xleftarrow{\beta} W} X^{\Delta(U, \alpha, V)} \times_{C_H(V)} Y^{\Delta(V, \beta, W)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \times_H Y)^{\Delta(U, \varphi, W)}$$

is a $(C_G(U), C_K(W))$ -biset isomorphism. Here, $U \xleftarrow{\alpha} V \xleftarrow{\beta} W$ runs through all factorizations of φ through H , up to H -conjugation.

Corollary Formula for $(M \otimes_{FH} N)(\Delta(P, \varphi, Q))$, for p -permutation bimodules M and N with twisted diagonal vertices.

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

Let $X \leq G \times H$. Then

$$k_1(X) \times k_2(X) \leq X \leq p_1(X) \times p_2(X) \leq G \times H,$$

where

$$k_1(X) := \{g \in G \mid (g, 1) \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(X) := \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in X\}.$$

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

Let $X \leq G \times H$. Then

$$k_1(X) \times k_2(X) \leq X \leq p_1(X) \times p_2(X) \leq G \times H,$$

where

$$k_1(X) := \{g \in G \mid (g, 1) \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(X) := \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in X\}.$$

Additionally, let $Y \leq H \times K$, $M \in F_X \text{mod}$, $N \in F_Y \text{mod}$. Then

$$M \in F_{[k_1(X)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(X)]}} \quad \text{and} \quad N \in F_{[k_1(Y)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(Y)]}},$$

via restriction.

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

Let $X \leq G \times H$. Then

$$k_1(X) \times k_2(X) \leq X \leq p_1(X) \times p_2(X) \leq G \times H,$$

where

$$k_1(X) := \{g \in G \mid (g, 1) \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(X) := \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in X\}.$$

Additionally, let $Y \leq H \times K$, $M \in F_X \text{mod}$, $N \in F_Y \text{mod}$. Then

$$M \in F_{[k_1(X)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(X)]}} \quad \text{and} \quad N \in F_{[k_1(Y)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(Y)]}},$$

via restriction. Thus, one can form the tensor product

$$M \otimes_{F_{[k_2(X) \cap k_1(Y)]}} N \in F_{[k_1(X)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(Y)]}}.$$

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

Let $X \leq G \times H$. Then

$$k_1(X) \times k_2(X) \leq X \leq p_1(X) \times p_2(X) \leq G \times H,$$

where

$$k_1(X) := \{g \in G \mid (g, 1) \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(X) := \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in X\}.$$

Additionally, let $Y \leq H \times K$, $M \in {}_{FX}\text{mod}$, $N \in {}_{FY}\text{mod}$. Then

$$M \in {}_{F[k_1(X)]}\text{mod}{}_{F[k_2(X)]} \quad \text{and} \quad N \in {}_{F[k_1(Y)]}\text{mod}{}_{F[k_2(Y)]},$$

via restriction. Thus, one can form the tensor product

$$M \otimes_{{}_{F[k_2(X) \cap k_1(Y)]}} N \in {}_{F[k_1(X)]}\text{mod}{}_{F[k_2(Y)]}.$$

This module structure has an extension to the group

$$X * Y := \{(g, k) \in G \times K \mid \exists h \in H: (g, h) \in X, (h, k) \in Y\} \leq G \times K.$$

5. Generalized tensor products of bimodules

Let $X \leq G \times H$. Then

$$k_1(X) \times k_2(X) \leq X \leq p_1(X) \times p_2(X) \leq G \times H,$$

where

$$k_1(X) := \{g \in G \mid (g, 1) \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(X) := \{h \in H \mid (1, h) \in X\}.$$

Additionally, let $Y \leq H \times K$, $M \in F_X \text{mod}$, $N \in F_Y \text{mod}$. Then

$$M \in F_{[k_1(X)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(X)]}} \quad \text{and} \quad N \in F_{[k_1(Y)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(Y)]}},$$

via restriction. Thus, one can form the tensor product

$$M \otimes_{F_{[k_2(X) \cap k_1(Y)]}} N \in F_{[k_1(X)]} \text{mod}_{F_{[k_2(Y)]}}.$$

This module structure has an extension to the group

$$X * Y := \{(g, k) \in G \times K \mid \exists h \in H: (g, h) \in X, (h, k) \in Y\} \leq G \times K.$$

Obtain a functor $F_X \text{mod} \times F_Y \text{mod} \longrightarrow F_{[X * Y]} \text{mod}$.

6. Main Theorem

Theorem (B.-Perepelitsky 2013) Let G and H be finite groups, $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, and $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$.

6. Main Theorem

Theorem (B.-Perepelitsky 2013) Let G and H be finite groups, $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, and $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$. Suppose that $\gamma \in T^\Delta(A, B)$ satisfies

$$\gamma \cdot_B \gamma^\circ = [A] \in T^\Delta(A, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^\circ \cdot_A \gamma = [B] \in T^\Delta(B, B),$$

i.e., γ is a *p-permutation equivalence* between A and B . Then:

6. Main Theorem

Theorem (B.-Perepelitsky 2013) Let G and H be finite groups, $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, and $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$. Suppose that $\gamma \in T^\Delta(A, B)$ satisfies

$$\gamma \cdot_B \gamma^\circ = [A] \in T^\Delta(A, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^\circ \cdot_A \gamma = [B] \in T^\Delta(B, B),$$

i.e., γ is a *p-permutation equivalence* between A and B . Then:

(a) There exists a unique constituent $[M]$ of γ with vertex of the form $\Delta(D, \varphi, E)$, where D and E are *defect groups* of A and B . Moreover, M has multiplicity ± 1 . We call M the *maximal module* of γ .

6. Main Theorem

Theorem (B.-Perepelitsky 2013) Let G and H be finite groups, $A \in \text{Bl}(FG)$, and $B \in \text{Bl}(FH)$. Suppose that $\gamma \in T^\Delta(A, B)$ satisfies

$$\gamma \cdot_B \gamma^\circ = [A] \in T^\Delta(A, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^\circ \cdot_A \gamma = [B] \in T^\Delta(B, B),$$

i.e., γ is a *p-permutation equivalence* between A and B . Then:

- (a) There exists a unique constituent $[M]$ of γ with vertex of the form $\Delta(D, \varphi, E)$, where D and E are *defect groups* of A and B . Moreover, M has multiplicity ± 1 . We call M the *maximal module* of γ .
- (b) Every constituent of γ has a vertex contained in $\Delta(D, \varphi, E)$. (*Uniformity*)

(c) Let (D, e) and (E, f) be maximal Brauer pairs of A and B , respectively, such that

$$e \cdot \gamma(\Delta(D, \varphi, E)) \cdot f \neq 0.$$

Then, $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ is an isomorphism between the associated *fusion systems*.

(c) Let (D, e) and (E, f) be maximal Brauer pairs of A and B , respectively, such that

$$e \cdot \gamma(\Delta(D, \varphi, E)) \cdot f \neq 0.$$

Then, $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ is an isomorphism between the associated *fusion systems*.

(d) The *Brauer correspondents* $a \in \text{Bl}(F[N_G(D)])$ of A and $b \in \text{Bl}(F[N_H(E)])$ of B are Morita equivalent via the p -permutation bimodule

$$\text{Ind}_{\dots}^{N_G(D) \times N_H(E)}(e \cdot M(\Delta(D, \varphi, E)) \cdot f)$$

(e) If $(P, e_P) \leftrightarrow (Q, f_Q)$ are corresponding Brauer pairs of A and B , then

$$e_P \cdot \gamma(\Delta(P, \varphi, Q)) \cdot f_Q \in T^\Delta(FC_G(P)e_P, FC_H(Q)f_Q)$$

is again a p -permutation equivalence. ([Isotopy](#))

(e) If $(P, e_P) \leftrightarrow (Q, f_Q)$ are corresponding Brauer pairs of A and B , then

$$e_P \cdot \gamma(\Delta(P, \varphi, Q)) \cdot f_Q \in T^\Delta(FC_G(P)e_P, FC_H(Q)f_Q)$$

is again a p -permutation equivalence. (*Isotopy*)

(f) If $(P, e_P) \leftrightarrow (Q, f_Q)$ are corresponding self-centralizing Brauer pairs of A and B , then the associated *Külshammer-Puig 2-cocycles* on $N_G(P, e_P)/PC_G(P)$ and $N_H(Q, f_Q)/QC_H(Q)$ "coincide via φ ".

(e) If $(P, e_P) \leftrightarrow (Q, f_Q)$ are corresponding Brauer pairs of A and B , then

$$e_P \cdot \gamma(\Delta(P, \varphi, Q)) \cdot f_Q \in T^\Delta(FC_G(P)e_P, FC_H(Q)f_Q)$$

is again a p -permutation equivalence. (*Isotopy*)

(f) If $(P, e_P) \leftrightarrow (Q, f_Q)$ are corresponding self-centralizing Brauer pairs of A and B , then the associated *Külshammer-Puig 2-cocycles* on $N_G(P, e_P)/PC_G(P)$ and $N_H(Q, f_Q)/QC_H(Q)$ "coincide via φ ".

(g) The group of *p -permutation auto-equivalences* of A is finite.

Thank you!