

## Voisin - Schiffer variations of hypersurfaces and the generic Torelli theorem

Created: 19-Apr-20 6:03 PM

Generic Torelli theorem for hypersurfaces via Schiffer variation

Voisin The meaning of generic Torelli thm:  $X \in \mathbb{P}^n$  very general hypersurface &  $X'$  another hypersurface  $H_{pr}^{h-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow[\text{Hodge isom}]{\cong} H_{pr}^{h-1}(X', \mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow X = X'?$

Rmk. Weaker than Torelli i.e. period map is <sup>only</sup> generically one to one.

② Stronger: isom is with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coeff not with  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Ceg - for curves Torelli is wrong with  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

③ Usually for period map one needs polarised H here no polarisation needed.

except for  $(d, n) = (3, 3)$  polarisation of  $H_{pr}^{h-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is unique. So it has to preserve polarisation.

④ Consider set of  $S = \{ (d, n) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0} \mid \exists \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \text{ s.t. } H_{pr}^{h-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{pr}^{h-1}(X', \mathbb{Q}) \}$  set is a Hodge cube  $\Rightarrow$  Deligne-Cattani-Karler

$S = \cup$  closed subsets -  
cont.

$V_{d,n} =$  universal family.

1983: Thm (Donagi): The generic Torelli thm holds if  $(d, n) \neq (3, 3)$  (It doesn't vary but we have a full moduli) with possible exclusion

①  $d \mid n+1$       ②  $d = 4$      $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

③  $d \geq 6$      $n+1 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$

Thm (Voisin): Out of Donagi's leftover cases there

are only finitely many exceptions to the generic Torelli thm

$\swarrow$  v. general hypersurface

Where does Donagi fail? Suppose that  $X, X'$  some other

have  $H_{pr}^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{pr}^{n-1}(X', \mathbb{Q})$   $\begin{matrix} o \in U_{d,n} & X_0 = X \\ o' \in U_{d,n} & X_0' = X' \end{matrix}$

Consider  $\Gamma_{\varphi} = \left\{ (t, t') \in U_{d,n} \times U_{d,n} \mid \begin{matrix} t \text{ near } o \\ t' \text{ near } o' \end{matrix} \mid \varphi: H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_t, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_{t'}, \mathbb{Q}) \right\}$

local hol. isom.  $\swarrow$   $\searrow$  local hol. isom.

$x \in U$   
"general"

when  $(d, n) \neq (3, 3)$

period map is loc. isom.

$\Rightarrow \exists o \in U \subset U_{d,n} + \text{a local hol. isom.}$   
 $o' \in U' \subset U_{d,n}$

$\forall t \in U \quad \varphi: H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_t, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_{t'}, \mathbb{Q})$  isom of HS

$\sim \gamma: U \rightarrow V$   
 $t \mapsto t'$

$\gamma_*: T_{U, o} \rightarrow T_{V, o'}$

$d(\text{Period}) \rightarrow dP \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_0), H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_0')) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_0), H_{pr}^{n-1}(X_0'))$

$S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_d]$

$X_0 = (f=0)$

$R_f^* = S^*/J_f^*$

Crit. filter residue:

$R_f^{(1)} \cong R^{(1)} \cong H^{n-2, n-1}(X_f)_{pr}$

$T_{U, d, h, 0} \xrightarrow{dP} \text{Hom}(H_{pr}^{n-1}, H_{pr}^{n-1})$   
"commutes up to scalar"  $\rightarrow$   $\text{Hom}(R^{(1)}, R^{(1)})$   
 $\circlearrowleft$   $R_f^d \xrightarrow{\text{Kod. Spencer}} \text{Hom}(R^{(1)}, R^{(1)})$

except in the exceptional dimorddeg  $f$  can be reconstructed from  $\circlearrowleft$ .

More precisely, the trick of Donagi is that

in  $\circlearrowleft$  we don't have full Jacobian ring.

but he says  $\circlearrowleft$  determines the whole

Jacobian ring. Therefore  $R_f^* \cong R_{f'}^*$ .  $X' = (f'=0)$   
 $X = (f=0)$

$\Rightarrow f \cong f'$  under  $GL(n+1)$

$R_f^* = S^d \rightarrow R_f^* \rightarrow S^d \rightarrow R_f^d$   
 $\left[ \begin{matrix} J_f^d \\ f \end{matrix} \right]$

$$\text{Set } \circ \rightarrow J_f^d \rightarrow S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f^d \rightarrow \circ$$

$$\circ \rightarrow J_f^d \rightarrow S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f^d \rightarrow \circ$$

Mather-Yau If you have two hypersurfaces with  $J_f = J_{f'}$   
 then  $f \in f'(GL^{(n+1)})$ . If  $f$  is generic  
 $f = \lambda f' \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .



Why  $d \nmid n+1$  is a problem?

Donagi uses symmetrizer lemma  $\leadsto$  allows to

reconstruct mult. in  $R_f^*$  from

$$\mathbb{P}_f^d \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R_f^{(d)}, R_f^{(d+1)})$$

when  $d \nmid n+1$

symmetrizer lemma doesn't bring new info

Hodge theory (IVHS of  $X_f$ ) gives  $R_f^{*d}$ .

Main new idea: Schiffer variations for  $S^d$ .

is a one param family  $f + \lambda x$   
 with  $x \in S^1$  a linear form.

Why schiffer? For curve  $C$  its a deformation  
 of  $C$  supp at a pt  $p$ .

$$\text{ic-} P(H^1(C, T_C)) = P(H^0(C, 2K_C)^{\vee})$$

$$\uparrow \text{These are schiffer} \quad [H_p = H^0(C, 2K_C - p)]$$

Note, before: are supp at  $p$ .

First order Schiffer variations:  $\text{all } x^d \in R_f^d$

lemma:  $f$  is generic  $d \gg 0$ . Then the set of first  
 order Schiffer variations determines  $f$ .

proof: First order:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^d & \rightarrow & R_f^d \\ \downarrow x^d & & \downarrow x^d \\ x^d & \rightarrow & x^d \end{array} \quad \text{induces}$$

$$P(R_f^d) \xleftarrow{\text{incomplete Veronese}} P(S^d)$$

Get  $f$  by Mather-Yau thm.  $L_W^{i+1}(\mathcal{O}(1))$

Def<sup>n</sup>: complete embedding  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^b$

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(W, L_W)$$

Proof of main thm: Characterise schiffer variation via Hodge theory.

Part (1):  $\varphi = x^d \in R_f^d$ .  $R_f^{d+k}$  artinian ring

Say  $I_k^{*d} \subset R_f^{*d}$

ii  
ideal generated by  $x^k$ .

$$\dim I_k^{*d} = \dim R_f^{*d-k} \quad \text{for } * \leq 3, k \leq d$$

have,  $I_k^{*d} \cdot I_{d-k}^{*d} \subset R_f^{*d}$ .

In part.  $I_{d-1}^{*d} = \langle x^{d+1} \rangle$

Part (2)  
Lemma: Along schiffer variation  $R_f^{*d} / I_{d-1}^{*d}$  is const.

proof:  $f_t = f + tx^d \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \pmod{x^{d+1}}$   $\square$

+ Deform of IVHS. (second order)

Prop<sup>n</sup>: If  $f$  is generic &  $d \gg 0$  then (1) + (2) characterise schiffer variation

Qn Where is  $d \gg 0$  needed.

Ans.  $\chi^d: \mathbb{P}_f^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_f^{2d}$  I want this to be injective for  $f$  generic. (need this for Part (1)).

eg. This needs  $d > \frac{(n+1)(d+1)}{2}$  when

$f =$  Fermat polynomial  $= \sum x_i^d$

$$\mathbb{P}_f^* = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) / \mathbb{C}(x_i^{d+1}) \cong H^2(\mathbb{P}^{d-2}, \mathcal{O}(n))$$

Qn. Counterexample to Torelli for hypersurface.

Ans. Not that's known. No idea.