



# What determines a variety?

**János Kollár**

with Max Lieblich, Martin Olsson and Will Sawin


April, 2020

Menelaus's theorem, ~ 70-140 AD



$$\frac{AF}{FB} \times \frac{BD}{DC} \times \frac{CE}{EA} = -1.$$

## Karl Georg Christian von Staudt, 1798–1867



## Veblen-Young theorem (1908)

Given a projective geometry  $\mathbf{P}$ =(points, lines) of dim.  $n \geq 2$   
(with very few axioms),  
there is a unique field  $K$  such that  $\mathbf{P} \cong K\mathbb{P}^n$ .



Recall: a scheme  $X$  is a

- topological space  $|X|$ , and a
- sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on the open subsets of  $|X|$ .

### Main question

- How to read off properties of  $X$  from  $|X|$ ?
- Does  $|X|$  alone determine  $X$ ?

Example:  $\dim X =$  Krull dimension of  $|X|$ .

## Negative results — easy ones

- For curves  $C_K$ , we see only the cardinality of  $K$ .
- Normalization is frequently homeomorphism.
- Purely inseparable maps are homeomorphisms.
- If  $K/L$  finite, then any  $K$ -variety  $X$  can be viewed as an  $L$ -variety with the same  $|X|$ . To fix this:
  - maximal choice  $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , equivalently
  - $X$  is geometrically irreducible over  $K$ .

## Negative results — surprising ones

– (Wiegand–Krauter, 1981)  $|\mathbb{P}_F^2|$  same for all finite fields.

– (K.- Mangolte, 2009)

$S_1, S_2$ : blow-up of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in same number of points. Then

every Euclidean-homeo  $\Phi : S_1(\mathbb{R}) \sim S_2(\mathbb{R})$

can be approximated by  $\Psi : S_1(\mathbb{R}) \sim S_2(\mathbb{R})$  that are

**both** Euclidean and Zariski homeomorphisms.

Holds for  $C^0$  and  $C^\infty$ -approximations.



## Theorem (Topology determines sheaf theory)

- $K, L$  fields of char. 0,
- $X_K, Y_L$  normal, projective, geom. irred. varieties,
- $|X_K| \sim |Y_L|$  homeomorphism.

Assume

- 1 either  $\dim X \geq 4$ ,
- 2 or  $\dim X \geq 3$  and  $K, L$  are finitely generated  $/\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then  $K \cong L$  and  $X_K \cong Y_L$ .

Will outline the proof of a simpler theorem, its proof has the same basic ideas.



## Theorem (Topology determines projective space)

- $\text{char}L = 0$ ,  $K$  arbitrary,
- $Y_L$  normal, projective, geom. irreducible of dimension  $n \geq 2$
- $|\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |Y_L|$  a homeomorphism. Then
  - 1  $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^n$  and
  - 2  $K \cong L$ .

## Scip = set-theoretic complete intersection property

$X$  variety,  $Z \subset X$  closed subset.

- a divisor  $D_Z \subset Z$  is SCI iff  $D_Z = \text{Supp}(D_X \cap Z)$   
for some divisor  $D_X$ .
- $Z$  irreducible: **scip** iff every divisor  $D_Z \subset Z$  is SCI.
- $Z = \cup Z_i$  reducible: **scip** iff  $\cup_i D_{Z_i}$  is SCI for  
all divisors  $\emptyset \neq D_{Z_i} \subset Z_i$
- $Z$  is **generically scip** iff there is a finite set  $\Sigma \subset X$   
such that scip holds if
  - $D_Z \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$  (makes it easier)
  - then also  $D_X \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$  (makes it harder).

## Algebraic geometry lemma I

**Lemma 1.** [Zero sets determine section]  $Z$  variety,  $L$  line bundle,  $s_i \in H^0(Z, L^{n_i})$ . Equivalent

- 1  $s_1^{m_1} = u \cdot s_2^{m_2}$  for some  $u \in k[Z]^\times$ ,
- 2  $\text{Supp}(s_1 = 0) = \text{Supp}(s_2 = 0)$ ,

provided:

- 3 zero set is irreducible, and
- 4 either  $Z$  normal or zero set is disjoint from a certain finite  $\Sigma(Z) \subset Z$ .

Irreducibility can be guaranteed if

- $\dim Z \geq 2$  (by Bertini),
- $\dim Z \geq 1$  and  $k$  is finitely generated (by Hilbert).

## Algebraic geometry lemma II

**Lemma 2.** (Boissière-Gabber-Serman) If  $X$  normal, there is a finite  $\Sigma^{\text{ncar}} \subset X$  such that every divisor disjoint from  $\Sigma^{\text{ncar}}$  is Cartier.

## Homework I

**HW 1.**  $\dim X \geq 2$ , quasi-proj  $k$ -variety. Equivalent:

- Every irreducible curve  $C \subset X$  is scip.
- $k$  is locally finite (=algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ).

**HW 2.**  $L_1, L_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  linear spaces, meeting at a point.

- Then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is generically scip.
- (line)  $\cup$  (conic)  $\subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is **not** generically scip.

### Key observation

$D \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  divisor,  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  geom connected curve.

Assume  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then  $D \cup C$  is generically scip iff

- $D =$  hyperplane and  $C =$  line.

## First special case of Theorem 2

**Corollary.** Assume  $\text{char}K = 0$ . Then  $|\mathbb{P}_L^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$  iff  $K \cong L$ .

Proof. Pick  $\Phi : |\mathbb{P}_L^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$ .  $H_L \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$  hyperplane,  $\ell_L \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$ .

$\Rightarrow H_L \cup \ell_L$  is generically scip.

$\Rightarrow \Phi(H_L) \cup \Phi(\ell_L)$  is generically scip.

$\Rightarrow \Phi(H_L) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$  is a hyperplane.

$\Rightarrow \Phi(\text{linear space}) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$  is a linear space.

Finish by Veblen-Young.

## Key observation, statement

### Proposition

$X$  normal, projective,  $\rho(X) = 1$ ,  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

$Z, W \subset X$  irreducible,  $\dim(Z \cap W) = 0$ .

Assume that  $Z \cup W$  is generically scip. Then

- 1  $Z \cap W$  is reduced and
- 2 either  $k[Z \cap W] = k[Z]$  or  $k[Z \cap W] = k[W]$ .

If  $Z, W$  are set-theoretic complete intersections then

- 3  $Z \cap W$  is a  $k$ -point.

## Key observation, proof I

Choose  $L$  ample such that

$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(Z \cap W, L_{Z \cap W})$  is surjective.

Choose general  $s_Z, s_W \in H^0(X, L)$ , set

$\text{Supp}(s_Z|_Z = 0) = \cup_i A_i$  and  $\text{Supp}(s_W|_W = 0) = \cup_j B_j$ .

Generically scip  $\Rightarrow \exists$  Cartier  $D_{ij} \subset X$  such that

$\text{Supp}(D_{ij}|_{Z \cup W}) = A_i + B_j$  (multiplicities = ?)

Linear algebra  $\Rightarrow \exists D$  such that

$D|_Z = m_Z(s_Z|_Z = 0)$  and  $D|_W = m_W(s_W|_W = 0)$ .

Since  $\rho(X) = 1$ ,  $D = (s = 0)$  for  $s \in H^0(X, L^m)$ . By Lem 1

$$\begin{aligned} s^r|_Z &= u_Z \cdot s_Z^m|_Z && \text{for some } u_Z \in k[Z]^\times, \\ s^r|_W &= u_W \cdot s_W^m|_W && \text{for some } u_W \in k[W]^\times. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$



## Key observation, proof II

$$\begin{aligned} s^r|_Z &= u_Z \cdot s_Z^m|_Z && \text{for some } u_Z \in k[Z]^\times, \\ s^r|_W &= u_W \cdot s_W^m|_W && \text{for some } u_W \in k[W]^\times, \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

hence  $(s_Z/s_W)^m|_{Z \cap W} = u_W|_{Z \cap W} \cdot u_Z^{-1}|_{Z \cap W}$  is in

$$\text{image of: } k[W]^\times \times k[Z]^\times \rightarrow k[Z \cap W]^\times.$$

We can arrange  $s_Z/s_W$  to be an arbitrary element of  $k[Z \cap W]^\times$ , hence

$$k[Z \cap W]^\times / k[W]^\times \times k[Z]^\times \text{ is a torsion group.}$$

## Key observation, proof III

$k[Z \cap W]^\times / k[W]^\times \times k[Z]^\times$  is a torsion group.

Apply next to  $A = k[Z \cap W]$ ,  $L_1 = k[W]$ ,  $L_2 = k[Z]$ .

**HW 3, Algebra lemma.**  $A$  Artin  $k$ -algebra,  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

$L_1, L_2 \subset A$  subfields. Equivalent

- 1  $A^\times / L_1^\times \cdot L_2^\times$  is torsion,
- 2  $A^\times / L_1^\times \cdot L_2^\times$  has finite rank,
- 3 either  $A = L_1$  or  $A = L_2$ .

**Note.** Key case:  $A$  is a field.

I would like to see a simple proof.

## Topology determines $\mathbb{P}^n$ , proof

Recall Thm: If  $\Phi : |\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |Y_L|$  homeomorphism, then  
 $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^n$  and  $K \cong L$ .

Assume:  $\rho(Y) = 1$ .

Pick  $H \cup \ell \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$  generically scip.

$\Rightarrow \Phi(H) \cup \Phi(\ell) \subset Y_L$  generically scip,

$\Rightarrow (\Phi(H) \cdot \Phi(\ell)) = 1$ ,

$\Rightarrow \{\Phi(H) : H \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|\}$  is a linear system.

(Needs more argument, mainly if  $\rho(Y) > 1$ .)

$\Rightarrow$  It gives  $Y_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^n$ .

We already proved that then  $K \cong L$ . □

**HW 4.**  $K$  perfect, infinite and  $\Phi : |\mathbb{P}_K^n| \sim |\mathbb{P}_K^n|$  homeo.

If  $\Phi$  identity on  $K$ -points, then identity.

$\sim$  = linear equivalence

$\sim_s$  = linear similarity:  $m_1 D_1 \sim m_2 D_2$  for some  $m_1, m_2 \neq 0$ .

$\sim_{sa}$  = linear similarity +  $D_1, D_2$  ample and irreducible.

## Main steps of the proof of Theorem 1

**Step 1.**  $|X|$  determines  $\sim_{sa}$ .

**Step 2.**  $(|X|, \sim_{sa})$  determines  $\sim$ .

**Step 3.** (Lieblich–Olsson)  $(|X|, \sim)$  determines  $X$ .

## Toward Step 1: Ampleness criterion

**HW 5.**  $X$  normal, projective,  $\dim X \geq 3$ .

Then an irreducible divisor  $H$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and ample iff

(\*) For every divisor  $D \subset X$  and closed points  $p, q \in X \setminus D$ , there is a divisor  $H(p, q) \subset X$  such that

- 1  $H \cap D = H(p, q) \cap D$ ,
- 2  $p \notin H(p, q)$  and  $q \in H(p, q)$ .

## Toward Step 1: Linear similarity

**HW 6.**  $X$  normal, projective,  $\dim X \geq 3$ ,  
 $H_1, H_2$  irreducible,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, ample.

Then  $H_1 \sim_{sa} H_2$  iff

(\*) Let  $C_1, C_2 \subset X$  be any 2 disjoint, irred curves.  
Then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, ample  $H'$  such that  
 $\text{Supp}(H' \cap C_i) = \text{Supp}(H_i \cap C_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Toward Step 2: Linking = Liaison

Variant of scip. Fix  $L$  ample.

**Defn.**  $L$ -linking is free on  $Z \cup W$  if

given  $H_Z \sim_{\text{sa}} L$ ,  $H_W \sim_{\text{sa}} L$ , there is  $H \sim_{\text{sa}} L$  such that

$$H \cap (Z \cup W) = (H_Z \cap Z) \cup (H_W \cap W).$$

## Toward Step 2: Residue fields of points.

### Proposition

$\dim X \geq 4$  and  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

For  $p, q \in X$  equivalent:

- 1 There is a  $k(p) \hookrightarrow k(q)$ .
- 2 There are irreducible subvarieties  $Z, W$  such that
  - 1  $\dim Z = 1, \dim W = 2$ ,
  - 2  $\text{Supp}(Z \cap W) = \{p\}$ ,
  - 3  $q \in Z$ ,
  - 4  $W$  is SCI, and
  - 5  $L$ -linking is free on  $Z \cup W$ .



## Toward Step 2: Isomorphism of zero-cycles

### Corollary

$(|X|, \sim_{\text{sa}})$  determines isomorphism of  
*0-dimensional reduced subschemes.*

**HW 7.**  $X$  normal,  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $Z$  a zero-cycle of degree 0.  
Then  $Z$  is rationally equivalent to a zero-cycle

$$\sum [k(p_i) - k(q_i)] \text{ where } k(p_i) \cong k(q_i) \forall i.$$

## Some questions I ran into

### Conjecture

$C_k$  smooth, projective curve, genus  $\geq 1$ ,  $k$  not locally finite.  
 $L$  very ample. For  $s \in H^0(C_k, L)$  write  
 $(s = 0) =: \{p_i(s) : i \in I\}$  and  $(s = 0)_{\bar{k}} =: \{\bar{p}_i(s) : i \in \bar{I}\}$ .

Then, for 'most' sections,

- $[p_i(s)] \in \text{Pic}(C_k)$  are linearly independent (weak form).
- $[\bar{p}_i(s)] \in \text{Pic}(C_{\bar{k}})$  are linearly independent (strong form).

## Conjecture

$C$  smooth, projective curve over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Then for 'most' ample line bundles  $L$ ,

every section of  $L^m$  has at least  $g(C)$  zeros for every  $m \geq 1$ .

### Notes.

- not sure what 'most' means.
- true for nodal rational curves.