

SHARP L^q BOUNDS ON SPECTRAL CLUSTERS FOR HOLDER METRICS

HERBERT KOCH, HART F. SMITH, AND DANIEL TATARU

ABSTRACT. We establish L^q bounds on eigenfunctions, and more generally on spectrally localized functions (spectral clusters), associated to a self-adjoint elliptic operator on a compact manifold, under the assumption that the coefficients of the operator are of regularity C^s , where $0 \leq s \leq 1$. We also produce examples which show that these bounds are best possible for the case $q = \infty$, and for $2 \leq q \leq q_n$.

1. Introduction

Let M be a compact manifold without boundary, on which we fix a smooth volume form dx . Let a be a section of real, symmetric quadratic forms on $T^*(M)$, with associated linear transforms $a_x : T_x^*(M) \rightarrow T_x(M)$, and let ρ be a real valued function on M . We assume both a and ρ are strictly positive, with uniform bounds above and below.

Consider the eigenfunction problem, with d^* the adjoint of d relative to dx ,

$$(1) \quad d^*(a df) + \lambda^2 \rho f = 0.$$

Under the condition that a and ρ are bounded, measurable, and uniformly bounded from below, there exists an orthonormal basis ϕ_j of eigenfunctions for $L^2(M, \rho dx)$ with frequencies $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$. In this paper we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $a, \rho \in C^s(M)$, where $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Assume that the frequencies λ_j of f are contained in the interval $[\lambda, \lambda + \lambda^{1-s}]$, so that*

$$(2) \quad f = \sum_{j: \lambda_j \in [\lambda, \lambda + \lambda^{1-s}]} c_j \phi_j$$

Then for $2 \leq q \leq q_n = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \lambda^{\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{2+s} + 1 - s\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Furthermore

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n-s}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

The constant C depends only on the C^s norm and lower bounds of a and ρ . In particular, C is uniform under small C^s perturbations of a and ρ .

The authors were supported in part by NSF grants DMS-0140499, DMS-0354668, DMS-0301122, and DMS-0354539.

We also produce examples to show that, for general C^s metrics, (4) and (3) are best possible, in the latter case for all q in the given range. The examples are exponentially localized eigenfunctions on open sets, and show that the exponents in Theorem 1 cannot be improved in general even for functions f with frequency spread $O(\lambda^{-N})$. It is not known what the sharp bounds are for $q_n < q < \infty$.

We compare Theorem 1 to the bounds in the case a and ρ belong to C^2 , or more generally $C^{1,1}$, and where the frequencies of f lie in the interval $[\lambda, \lambda + 1]$. In that case, by [8],

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(M)} &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, & 2 \leq q \leq q_n, \\ \|f\|_{L^q(M)} &\leq C \lambda^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, & q_n \leq q \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates were established by Sogge [11] for smooth a and ρ , and are best possible at all q for unit width spectral clusters. Semiclassical generalizations were obtained by Koch-Tataru-Zworski [5]. The case $q = \infty$ is related to the spectral counting remainder estimate of Avakumović-Levitan-Hörmander. Recently, Bronstein and Ivrii [2] have obtained spectral counting remainder estimates in the case of Hölder coefficients. A direct corollary of their estimates is an upper bound of the form $O((\log \lambda)^\sigma \lambda^{n-s})$ for the number of frequencies λ_j (counting multiplicity) in the interval $[\lambda, \lambda + \lambda^{1-s}]$. A corollary of (4) is that this upper bound holds with $\sigma = 0$. Indeed, (4) implies pointwise bounds on the kernel $\chi_\lambda(x, y)$ of the spectral projection onto frequencies in the range $[\lambda, \lambda + \lambda^{1-s}]$,

$$|\chi_\lambda(x, y)| \leq C^2 \lambda^{n-s},$$

and integrating over the diagonal yields the desired trace bounds.

Estimates for C^s metrics are derived from the C^2 result together with a frequency dependent scaling argument. Our work shows that there are two distinct spatial scales that enter into the estimates when $s < 1$,

$$R = \lambda^{-\frac{2-s}{2+s}}, \quad T = \lambda^{s-1}.$$

The scale R is the size of a ball on which, when working with solutions f with frequencies of magnitude λ , the coefficients a and ρ are well approximated by C^2 functions, in the sense that the errors can be absorbed as an appropriate source term. The larger scale T is the size of a ball on which the coefficients are well approximated by C^1 functions.

Compared to the C^2 case, there is in Theorem 1 a loss of $(TR^{-1})^{\frac{1}{q}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ at the indices $q = q_n$ and $q = \infty$. (The sharp bounds for $2 \leq q \leq q_n$ are obtained by interpolation.) The loss of $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ arises in spatially localizing solutions to balls of size T in order to have energy flux bounds. The loss of $(TR^{-1})^{\frac{1}{q}}$ arises from the fact that we have good L^q bounds on sets of size R , and need to sum over a total of TR^{-1} sets to obtain bounds on sets of size T . For $1 \leq s \leq 2$ only the scale R enters, and the loss relative to the C^2 case is $R^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ for $q = q_n$ and $q = \infty$, as shown by the second author in [9].

One can establish better bounds on the L^q norm of f over balls of size R . In that case, there is only the loss of $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ relative to the C^2 case. Precisely, in the process of proving Theorem 1 we also establish the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $B_R \subset M$ be a ball of radius $R = \lambda^{-\frac{2-s}{2+s}}$. Then under the same conditions as Theorem 1, and with a constant C uniform over such balls B_R ,*

$$(5) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(B_R)} \leq C \lambda^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad q_n \leq q \leq \infty.$$

The examples we produce to show that (4) is sharp also show that (5) cannot be improved for any $q_n \leq q \leq \infty$. It is expected, though, that the bound obtained by interpolating (3) at $q = q_n$ with (4) is not sharp for $q_n < q < \infty$. That is, the additional loss of $(TR^{-1})^{\frac{1}{q}}$ which would be obtained by adding (5) over TR^{-1} disjoint sets is sharp only for q_n and ∞ .

Since the constant C in (5) is independent of the center of B_R , estimate (4) is an immediate consequence of the case $q = \infty$ of (5). We also remark that all cases of (5) for $q_n \leq q \leq \infty$ follow from the case $q = q_n$ of (5). This was noted in [9], using heat kernel estimates. Briefly, by Theorem 6.3 of Saloff-Coste [7], the heat kernel $h_\lambda(x, y)$ at time $t = \lambda^{-2}$ for the diffusion system associated to (1) satisfies

$$|h_\lambda(x, y)| \leq C \lambda^n \exp(-c \lambda^2 d(x, y)^2).$$

By Young’s inequality, then for $q_n \leq q \leq \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(B_R)} &\leq C \lambda^{n(\frac{1}{q_n}-\frac{1}{q})} \|H_\lambda^{-1} f\|_{L^{q_n}(B_R^*)} + C_N \lambda^{-N} \|H_\lambda^{-1} f\|_{L^2(M \setminus B_R^*)} \\ &\leq C \lambda^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)} \end{aligned}$$

where we use (5) at $q = q_n$ with B_R replaced by its double B_R^* , and the fact that $\|H_\lambda^{-1} f\|_{L^2} \approx \|f\|_{L^2}$ since $\exp(\lambda_j^2/\lambda^2) \approx 1$ for $\lambda_j \in [\lambda, \lambda + 1]$.

Since (3) follows from the case $q = q_n$ (by interpolating with the trivial case $q = 2$) we are thus reduced to establishing the estimates (3) and (5) at $q = q_n$.

Notation. By the C^s norm on \mathbb{R}^n for $0 < s \leq 1$ we mean

$$\|f\|_{C^s} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{|h|<1} |h|^{-s} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, C^s coincides with Lipschitz for $s = 1$.

We use d to denote the differential taking functions to covector fields, and d^* its adjoint with respect to dx . When working on \mathbb{R}^n , $d = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$, and d^* is the standard divergence operator.

The notation $A \lesssim B$ means $A \leq C B$, where C is a constant that depends only on the C^s norm of a and ρ , as well as on universally fixed quantities, such as the manifold M and the non-degeneracy of a and ρ . In particular, C will depend continuously on a and ρ in the C^s norm.

2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

For $s = 0$ both theorems are a result of Sobolev embedding, for example using heat kernel estimates, so we restrict to the case $s > 0$. Let ϕ_T denote a smooth cutoff to a ball $B_T \subset M$ of diameter $T = \lambda^{s-1}$. We then write

$$d^*(a d(\varphi_T f)) + \lambda^2 \rho(\varphi_T f) = T^{-1}(d^* g_1 + g_2)$$

where g_1, g_2 are supported in B_T^* (the double of B_T), with

$$g_1 = a(Td\varphi_T)f \quad g_2 = a(Td\varphi_T, df) + T\varphi_T(d^*(a df) + \lambda^2 \rho f)$$

and hence

$$\|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|g_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(B_T^*)} + \lambda^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2(B_T^*)} + \lambda^{s-2}\|d^*(a\,df) + \lambda^2\rho f\|_{L^2(B_T^*)}$$

Note that if f is of the form (2), and $\lambda \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^*(a\,df) + \lambda^2\rho f\|_{L^2(M)} &\lesssim \lambda^{2-s}\|f\|_{L^2(M)}, \\ \|df\|_{L^2(M)} &\lesssim \lambda\|f\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if we prove that for f satisfying

$$(6) \quad d^*(a\,df) + \lambda^2\rho = T^{-1}(d^*g_1 + g_2)$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \|f\|_{L^{q_n}(B_T)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n}}(TR^{-1})^{\frac{1}{q_n}}T^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|g_2\|_{L^2})$$

as well as

$$(8) \quad \|f\|_{L^{q_n}(B_R)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n}}T^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|g_2\|_{L^2})$$

then summing over a cover of M by balls B_T with bounded overlap yields (3) and (5) at $q = q_n$, and hence all cases of Theorems 1 and 2 as remarked above.

By choosing local coordinates we may assume we are working with an equation of the form (6) on \mathbb{R}^n with Lebesgue measure, and with f supported in a ball of radius T . After making a linear change of coordinates and multiplying ρ by a harmless constant, we may additionally assume (for $s > 0$) that

$$(9) \quad \|a - I\|_{C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho - 1\|_{C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_0,$$

for c_0 a suitably small constant to be fixed depending on the dimension n .

Let $S_r = S_r(D)$ denote a smooth cutoff on the Fourier transform side to frequencies of size $|\xi| \leq r$. Let $a_\lambda = S_{c^2\lambda}a$, for c to be chosen suitably small. Then

$$\|(a - a_\lambda)df\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}T^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2}, \quad \lambda^2\|(\rho - \rho_\lambda)f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda T^{-1}\|f\|_{L^2},$$

and thus we may replace a and ρ by a_λ and ρ_λ at the expense of absorbing the above two terms into g_1 and g_2 , which does not change the size of the right hand side of (7) and (8).

Next, let $f_{<\lambda} = S_{c\lambda}f$. Then

$$(10) \quad \|[S_{c\lambda}, a_\lambda]\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}\|a_\lambda\|_{C^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}T^{-1},$$

and similarly for $[S_{c\lambda}, \rho_\lambda]$, hence we can absorb the commutator terms into g_1 and g_2 , and since all terms are localized to frequencies less than λ we can write

$$(11) \quad d^*(a_\lambda\,df_{<\lambda}) + \lambda^2\rho_\lambda\,f_{<\lambda} = T^{-1}g_{<\lambda},$$

where

$$\|g_{<\lambda}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda\|f\|_{L^2} + \|df\|_{L^2} + \lambda\|g_1\|_{L^2} + \|g_2\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $\|d^*(a_\lambda\,df_{<\lambda})\|_{L^2} \lesssim (c\lambda)^2\|f_{<\lambda}\|_{L^2}$, for c suitably small the L^2 norm of the left hand side of (11) is comparable to $\lambda^2\|f_{<\lambda}\|_{L^2}$, hence we have

$$\|f_{<\lambda}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}T^{-1}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|g_2\|_{L^2}).$$

Since $\frac{1}{q_n} = n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_n}) - \frac{1}{2}$, Sobolev embedding implies

$$(12) \quad \|f_{<\lambda}\|_{L^{q_n}} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{2}}T^{-1}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1}\|g_2\|_{L^2}),$$

which implies (7) and (8) for this term since $R, T \geq 1$ and $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

If we let $f_{>\lambda} = f - S_{c^{-1}\lambda}f$, then similar arguments let us write

$$(13) \quad d^*(a_\lambda df_{>\lambda}) + \lambda^2 \rho_\lambda f_{>\lambda} = T^{-1} d^* g_{>\lambda}$$

where now $g_{>\lambda}$, like $f_{>\lambda}$, is frequency localized to frequencies larger than $c^{-1}\lambda$, and

$$\|g_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|g_2\|_{L^2}.$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of (13) against $f_{>\lambda}$ yields

$$\|df_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2}^2 - 4\lambda^2 \|f_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim T^{-1} \|g_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2} \|df_{>\lambda}\|_{L^2},$$

and by the frequency localization of $f_{>\lambda}$ we obtain

$$\|f_{>\lambda}\|_{H^1} \lesssim T^{-1} (\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|g_2\|_{L^2}).$$

Since $n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_n}) = \frac{1}{q_n} + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$, Sobolev embedding yields (12) for the term $f_{>\lambda}$.

It remains to establish (7) and (8) for $f_\lambda = S_{c^{-1}\lambda}f - S_{c\lambda}f$. We decompose f_λ using a partition of unity in the Fourier transform variable ξ to cones of small angle. We may thus assume that f_λ is frequency localized to a small cone about the ξ_1 axis. Since the localization is by means of an order 0 multiplier at frequency λ , the commutator satisfies the same bounds (10), and we may write

$$(14) \quad d^*(a_\lambda df_\lambda) + \lambda^2 \rho_\lambda f_\lambda = T^{-1} g_\lambda$$

where

$$\|g_\lambda\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|f\|_{L^2} + \|df\|_{L^2} + \lambda \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \|g_2\|_{L^2}.$$

The functions a_λ and ρ_λ satisfy the condition (9). By Corollary 7 of [9], we thus have the localized estimate, uniformly over cubes Q_R of sidelength R ,

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{L^{q_n}(Q_R)} \lesssim R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n}} (\|f_\lambda\|_{L^2(Q_R^*)} + \lambda^{-1} \|df_\lambda\|_{L^2(Q_R^*)} + RT^{-1} \lambda^{-1} \|g_\lambda\|_{L^2(Q_R^*)}).$$

Summing over disjoint cubes contained in a slab S_R of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1 - c| \leq R\}$ yields

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{L^{q_n}(S_R)} \lesssim R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n}} (\|f_\lambda\|_{L^2(S_R^*)} + \lambda^{-1} \|df_\lambda\|_{L^2(S_R^*)} + RT^{-1} \lambda^{-1} \|g_\lambda\|_{L^2(S_R^*)}).$$

We will show that

$$(15) \quad \|f_\lambda\|_{L^2(S_R^*)} + \lambda^{-1} \|df_\lambda\|_{L^2(S_R^*)} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|f_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|df_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|g_\lambda\|_{L^2}).$$

Since $R^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{-1} \leq T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, this yields

$$\|f\|_{L^{q_n}(S_R)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{q_n}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|f\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|df\|_{L^2} + \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1} \|g_2\|_{L^2}).$$

The estimate (8) follows immediately; the estimate (7) follows after summing over the TR^{-1} disjoint slabs S_R that intersect B_T .

The bound (15) follows by energy methods. Let V denote the vector field

$$V = 2(\partial_1 f_\lambda) a_\lambda df_\lambda + (\lambda^2 \rho_\lambda f_\lambda^2 - \langle a_\lambda df_\lambda, df_\lambda \rangle) \vec{e}_1.$$

Then

$$d^*V = 2T^{-1}(\partial_1 f_\lambda) g_\lambda + \lambda^2(\partial_1 \rho_\lambda) f_\lambda^2 - \langle (\partial_1 a_\lambda) df_\lambda, df_\lambda \rangle.$$

Since $|\partial_1 a_\lambda| + |\partial_1 \rho_\lambda| \lesssim T^{-1}$, applying the divergence theorem on the set $x_1 \leq r$ yields

$$\int_{x_1=r} V_1 dx' \lesssim T^{-1} (\lambda^2 \|f_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|df_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|g_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2).$$

Since a_λ and ρ are pointwise close to the flat metric, we have pointwise that

$$V_1 \geq \frac{3}{4}|\partial_1 f_\lambda|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\lambda^2|f_\lambda|^2 - |\partial_{x'} f_\lambda|^2.$$

The frequency localization of \widehat{f}_λ to $|\xi'| \leq c\lambda$ yields

$$2 \int_{x_1=r} V_1 dx' \geq \int_{x_1=r} |df_\lambda|^2 + \lambda^2|f_\lambda|^2 dx'.$$

Integrating this over r in an interval of size R yields (15). □

3. Examples to show (4) and (5) are sharp

In this section we produce examples of Hölder metrics and associated eigenfunctions which show that the estimates (4) of Theorem 1 and (5) of Theorem 2 are best possible. Our example is a radial version of the 1-dimensional example of Castro-Zuazua [3], which in turn is based on a calculation of Colombini-Spagnolo [4]. In our example the metric depends on the frequency λ (with uniform bounds on its Hölder norm), but because of the exponential localization of the eigenfunctions one may easily cut and paste together a sequence of examples to produce a metric for which these estimates fail for a sequence of λ tending to ∞ , as in [3]. Our example is also global on \mathbb{R}^n , but again by its exponential localization it may be truncated and placed on a compact manifold, with the truncation errors small enough to show that the estimates are still best possible for spectral clusters, even those of spectral width $O(\lambda^{-N})$.

We start by producing smooth radial functions Φ, q_1, q_2 , all of which vanish near 0, with $\Phi(r) = r + O(1)$, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$(\Delta + 1 + \varepsilon q_1 + \varepsilon^2 q_2)e^{-\varepsilon\Phi} \widehat{d\sigma} = 0,$$

where $d\sigma$ is surface measure on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Furthermore, Φ', q_1, q_2 are globally bounded, together with their derivatives of all order.

For this, we write

$$\widehat{d\sigma}(r) = r^{\frac{1-n}{2}} F_n(r).$$

Then (see [12] p. 338–348)

$$F_n(r) = a_n \cos(r - \frac{n-1}{4} \pi) + b_n r^{-1} \sin(r - \frac{n-1}{4} \pi) + O(r^{-2}).$$

We normalize $d\sigma$ so $a_n = 1$, and set

$$\Phi(r) = 2 \int_0^r h(t) F_n(t)^2 dt,$$

where h is a smooth non-negative function which vanishes near 0 and equals 1 for $s > \frac{1}{2}$. By the asymptotics of F_n , we have $\Phi(r) = r + O(1)$.

Consider the radial Laplacian $\Delta = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{d}{dr}$. Then

$$r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta r^{\frac{1-n}{2}} = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4r^2}$$

and hence we may expand $\Delta(e^{-\varepsilon\Phi} \widehat{d\sigma})$ as

$$r^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \left[F_n'' - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4r^2} F_n - 4\varepsilon F_n' h F_n^2 - 2\varepsilon F_n (h F_n^2)' + 4\varepsilon^2 F_n h^2 F_n^4 \right] e^{-\varepsilon\Phi}$$

where ' denotes $\frac{d}{dr}$. This in turn may be written as

$$-(1 + \varepsilon q_1 + \varepsilon^2 q_2) e^{-\varepsilon \Phi} \widehat{d\sigma}$$

with

$$q_1 = 4hF_n F'_n + 2(hF_n^2)'\!, \quad q_2 = -4h^2 F_n^4.$$

These functions are smooth since h vanishes near 0, and the global boundedness of their derivatives follows easily from boundedness of $F_n^{(k)}$ for r bounded away from 0.

To construct the example, we set $\varepsilon = \lambda^{-s}$ and change variables $r \rightarrow \lambda r$, and let

$$\psi_\lambda(r) = e^{-\lambda^{-s}\Phi(\lambda r)} \widehat{d\sigma}(\lambda r), \quad \rho_\lambda(r) = 1 + \lambda^{-s}q_1(\lambda r) + \lambda^{-2s}q_2(\lambda r),$$

so that

$$\Delta\psi_\lambda + \lambda^2\rho_\lambda\psi_\lambda = 0.$$

Note that $\rho_\lambda \in C^s$, since

$$\|1 - \rho_\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \leq \lambda^{-s}, \quad \|1 - \rho_\lambda\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \lambda^{1-s},$$

and $\min(\lambda^{-s}, \lambda^{1-s}|x - y|) \leq |x - y|^s$. On the other hand,

$$|\psi_\lambda(r)| \approx e^{-\lambda^{1-s}r} |\widehat{d\sigma}|(\lambda r).$$

Precisely,

$$\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 = \lambda^{1-n} \int_0^\infty |F_n(\lambda r)|^2 e^{-2\lambda^{-s}\Phi(\lambda r)} dr \approx \lambda^{s-n} \int_0^\infty |F_n(\lambda^s r)|^2 e^{-2r} dr \approx \lambda^{s-n}.$$

The maximum of $|\widehat{d\sigma}|$ occurs at $r = 0$, so $\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}$ is independent of λ . Thus

$$\frac{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}}{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^2}} \approx \lambda^{\frac{n-s}{2}}$$

showing that (4) is sharp.

We also note that $|\psi_\lambda| \approx 1$ for $r \leq \lambda^{-1}$, hence $\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^p(B_{1/\lambda})} \gtrsim \lambda^{-\frac{n}{q}}$. Thus

$$\frac{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^q(B_{1/\lambda})}}{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^2}} \gtrsim \lambda^{n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}) - \frac{s}{2}}$$

showing that (5) is sharp. □

4. Examples to show (3) is sharp

In this section we produce examples to show that (3) is similarly sharp, in the range $2 \leq q \leq q_n$. These examples are exponentially localized to a tube of diameter $\lambda^{\frac{2}{2+s}}$ and length λ^{s-1} . They are essentially a product of the examples of Smith-Sogge [10], where the metric depends on $n - 1$ variables, with the 1-dimensional example of Castro-Zuazua [3].

For the examples, fix $0 < s < 1$. Let (x, y) denote variables on \mathbb{R}^n with $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We take $A(y)$ to be the ground state solution of

$$-\Delta_y A(y) + |y|^s A(y) = cA(y).$$

Here $c > 0$ and $A(y)$ is radial and of class $C^{2,s}$, with

$$|A(y)| \lesssim e^{-N|y|}$$

for all $N > 0$. This may be seen, for example, by Theorem XIII.47 of [6] and Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 of [1]. The regularity follows by examining the ordinary differential equation in r near 0.

Given $\kappa > 0$, let $\lambda = \lambda(\kappa)$ solve

$$\lambda^2 - \kappa^2 = c\lambda^{2\delta}, \quad \delta = \frac{2}{2+s}.$$

For $s > 0$ there is a unique positive solution for large κ , which satisfies $\lambda \approx \kappa$.

We then have

$$(\Delta_y + \lambda^2(1 - |y|^s))A(\lambda^\delta y) = \kappa^2 A(\lambda^\delta y).$$

Next consider Φ, q_1, q_2 , as in the preceding section, with $n = 1$ and $F_1 = \cos(x)$. We set

$$\rho_\kappa(x) = 1 + \kappa^{-s}q_1(\kappa|x|) + \kappa^{-2s}q_2(\kappa|x|).$$

Then

$$(\partial_x^2 + \kappa^2\rho_\kappa(x))e^{-\kappa^{-s}\Phi(\kappa|x|)}\cos(\kappa x) = 0,$$

and consequently

$$\left(d_x^2 + \rho_\kappa(x)\Delta_y + \lambda^2(1 - |y|^s)\rho_\kappa(x)\right)e^{-\kappa^{-s}\Phi(\kappa|x|)}A(\lambda^\delta y)\cos(\kappa x) = 0.$$

This equation takes the form (1). Since $\kappa \approx \lambda$, the eigenfunction is exponentially concentrated in the set

$$|y| \leq \lambda^{-\frac{2}{2+s}}, \quad |x| \leq \lambda^{s-1}.$$

By Hölder's inequality, this implies that

$$\frac{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^p}}{\|\psi_\lambda\|_{L^2}} \gtrsim \lambda^{\left(\frac{2}{2+s}(n-1)+(1-s)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}$$

showing that (3) is sharp. □

Acknowledgements

This research was done in part while the first two authors were visitors at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, and while the first author was a member of the Miller Institute of Basic Sciences.

References

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on Exponential Decay of Solutions of Second Order Elliptic Equations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
- [2] M. Bronstein and V. Ivrii, *Sharp spectral asymptotics for operators with irregular coefficients. I. Pushing the limits*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **28** (2003), 83–102.
- [3] C. Castro and E. Zuazua, *Concentration and lack of observability of waves in highly heterogeneous media*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **164** (2002), 39–72.
- [4] F. Colombini and S. Spagnolo, *Some examples of hyperbolic equations without local solvability*, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **22** (1989), 109–125.
- [5] H. Koch, D. Tataru and M. Zworski, *Semiclassical L^p estimates*, to appear in *Annales Henri Poincaré*.
- [6] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [7] L. Saloff-Coste, *A note on Poincaré, Sobolev, and Harnack inequalities*, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* (1992), No. 2, 27–38.
- [8] H. Smith, *Spectral cluster estimates for $C^{1,1}$ metrics*, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **128** (2006), 1069–1103.

- [9] H. Smith, *Sharp $L^2 \rightarrow L^q$ bounds on spectral projectors for low regularity metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 6, 965–972.
- [10] H. Smith and C. Sogge, *On Strichartz and eigenfunction estimates for low regularity metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), 729–737.
- [11] C. Sogge, *Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second order elliptic operators on compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **77** (1988), 123–134.
- [12] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton University Press, 1993.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, 53113 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `koch@math.uni-bonn.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195
E-mail address: `hart@math.washington.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: `tataru@math.berkeley.edu`