DEGENERATIONS OF TWISTED MAPS TO ALGEBRAIC STACKS

ANDREA DI LORENZO AND GIOVANNI INCHIOSTRO

ABSTRACT. We give a definition of twisted map to a quotient stack with projective good moduli space, and we show that the resulting functor satisfies the existence part of the valuative criterion for properness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a projective variety X, a classic problem is to study the geometry of curves in X. How many rational curves in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d pass through 3d - 1 points? Or how many rational curves are there on a quintic threefold? These are two examples of famous questions, which were answered by Kontsevich [Kon95] by studying *degenerations* of maps from curves to X. Indeed, Kontsevich constructed the so called moduli stack of stable maps, a generalization of the moduli stack of stable curves [DM69], which since then proved to be one the main tool one can use to solve enumerative problems as the ones above ([Kon95, FP97]).

With the recent developments in the theory of algebraic stacks [Alp13, HL15, AHLH18, AHR20], it is natural to wonder what happens if one replaces the target projective variety X with an algebraic stack \mathcal{M} . For example, if the target algebraic stack is Deligne-Mumford and admits a projective coarse moduli space, the situation is similar to the case where X is a projective variety. Abramovich and Vistoli (see [AV02, ACV03, AOV11]) constructed a space of so-called *twisted* stable maps, that one can use to compactify the space of stable maps from a curve to \mathcal{M} .

If the target is $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{m}$ instead, studying degenerations of maps from a curve to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{m}$ is strongly related to the problem of finding a compactification of the universal Jacobian [Cap94] or, if the target stack is $\mathcal{B}\operatorname{GL}_n$, a compactification of the universal moduli space of vector bundles over \mathcal{M}_g [Pan96]. Other approaches to these problems can be found in [Kau10, MT16, FTT16].

The goal for this paper is to study degenerations of maps from a family of curves to an algebraic stack \mathcal{M} admitting a projective good moduli space $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, with the idea of interpolating between the stable maps of Kontsevich and Caporaso's compactified Jacobian. We introduce in Section 2.1 a notion of family of *twisted* maps. Our main result can be formulated informally as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.1). Let $\mathcal{M} := [X/G]$ be a quotient stack by a linear group G with a projective good moduli space, and assume we are given a family of twisted maps $\phi : \mathcal{C}^* \to \mathcal{M}$ over the punctured disc \mathbb{D}^* . Then, up to replacing \mathbb{D}^* with a ramified cover, we can extend ϕ to a family of twisted maps $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ over the whole disk \mathbb{D} .

More precisely, we prove that the functor of twisted maps to an algebraic stack having a projective good moduli space satisfies the existence part of the valuative criterion for properness.

As already said, our definition of twisted map interpolates between the quasistable curves of Caporaso and the twisted stable curves of Abramovich and Vistoli. We show in 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 that our definition is minimal: one cannot drop neither the stacky structure nor the destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 's and still hope for an analogue of Theorem 1.1 to be true.

The main contribution of this work, beyond Theorem 1.1, is the explicit nature of our algorithm for constructing the degeneration of Theorem 1.1 around each destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 (see Lemma 4.2). For example,

A. DI LORENZO AND G. INCHIOSTRO

in Section 6 we study some limits obtained from our algorithm in the case where target stack is $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ (namely, the stack parametrizing line bundles with section). In our example, we argue that one can assume the chain of destabilizing stacky \mathbb{P}^1 's to have length at most 1, to map to the closed point of $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$, and we explicitly describe the line bundle on the family of curves. We expect a similarly accessible description to be available in general.

Where are we headed. As it is, our definition of twisted maps does not lead to an algebraic stack, essentially because we are imposing no numerical stability conditions. For instance, when the target stack is $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, we can ask for the line bundles to satisfy Caporaso's basic inequality [Cap94, 0.3], a numerical condition involving the degrees of the line bundles on the irreducible components of the curve. This is the key ingredient for making the moduli problem an algebraic stack with a projective good moduli space.

However, our definition of twisted maps can be regarded as a first step towards the construction of a stack of twisted *stable* maps to a quotient stack having a projective good moduli space. The next step in our project is to tackle the case $\mathcal{M} = [X/\mathbb{G}_m^n]$, leveraging our understanding of the behaviour of degenerations of twisted maps. In particular, we aim to show that, after imposing some numerical conditions, we actually get an algebraic stack which is Θ -reductive and has unpunctured inertia: applying the results of Alper, Halpern-Leistner and Heinloth [AHLH18, Theorem A], we would get a good moduli space of twisted stable maps to $[X/\mathbb{G}_m^n]$.

Another interesting direction to study is when $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}G$ for a linear algebraic group G; in this case our functor of twisted maps can be regarded as the functor of G-torsors over families of curves, and our main theorem shows how to extend these families of G-torsors over curves. Hopefully, after imposing some numerical stability conditions, one can extract a proper algebraic stack out of our functor; we are currently investigating this path too.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce the main object of interest, namely twisted maps to an algebraic stack, and we present some basic properties of them. For example, we show that our definition recovers the one of Abramovich and Vistoli if \mathcal{M} is Deligne-Mumford. In Section 3 we show how to extend maps to codimension one points of the special fiber of the family of curves (Theorem 3.9). In Section 4 we introduce the main tools to extend maps to codimension two points: we prove two technical propositions (Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.5) concerning extensions of line bundles over stacky surfaces, that will be essential for proving the main results of this section. Section 5 is divided into two parts. In the first part, we prove our main result (Theorem 1.1), and in the second part (namely Section 5.1) we prove some results on the birational geometry of stacky surfaces, which are of independent interest: we study when one can contract certain (stacky) \mathbb{P}^1 s on a surface. Our results can be understood as a generalization of the results of Artin in [Art62] to stacky surfaces. Finally in Section 6 we run a specific example: the case of twisted maps to $\mathcal{M} = [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$.

Conventions. In what follows, unless otherwise stated, every stack and morphism is assumed to be essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero. If \mathcal{C} is a one dimensional Deligne-Mumford stack with coarse moduli space $\mathcal{C} \to C$, and \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{C} , there is a minimal natural number n such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ descends to a line bundle L on C. The degree of \mathcal{L} will be $\frac{\deg(L)}{n}$.

Acknowledgements. We are thankful to Dan Abramovich, Jarod Alper, Dori Bejleri, Samir Canning, Andres Fernandez Herrero, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, Ming Hao Quek, Mauro Porta, Zinovy Reichstein, Minseon Shin and Angelo Vistoli for helpful conversations.

2. Twisted maps to algebraic stacks

In this section we first define twisted maps with target an algebraic stack \mathcal{M} having a projective good moduli space (Definition 2.2). Then we motivate our definition, showing that it recovers the one for Deligne-Mumford stacks [AV02]. We finally provide two examples showing that our definition is somehow "minimal", i.e. both stacky nodes and destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 s have to be included in the domain curve.

2.1. Twisted maps. We begin with the notion of quasi stable maps.

Definition 2.1. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer, let $(C; p_1, ..., p_n)$ be a nodal curve together with n smooth points and let M be a projective variety with ample line bundle L. We say that $f: C \to M$ is quasi stable if $f^*L \otimes \omega_C(p_1 + ... + p_n)$ is nef, and the irreducible components where $f^*L \otimes \omega_C(p_1 + ... + p_n)$ is not positive are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Such components are called *destabilizing* \mathbb{P}^1s .

Recall [AV02, Definition 4.1.2] that a *twisted nodal n-pointed curve* over B is the data of three morphisms $\Sigma_i \to \mathcal{C} \to C \to B$ such that

- C is a Deligne-Mumford stack, the map $\mathcal{C} \to B$ is proper and étale-locally over B it is a nodal curve;
- $\Sigma_i \subset \mathcal{C}$ are disjoint closed substacks contained in the smooth locus of \mathcal{C} such that $\Sigma_i \to C \to B$ are étale gerbes;
- $\mathcal{C} \to C$ is a coarse moduli space and is an isomorphism away from Σ_i and the singular locus of $C \to B$.

From now on, we will say twisted (n-pointed) curve to indicate a twisted nodal n-pointed curve.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{M} be an algebraic stack with projective good moduli space $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, let $n \geq 0$ be a non-negative integer. A *twisted map to* \mathcal{M} over a scheme B consists of a triplet $(\pi : \mathcal{C} \to B, \phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}, \{\sigma_i : \Sigma_i \to \mathcal{C}\})$ such that:

- (1) $(\pi : \mathcal{C} \to B, \Sigma_i)$ is a twisted *n*-pointed curve over *B*;
- (2) if we denote by C (resp. S) the coarse moduli space of C (resp. $\cup \Sigma_i$), then for every $b \in B$ the pointed map $f_b : (C_b, S_b) \to M$ is quasi stable;
- (3) the smooth points of C_b that are not on the image of $\cup \sigma_i$ are schematic;
- (4) if \mathcal{D} is an irreducible components of \mathcal{C}_b with coarse moduli space which is an f_b -destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 , then for every d the map $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}$ does not factor as $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}\mu_d \to \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 2.3. We will mainly deal with the case where n = 0, namely the non-pointed case. The reason we introduce pointed quasi stable maps is because they arise naturally when taking the normalization of an unpointed quasi stable map.

We now comment briefly on the last point of Definition 2.2. We begin by showing that if \mathcal{M} is a Deligne-Mumford stack, we recover the definition of twisted stable maps à la Abramovich-Vistoli. For this, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a generically étale morphism which is ramified only over $\{p,q\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and the map is $[a,b] \mapsto [a^n, b^n]$ for some n.

Proof. First observe that $p \neq q$. Indeed, since the fundamental group of \mathbb{P}^1 without a point is trivial, if p = q then f must be an isomorphism.

Then $p \neq q$ and consider $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p+q)$. A local generator for this line bundle around p is $\frac{dx}{x}$. Locally around every point p_i in the preimage of p, f can be written as $x \mapsto x^{e_i}$ for some integer e_i ; therefore, the pullback of $\frac{dx}{x}$ is $\frac{dx^{e_i}}{x^{e_i}} = e_i \frac{dx}{x}$: we deduce that $h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p+q) = \omega_C(R)$ where R is the ramification locus of h. But $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p+q)$ has degree zero, so also $h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p+q) = \omega_C(R)$ has degree zero: as C is a smooth connected curve, this implies that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, R consists of exactly two points, and f is totally ramified. Then f is of the desired form. **Lemma 2.5.** Let \mathcal{P}^1 be the root stack of \mathbb{P}^1 at the points 0 and 1. Assume that there is a representable morphism $f : \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}G$ for a finite group G. Then there is an integer e, a map $f' : \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}\mu_e$ and an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{B}\mu_e \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}G$ such that $f = \iota \circ f'$.

Proof. We use the following fact: given a G-torsor $P \to S$ and a connected component $P_0 \subset P$, the classifying morphism $S \to \mathcal{B}G$ factors as $S \to \mathcal{B}H \to \mathcal{B}G$, where H is the subgroup of elements of G fixing P_0 .

Indeed, if $P' \subset P$ is another connected component, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $gP_0 \subset P'$: it is immediate to check that this induces an isomorphism $P' \simeq P_0$, hence $P \simeq \coprod_{gH \in G/H} P_0$. In particular, there is a classifying morphism $S \to \mathcal{B}H$ such that $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \times_{\mathcal{B}H} S \simeq P_0$. On the other hand, the pullback of the universal *G*-torsor $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{B}G$ along $\mathcal{B}H \to \mathcal{B}G$ is isomorphic to $\coprod_{gH \in G/H} \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, from which we deduce that the pullback of the universal *G*-torsor along $S \to \mathcal{B}H \to \mathcal{B}G$ is isomorphic to

$$\coprod_{gH \in G/H} (\operatorname{Spec}(k)) \times_{\mathcal{B}H} S \simeq \coprod_{gH \in G/H} P_0.$$

We can then conclude that the two classifying maps $S \to \mathcal{B}G$ and $S \to \mathcal{B}H \to \mathcal{B}G$ are isomorphic.

Let $C' \to \mathcal{P}^1$ be the *G*-torsor induced by $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}G$, and let *C* be a connected component of *C'*. It is smooth as it is étale over \mathcal{P}^1 and it is proper as $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{B}G$ is proper. Therefore *C* is a curve.

We can take the composition $h: C \to \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$: this is a cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at at most two points. But then from Lemma 2.4, the map h must be totally ramified at these two points with ramification index e. We deduce that h is given by the two sections $X_0^e, (X_0 - X_1)^e$, the covering $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is cyclic or, in other terms, is a μ_e -torsor for some integer e. By a descent argument, the subgroup H of elements in G that fix C must be isomorphic to μ_e .

We can now apply the fact mentioned at the beginning to conclude that the map $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}G$ factors through $\mathcal{B}\mu_e$.

Proposition 2.6. Let \mathcal{M} be a Deligne-Mumford stack with coarse moduli space \mathcal{M} , and let $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a twisted map over $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$, with $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ the induced map on the coarse spaces. Then f is Kontsevich stable and ϕ is a twisted stable map in the sense of Abramovich-Vistoli.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that it is not, let \mathcal{D} be an irreducible component of \mathcal{C} with coarse moduli space $D \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and assume that D is a destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 . Then $f|_D : D \to M$ factors as $D \to \operatorname{Spec}(k) \to M$, where $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \to M$ is the inclusion of a closed point p. By the universal property of the fiber product, $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}$ factors through $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \times_M \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{B}G$ where G is the stabilizer of the closed point p. By Lemma 2.5, the map $\phi|_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}$ factors via $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}\mu_e \to \mathcal{B}G \to \mathcal{M}$, which is a contradiction.

2.1.1. Destabilizing components and multidegree. An interesting case is when $\mathcal{M} = [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}]$ for $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ an affine \mathbb{G}_{m} -scheme of finite type over k. Infact \mathcal{M} is an algebraic stack and its good moduli space is projective if and only if it is a point. In this case, we can consider twisted maps to $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}]$. Observe that the composition $\mathcal{C} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ corresponds to a line bundle L over \mathcal{C} . The following proposition shows that condition (4) of Definition 2.2 can then be formulated in terms of the degree of L on the destabilizing components.

Proposition 2.7. Let $(\pi : C \to B, \phi : C \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m])$ be a pair satisfying the first three conditions of Definition 2.2. Then it satisfies condition (4) (hence it is a twisted map) if and only if for every geometric point $b \in B$ the line bundle L_b on \mathcal{C}_b induced by the composition $\mathcal{C}_b \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ has non-zero degree on every destabilizing component.

Proof. Suppose that for some geometric point $b \in B$ we have a destabilizing component $\mathcal{D}_b \subset \mathcal{C}_b$ such that the line bundle $L|_{\mathcal{D}_b}$ has degree zero. Then the coarse moduli space of \mathcal{D}_b is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . As \mathcal{D}_b is

a Deligne-Mumford stack, there exists an integer e such that $L|_{\mathcal{D}_b}^{\otimes e}$ comes from a line bundle on the coarse space $D_b \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. As the only line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 of degree zero is the trivial one, we deduce that $L|_{\mathcal{D}_b}^{\otimes e} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_b}$. This implies that we have a factorization $\mathcal{D}_b \to \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}_e \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, hence a map

$$\mathcal{D}_b \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}_e \times_{\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m} [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m] \simeq [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\boldsymbol{\mu}_e].$$

Observe now that the coarse space $D_b \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is contracted to a point q in the coarse space of $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mu_e]$, because the latter is affine. This implies that $\mathcal{D}_b \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mu_e]$ factors through some $\mathcal{B}\mu_{e'}$, where $\mu_{e'} \subset \mu_e$ is the automorphism group of the geometric point whose image in the coarse space $\operatorname{Spec}(A^{\mathbb{G}_m})$ is q. We showed that condition (4) in Definition 2.2 is not satisfied.

Viceversa, suppose that condition (4) is not satisfied: then there exists a factorization

$$\mathcal{D}_b \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mu_e \longrightarrow [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}.$$

This implies that the line bundle $L|_{\mathcal{D}_b}$ comes from $\mathcal{B}\mu_e$, i.e. is a torsion line bundle.

2.2. Why twisted maps with quasi stable coarse space. In this section we report two examples showing that if one does not allow neither twisted curves nor destabilizing stacky \mathcal{P}^1 s, then there is no hope to find a moduli space satisfying the existence part of the valuative criterion for properness.

2.2.1. Stacky nodes are necessary. This example already appeared in the work of Abramovich and Vistoli. Consider the two homogeneous polynomials $A \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}(4))$ and $B \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}(6))$ such that $4A^{3}+27B^{2}$ has 12 distinct roots. This data corresponds to a Weierstrass fibration $(X, S) \to \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with 12 nodal singular fibers, so it corresponds to a map $\mathbb{P}^{1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$. We can now multiply all the terms in A of degree greater than 2 and the terms in B of degree greater than 3 by a parameter t. This gives two polynomials in $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k(t)}, \mathcal{O}(4))$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k(t)}, \mathcal{O}(6))$ respectively. The corresponding Weierstrass fibration $(X_{k(t)}, S_{k(t)}) \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{k(t)}$ still corresponds to a map $\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k(t)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ which induces a map $\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k(t)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$. We can take the limit of this map to $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]_{(t)})$. It is easy to see, from how we multiplied the coefficients of A and B by t, that if we denote by $C \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ the limiting map, the special fiber of C will have a component D such that $D \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ has degree 6. Even if we replace C with another scheme $C' \to C$ birational to C (blowing up the special fiber of $C \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$), the proper transform of D in C' will still map to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ with a map of degree 6. This cannot lift to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$: any map from a curve to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ has degree divisible by 12.

2.2.2. Destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 s are necessary. Let R be a DVR, and consider two genus 2 curves with two marked points, $\{(C_i; p_i, q_i)\}_{i=1}^2$, and consider the curve obtained by gluing together p_1 with p_2 and q_1 with q_2 . Let $\mathcal{C} = C \times \text{Spec}(R)$, and let η be the generic point of Spec(R). Consider the trivial line bundle over the two components of \mathcal{C}_{η} , glued via the multiplication by a constant on the p_i s and by the multiplication by the uniformizer π of Spec(R) on the q_i . This corresponds to a map $f : \mathcal{C}_{\eta} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$. One can check that:

- (1) the only twisted curve that one can produce as a limit of \mathcal{C}_{η} over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the scheme \mathcal{C} , and
- (2) the map f does not extend to $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ as the line bundle does not extend.

In particular, if one does not allow destabilizing \mathcal{P}^1 s, one cannot fill in the limit with just twisted curves.

3. Extending maps from deformations of curves I

Let $\mathcal{M} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack by a linear algebraic group G having a projective good moduli space M. Let R be a DVR over \mathbb{C} with generic point η , and let $\pi : C_{\eta} \to \eta$ be a smooth curve. Suppose to have a map $\phi_{\eta} : C_{\eta} \to \mathcal{M}$ which induces a map $f_{\eta} : C_{\eta} \to M$. Kontsevich' theorem tells us that there exists a unique family of semistable curves $C \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ together with a map $f : C \to M$ that extends f_{η} . Can we lift f to a map $\phi : C \to \mathcal{M}$ that extends ϕ_{η} ? A first step towards solving this problem would be lifting f at

least at the generic points of the special fiber of $C \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. In this section we show that this is indeed the case, up to taking ramified covers of the base (see Theorem 3.9).

In what follows by an extension of DVRs we mean a map of DVRs $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ which is generically finite. It is useful to introduce the following definition:

Definition 3.1. We say that a morphism of DVRs $f : R \to \mathcal{B}$ comes from a family of curves if there is a flat proper morphism $F : C \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ whose generic fiber is a smooth connected curve, whose special fiber connected and reduced, and such that

- (1) there is a generic point μ of the closed fiber of F and
- (2) there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{C,\mu} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that f factors as $R \to \mathcal{O}_{C,\mu} \to \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $f : R \to \mathcal{B}$ comes from a family of curves, and the residue field of R is algebraically closed. Then:

- (1) if we denote by π the uniformizer of R, the element $f(\pi)$ is a uniformizer for \mathcal{B} , and
- (2) if $R \to R'$ is an extension of R, the tensor product $\mathcal{B} \otimes_R R'$ is a DVR.

Proof. Let $F : C \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a family of curves as in Definition 3.1. Point (1) follows as $\mathcal{B}/f(\pi)\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes_R R/\pi$ is the local ring at a generic point of the special fiber. As the special fiber is reduced, $\mathcal{B}/f(\pi)\mathcal{B}$ is a field, so $f(\pi)$ is a uniformizer.

As for point (2), let $C' := C \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)} \operatorname{Spec}(R')$, let $\pi : C' \to C$ and $F' : C' \to \operatorname{Spec}(R')$ be the two projections. We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \cong \lim_{u \in U} \mathcal{O}_C(U)$. As the tensor product commutes with colimits,

$$\mathcal{B} \otimes_R R' \cong \lim_{\mu \in U} \mathcal{O}_C(U) \otimes_R R' \cong \lim_{\mu \in U} \mathcal{O}_{C'}(\pi^{-1}(U)).$$

As the residue field of R is algebraically closed, the central fibers of F and F' are isomorphic, so we denote by μ' the (unique) point over μ in C'. For every open subset V in C' containing μ' , let D' be its complement. Then the irreducible components of $\pi(D')$ are either locally closed subschemes generically finite over the generic point of Spec(R) or closed subschemes of the special fiber that do not contain μ . Therefore the closure $D := \overline{\pi(D')}$ does not contain μ and, if we denote by U its complement, then $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq V$. This implies that

$$\lim_{\mu \in U} \mathcal{O}_{C'}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \cong \lim_{\mu' \in V} \mathcal{O}_{C'}(V) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C',\mu'}$$

and the latter is a DVR, as F' has reduced closed fiber.

Corollary 3.3. With the assumption of Lemma 3.2, if F is the algebraic closure of K(R), the fraction field of R, then $F \otimes_R \mathcal{B}$ is a field.

Proof. It suffices to check that every non-zero element has an inverse. But a non-zero element of $F \otimes_R \mathcal{B}$ can be written as a non-zero element $\alpha \in K(R') \otimes_{K(R)} K(\mathcal{B})$ for $R \to R'$ an extension of DVRs. So from Lemma 3.2, as $K(R') \otimes_{K(R)} K(\mathcal{B})$ is a field, α has an inverse.

Notation 3.4. Assume that $R \to \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of DVRs that comes from a family of curves. An extension of \mathcal{B} along R is the extension of DVRs $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \otimes_R R'$. If we want to emphasize R', we will say that F' is an extension of \mathcal{B} along $R \to R'$.

Remark 3.5. If \mathcal{B}' is an extension of \mathcal{B} along $R \to R'$ and $R \to R'$ is an isomorphism on residue fields, then also $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ is an isomorphism on residue fields.

We now recall two results that we will use later

Remark 3.6. If $g : \mathcal{B} \to \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is an extension of DVRs and $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the residue field of \mathcal{B} , then if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} k_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a field, g is unramified.

Theorem 3.7 ([Ser97, Chapter 3, Section 2.4, Theorem 3]). Assume that L is the field of fractions of a smooth curve over an algebraically closed field, and $\mathcal{O} \to \text{Spec}(L)$ is a homogeneous space for a connected linear group G. Then \mathcal{O} has an L-point.

In the proof of Theorem 3.9 we will use the following lemma, which we isolate from the rest of the proof.

Lemma 3.8 ("A curve through the $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ -point"). Assume that \mathcal{B} is a DVR with residue field $k_{\mathcal{B}}$, and let $f : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ be a morphism. Assume that $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is a point that

- (1) is in the special fiber of f,
- (2) is smooth for f, and
- (3) the inclusion $k_{\mathcal{B}} \to k(p)$ is surjective.

Then there is a DVR $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with a morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ such that the composition $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is surjective, unramified and the extension of residue fields $k_{\mathcal{B}} \to k_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let π be a uniformizer for \mathcal{B} , and let \mathfrak{m}_p be the maximal ideal of Spec(A) corresponding to p. Since p is in the smooth locus of f, the image of π in the tangent space of Spec(A) at p is not 0. In particular, up to replacing Spec(A) with a neighbourhood of p, we can find n elements f_1, \ldots, f_n such that the ideal sheaf corresponding to p is (f_1, \ldots, f_n, π) , and the images of f_1, \ldots, f_n, π in $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$ are a basis for $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$.

Consider then C, the closed subscheme given by $(f_1, ..., f_n)$. Since $f_1, ..., f_n$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2$, the closed subscheme C is smooth at p (from the Jacobian criterion) and has dimension one at p. In particular, its local ring $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ at p is a DVR with residue field k(p). As π generates the maximal ideal of p in C, the map $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is surjective. It is also unramified from Remark 3.6.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a DVR whose residue field is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0. Let $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a morphism of DVRs that comes from a family of curves. Assume that:

- (i) there is a ring A of finite type over \mathcal{B} with a GL_n action,
- (ii) there is a universally closed morphism $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n] \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$, and
- (iii) there is a section $\phi : \eta \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)_{\eta}$, where η is the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$.

Then, up to replacing R with an extension $R \to R'$, \mathcal{B} with $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \otimes_R R'$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with $\operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}')$, we can extend the induced section $\widetilde{\phi} : \eta \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)_{\eta}/\operatorname{GL}_n]$ to a section $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$.

We will denote by $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ the residue field of \mathcal{B} , and with $K(\mathcal{B})$ its fraction field; the proof will essentially proceed as follows. First we use Zariski's main theorem to reduce to the case where the stabilizer of η is connected. Using Theorem 3.7, every orbit over $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ has a $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ -point. After some reduction steps which involve a refinement of Abhyankar's lemma ([Kol22, Lemma 2.53]) and Lemma 3.8, we will produce a DVR \mathcal{B}' with the same residue field as \mathcal{B} and with an étale morphism $h : \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}') \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, which maps the generic point $\eta' = \operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}'))$ of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}')$ to a point in the same orbit as $\phi(\eta)$. As $\psi(\eta')$ and $\phi(\eta)$ will be in the same orbit, they will be isomorphic over $\overline{K(\mathcal{B})}$, the algebraic closure of $K(\mathcal{B})$.

Then, using non-abelian cohomology, we will show that after possibly extending \mathcal{B} along $R' \to R$, the points $\psi(\eta')$ and $\phi(\eta)$ give points in the stack $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$ which are isomorphic over $\operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}'))$. Finally we use Rydh's theorem $[\operatorname{Ryd} 11$, Theorem B] to argue that $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is the push-out of $\operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}')) \to \operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}))$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}')) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}')$ so to obtain the desired morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$.

Example 3.10. To navigate the argument, one can consider the example of $\mathcal{B}\operatorname{PGL}_{m,\mathcal{B}} := [\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})/\operatorname{PGL}_m]$, where the action on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is the trivial one. This stack is isomorphic to a stack of the form $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$, and our data correspond to a Severi-Brauer variety X_η over the generic point η of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$.

A. DI LORENZO AND G. INCHIOSTRO

In this case, the aforementioned morphism ψ could be taken to be given by the trivial Severi-Brauer variety $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathbb{P}^m$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$, and the base change of R is needed in order to trivialize X_η .

A similar argument applies also to stacks $\mathcal{B}G_{\mathcal{B}} := [\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})/G]$ where G is a connected reductive group: in fact, Steinberg's theorem easily implies that after a suitable extension $R \to R'$ the pullback of a G-torsor over η becomes trivial, hence we can extend it in a trivial way.

Proof. We will denote by $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ the residue field of \mathcal{B} , with $K(\mathcal{B})$ its fraction field, with G the linear algebraic group GL_n and with * the action of G on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$. The first four steps of the proof will be reduction steps: we will modify A and extend R to reduce to the case where $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ has reduced closed fiber, $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is normal, the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$ is geometrically connected and the orbit of $\phi(\eta)$ is dense. In each reduction step, we will need to check two things. First, we need to check that assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) still hold, after each modification of A. Then we need to check that, after modifying A and R in the n^{th} step, the assumptions we achieved in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ step still hold. After the reductions step, we will use Theorem 3.7 and [Ryd11, Theorem B] to conclude the proof.

Reduction step 1. We reduce to the case where the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta) \in \text{Spec}(A)$ is geometrically connected. Since the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$ has a $K(\mathcal{B})$ -point (the identity), it follows from [Liu02, Exercise 3.2.11] that if we can reduce to the case of a connected stabilizer, we can then further reduce ourselves to the geometrically connected case.

The action of G induces a morphism

$$\xi: G_n \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$$
 that sends $g \mapsto g * \phi(\eta)$.

If S° denotes the connected component of the identity of the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$, we can consider the quotient scheme G_{η}/S° and the induced morphism $G_{\eta}/S^{\circ} \to \text{Spec}(A)$ which is G_{η} -equivariant and quasi-finite. Therefore the composition

$$[(G_\eta/S^\circ)/G_\eta] \longrightarrow [\operatorname{Spec}(A)_\eta/G_\eta] \longrightarrow [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$$

satisfies the assumptions of Zariski's main Theorem [LMB18, Théorème 16.5], so it factors as an open embedding and a finite morphism as below:

$$[(G_{\eta}/S^{\circ})/G_{\eta}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\Phi} [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G].$$

We check that the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold for \mathcal{Z} .

(i) As Φ is finite, it is affine, so $\mathcal{Z} \times_{[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]} \operatorname{Spec}(A) = \operatorname{Spec}(A')$ and the morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(A') \to \mathcal{Z}$ is a GL_n -torsor: we have $\mathcal{Z} = [\operatorname{Spec}(A')/G]$.

(ii) From how ξ is constructed, the section ϕ lifts to a section $\phi' : \eta \to (G_{\eta}/S^{\circ})$ so to a section $\phi' : \eta \to \mathcal{Z}$, and its automorphism group is S° . As $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$ and every GL_n -torsor is trivial over η , the map ϕ' lifts to a map $\phi'' : \eta \to \operatorname{Spec}(A')$, and its stabilizer is isomorphic to S° (so in particular it is connected).

(iii) As $\mathcal{Z} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$ is universally closed, also $\mathcal{Z} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ will be universally closed. So replacing $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with $\operatorname{Spec}(A')$, we can assume that the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$ is connected.

Reduction step 2. We reduce to the case where Spec(A) is irreducible, and the orbit of $\phi(\eta)$ is dense.

This follows by replacing Spec(A) with the closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ of $\phi(\eta)$, which we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\eta)}$, and which is connected as $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ is connected since $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$. To check that $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ is open in $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\eta)}$ we use Chevalley's theorem. The morphism $G \to \overline{\mathcal{O}(\eta)}$, $g \mapsto g * \eta$ is dominant and of finite type, so its image contains a non-empty open subset U. Then $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ is covered by gU for $g \in G$.

We check that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. It is clear that (i) and (iii) hold. As for (ii), since the map $[\overline{\mathcal{O}(\eta)}/G] \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$ is universally closed, so also its composition $[\overline{\mathcal{O}(\eta)}/G] \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G] \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is universally closed, and satisfies the assumption of the theorem. It is clear that the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$ is still connected.

Reduction step 3. We reduce to the case where Spec(A) is normal, connected, and the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ of $\phi(\eta)$ is dense.

This follows by taking the normalization ν : $\operatorname{Spec}(A^n) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, since ν is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$. From the universal property of the normalization G acts on $\operatorname{Spec}(A^n)$, so (i) holds. As the normalization is finite, also (ii) holds. Finally, as the normalization is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$, point (iii) still holds. It is clear that the stabilizer of $\phi(\eta)$ is still connected.

Reduction step 4. We reduce to the case where $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ has reduced central fiber.

After Step 3, the assumptions of [Kol22, Lemma 2.53] apply: we can perform a ramified extension $R \to R'$ with ramification index divisible enough so that, if $(A')^n$ is the normalization of $A' := A \otimes_R R'$, the closed fiber of $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_R R')$ is reduced. We check that (i), (ii) and (iii) still hold.

(i) From the universal property of the fiber product G acts on $\operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes_R R')$, and from the universal property of the normalization the action of G on $\operatorname{Spec}(A')$ extends to an action of G on $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n)$.

(iii) As $\mathcal{B} \otimes_R R'$ is a DVR from Lemma 3.2, if we denote by η' its generic point ϕ induces a section $\phi' : \eta' \to \operatorname{Spec}(A')$, and its orbit (which we denote by \mathcal{O}') is open (and smooth). From the universal property of the normalization, and since \mathcal{O}' is smooth, the normalization is an isomorphism over \mathcal{O}' , so ϕ' lifts to a map $(\phi')^n : \eta' \to \operatorname{Spec}((A')^n)$, and the orbit of $(\phi')^n(\eta')$ is open.

Before checking (ii) we check that the reductions of Steps 1 and 3 still hold. We begin by Step 3, namely that we can assume $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n)$ to be normal and connected. This holds since, up to replacing $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n)$ with its connected component $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n_c)$ containing $(\phi')^n(\eta')$ (which is the orbit closure of $(\phi')^n(\eta')$), we can still assume that $\operatorname{Spec}((A')^n_c)$ satisfies the assumptions of Step 3.

The stabilizer of $\phi'(\eta')$ is still geometrically connected, and since the normalization is an isomorphism on the orbit of $\phi'(\eta')$, also the stabilizer of $(\phi')^n(\eta')$ is geometrically connected: the reduction of Step 1 still holds.

(ii) Being universally closed is stable under base change so $[\operatorname{Spec}(A')/G] \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_R R')$ is universally closed. Since the normalization is a finite morphism, and the inclusion of a connected component is finite, also $\operatorname{Spec}((A')_c^n) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A')$ is universally closed, so $[\operatorname{Spec}((A')_c^n)/G] \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A')/G]$ is universally closed. Point (ii) follows as the composition of universally closed maps is universally closed.

This is the end of our reduction steps. So now we can assume that Spec(A) is irreducible and has a dense open orbit which is the orbit of $\phi(\eta)$, and the special fiber of $\text{Spec}(A) \to \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ is reduced.

Step 5. We construct a DVR \mathcal{B} with generic point $\eta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ and residue field $k_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}$, and a morphism $\psi : \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ such that:

- (1) the composition $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ gives an extension of DVRs $\mathcal{B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that is étale;
- (2) the extension of residue fields $k_{\mathcal{B}} \to k_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ is an isomorphism;
- (3) $\psi(\eta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}) \in \mathcal{O}(\eta).$

Consider C_{η} the complement of $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ in $\operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} K(\mathcal{B}))$, and let C be its closure in $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$. It is clear that C is G-invariant and does not contain the whole closed fiber of $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$. Therefore there is an orbit \mathcal{O} of G contained in the closed fiber, which does not intersect C, and whose closed points are all smooth points for $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$. Indeed, as f has reduced fibers, the smooth locus of f is open and dense in the special fiber. Then one can take the orbit of a smooth point away from C. This orbit has a $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ point p from Theorem 3.7. Lemma 3.8 gives a DVR $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and a morphism $\psi : \operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$ that satisfies (1) and (2). As p does not belong to C and C is closed under specialization, it also satisfies (3).

Step 6. We show that the point $\psi(\eta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}})$ constructed in Step 5 and $\phi(\eta)$ are in the same $G_{K(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})}$ -orbit, after possibly replacing \mathcal{B} with an extension along R.

As the statement is only over the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, we first work over the algebraic closure F_R of K(R). We denote by F (resp. \widetilde{F}) the fiber products $F_R \otimes_{K(R)} K(\mathcal{B})$ (resp. $F_R \otimes_{K(R)} K(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$). From Corollary 3.3 we know that F is a field, and since $\mathcal{B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is generically finite, we deduce that \widetilde{F} is a finite union of fields, i.e. $\widetilde{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$. By assumption the extension $K(R) \to K(\mathcal{B})$ has transcendence degree 1, and since $K(\mathcal{B}) \to K(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ is finite, the fields F_i are C_1 .

We denote by ϕ_F the pullback of ϕ along $\operatorname{Spec}(F) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$, then by Step 1 the stabilizer of $\phi_F(\operatorname{Spec}(F))$ is geometrically connected; we denote it by S. Then for every i we have the following exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$G(F_i) \to (G/S)(F_i) \to H^1(F_i, S).$$

But as S is connected and reductive, and F_i is C_1 , from [Ser97, Chapter 3, Section 2.3, Theorem 1'], the set $H^1(F_i, S)$ is trivial so the map $G(F_i) \to (G/S)(F_i)$ is surjective. In particular, there are $g_i \in G(F_i)$ that send the pullback of $\phi(\eta)$ to the pullback of $\psi(\operatorname{Spec}(F_i))$. Since only finitely many elements of the field extension $K(R) \to F_R$ will be needed to write each g_i , we can replace the field extension $K(R) \to F_R$ with a finite field extension $K(R) \to K(R')$.

Then, consider an extension $R \to R'$ that on the fraction field induces $K(R) \to K(R')$ as before, let $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B} \otimes_R R'$ with residue field $k_{\mathcal{B}'}$ and fraction field $K(\mathcal{B}')$, and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}' := \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_R R'$. There is no reason to believe that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'$ is a DVR; however, the map $\mathcal{B}' \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'$ is still étale, the closed fiber of $\operatorname{Spec}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}') \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}')$ is a point which we denote by p, and the residue field of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'$ at p is $k_{\mathcal{B}}$. So the local ring of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'}$ at p, which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_c$, is a DVR which is étale over \mathcal{B} , with residue field $k_{\mathcal{B}}$ and whose generic point $K(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_c)$ is in the same $G(K(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_c))$ -orbit as $\phi'(\operatorname{Spec}(K(\mathcal{B}')))$. By replacing \mathcal{B} with \mathcal{B}' and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_c$, we get the desired conclusion.

Step 7. Now we finish the proof. After Step 6, we have an étale extension $\mathcal{B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ which induces an isomorphism on residue fields, and with a diagram as follows:



As the extension $\mathcal{B} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ gives an isomorphism of residue fields, from [Ryd11, Theorem B] the square on the left above is a push-out. Then there is a map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$ as desired.

4. Extending maps from deformations of curves II

In the previous Section we proved some results about extending maps from the generic fiber of a family of curves over a DVR to the generic points of the special fiber, i.e. to codimension one points of the family. In this Section we focus instead on extending maps to codimension two points. We will see that in order to do this we might need to modify a bit the special fiber, i.e. by adding either a stacky structure (twisted nodes) or by adding a whole new component (destabilizing stacky \mathcal{P}^1 s). We also introduce twisted blow-ups of smooth surfaces (Section 4.2).

4.1. **Purity lemma for smooth points.** First, we show how to extend maps when the domain is a pointed surface that satisfies some regularity assumptions.

Proposition 4.1. Consider the following diagram, where X is an S_2 Deligne-Mumford stack, p a closed point and G is a linear algebraic group:

Assume that $G = GL_n$ or $G = \mathbb{G}_m^n$, and that either one of the following holds:

- (1) X is smooth of dimension 2, or
- (2) The map $X \setminus \{p\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G] \to \mathcal{B}G$ extends to $X \to \mathcal{B}G$.

Then there is a unique lifting $X \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$.

Proof. We do the case $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$, the case $G = \mathbb{G}_m^n$ is analogous. As the lifting will be unique, up to working on an étale neighbourhood of p we can assume that X is affine. We first show that if X has dimension 2, then the map $X \setminus \{p\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n] \to \mathcal{B}\operatorname{GL}_n$ extends to $X \to \mathcal{B}\operatorname{GL}_n$. Indeed, the morphism a induces a GL_n -torsor $F \to X \setminus \{p\}$, together with a GL_n -equivariant map $F \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Let \mathcal{F} be the rank n vector bundle on $X \setminus \{p\}$ induced by F. If $i: X \setminus \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, then $\mathcal{G}' = i_*\mathcal{F}$ is a reflexive sheaf on a smooth surface. From [Har80, Corollary 1.4] \mathcal{G}' is a vector bundle. In particular, from the equivalence between vector bundles of rank n and GL_n -torsors, there is a GL_n -torsor $\mathcal{G} \to X$ extending F.

Observe now that:

- (1) the map $\mathcal{G} \to X$ is affine so \mathcal{G} is affine,
- (2) \mathcal{G} is S_2 , and
- (3) F is open in \mathcal{G} , with complement of codimension 2.

Our goal is to extend the map $\alpha : F \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ to a *G*-equivariant map $\mathcal{G} \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. But α induces $R \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and from points (2) and (3) above, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_F) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_G)$. In particular, we have a map $A \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_G)$ which is compatible with the *G*-action. This induces the desired equivariant map $\mathcal{G} \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. \Box

4.2. Twisted blow-up. In what follows, we define *twisted blow-ups* of smooth surfaces: these are birational transformations in which a point is replaced by a stacky \mathcal{P}^1 . This construction will be needed for the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Lemma 4.2. Let R be a DVR with parameter π and let \mathcal{A} be a smooth 2-dimensional local R-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, y)$, let d > 0, m > 0 two positive integers. Let $S := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}/(y))$ be the closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ of equation y = 0 and let $B \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be the blow-up of the ideal (π^{md}, y) .

Then there is a Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ with coarse space $\rho : \mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B$ and a line bundle \mathcal{I} on $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ such that:

- (1) the closed substack of $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ given by $\pi = 0$ is a nodal twisted curve;
- (2) the closed embedding $S \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ lifts to a closed embedding $j: S \to \mathcal{B}_{m,d}$;
- (3) we have $j^*\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_S(-mp)$;
- (4) the exceptional divisor of $b: \mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to a root stack of \mathbb{P}^1 at a point;
- (5) the degree of \mathcal{I} restricted to the exceptional divisor of b is $\frac{1}{d}$;
- (6) $b_*\mathcal{I}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})}$ for every $k \leq 0$, and $(\pi^{mk}, y^{\lceil \frac{k}{d} \rceil}) \subseteq b_*\mathcal{I}^{\otimes k}$ for every k > 0.

Moreover, if there is a cyclic group μ_{ℓ} that acts on \mathcal{A} by fixing π ($\xi * \pi = \pi$) and $\xi * y = \xi y$, this action can be extended to $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ in such a way that the action is faithful on the exceptional divisor of b, fixing only the stacky point and the intersection of the exceptional divisor with j(S), and the quotient $[\mathcal{B}_{m,d}/\mu_{\ell}]$ has cyclic stabilizers. Finally, the constructions of $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ and \mathcal{I} commute with étale base change, in the following sense: let $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}') \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ be an étale morphism, and assume that the fiber of \mathfrak{m} is a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}' generated by the pullback of π and y. Let $\mathcal{B}'_{m,d}$ and \mathcal{I}' be the stacks obtained by applying the first part of this lemma to \mathfrak{m}' . Then $\mathcal{B}'_{m,d} \cong \mathcal{B}_{m,d} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}}(\mathcal{A})$, and \mathcal{I}' is the pull-back of \mathcal{I} .

Definition 4.3. The stacks $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ will be called an (m, d)-blow up, and \mathcal{I} will be called the ideal sheaf of the (m, d)-exceptional locus. When the integers (m, d) are unnecessary, we will just call it a *twisted blow up*.

The situation is summarized in the following diagram:

Point (6) in Lemma 4.2 will allow us to control the algebra $\bigoplus_i b_* \mathcal{E}^i$.

Proof. Recall that $B = \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[u, v]/(\pi^{md}u - yv))$. The chart D(u) where $u \neq 0$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spee}(\mathcal{A}\begin{bmatrix} v \\ v \end{bmatrix}/(\pi^{md} - v^v))$

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}\left[\frac{v}{u}\right]/(\pi^{md}-y\frac{v}{u}))$$

and the exceptional divisor has equation y = 0. The chart D(v) where $v \neq 0$ instead is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}\left[\frac{u}{v}\right]/(\frac{u}{v}\pi^{md}-y))\cong \operatorname{Spec}(R\left[\frac{u}{v}\right]),$$

the exceptional divisor is generated by the ideal π^{md} , and the proper transform of S is given by $\frac{u}{v} = 0$. Observe also that D(u) is smooth away from a single point (which we denote by q), and at that point there is a cyclic quotient singularity. We denote by $\mathcal{B}' \to B$ the covering stack that resolves this singularity.

Now consider the Q-Cartier divisor given by the *d*-th root of the exceptional divisor on $B \setminus \{q\}$. This is the divisor with equation $\pi^m = 0$ on D(v) and 1 away from the exceptional divisor. It induces a map $B \setminus \{q\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$ that from Proposition 4.1 gives a map $\mathcal{B}' \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ be the relative coarse moduli space of this map, and let \mathcal{I} be the dual of the resulting line bundle on $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$. In particular, \mathcal{I} is the ideal sheaf generated by π^m on D(v) (where $\mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B$ is an isomorphism) and 1 on the locus where $\mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B$ is an isomorphism. We check the desired conditions for $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$. As now m and d play no role in the rest of the argument, we drop them (namely, we define $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_{m,d}$).

To check (1), we perform a local analysis of \mathcal{B} on the stacky point, and we denote by $v' := \frac{v}{u}$. Smoothlocally, the covering stack \mathcal{B}' on the stacky points is isomorphic to $[\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![w, z]\!])/\mu_{md}]$ with the action given my $\xi * w = \xi w$ and $\xi * z = \xi^{-1}w$ and the map $\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![w, z]\!]) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}[v']/\pi^{md} - yv')$ given by $\pi \mapsto wz$ $y \mapsto z^{md}$ and $v' \mapsto w^{md}$. Our stack \mathcal{B} is obtained by first taking the coarse space of $[\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![w, z]\!])/\mu_m]$, which has an action of $\mu_d \cong \mu_{md}/\mu_m$, and then taking the stack quotient of this coarse space by μ_d . In particular:

- the coarse space of $[\operatorname{Spec}(k\llbracket w, z \rrbracket)/\mu_m]$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}(k\llbracket \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rrbracket/\alpha\beta \gamma^m)$,
- the action of μ_d leaves γ invariant, and a generator ξ of μ_d sends $\alpha \mapsto \xi \alpha$ and $\beta \mapsto \xi^{-1}\beta$, and
- the quotient map $\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\!]/\alpha\beta-\gamma^m) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R[v']/\pi^{md}-yv')$ sends $\pi \mapsto \gamma, y \mapsto \alpha^d$ and $u \mapsto \beta^d$.

Therefore the ideal (π) gets sent to the ideal of (γ) , and $\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\!]/(\alpha\beta-\gamma^m,\gamma)) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta]\!]/\alpha\beta)$ is the desired twisted nodal curve.

Points (2) and (3) follow from the construction of \mathcal{B} . Point (4) follows from the explicit description of \mathcal{B} (or from [GS17], since the exceptional divisor with the reduced structure is smooth with coarse space \mathbb{P}^1). Point (5) follows from a local analysis: \mathcal{I}^d is the ideal sheaf of the exceptional divisor, and it has corresponding

Weil divisor -(md)E where E is the reduced exceptional divisor of $B \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. From the singularities of B one can check that $E^2 = -\frac{1}{md}$, so the degree of \mathcal{I}^d restricted to the exceptional is 1. Then the degree of \mathcal{I} is $\frac{1}{d}$. The first part of (6) follows since we have a map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{I}^{\otimes k}$ as \mathcal{I} is an ideal sheaf, for $k \leq 0$. We can push forward this map to get $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X \to b_* \mathcal{I}^{\otimes k}$. The sheaf $b_* \mathcal{I}^{\otimes k}$ agrees with $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})}$ away from the closed point, which has codimension 2, so $(b_* \mathcal{I}^{\otimes k})^{**} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})}$. One can now check that ψ and ϕ are inverse to each other. For the second part of (6), so for k > 0, it suffices to check that for the two sections π^{mk} and $y^{\lceil \frac{k}{d} \rceil}$ vanish on the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}^k . Again this can be checked locally on $\mathcal{B} \smallsetminus \{q\} = B \smallsetminus \{q\} = D(v)$, as \mathcal{I} is S_2 . Here the desired statement follows from the explicit description of D(v).

For the moreover part, observe that if we can put the same action of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell}$ on y also on u (namely, $\xi * u = \xi u$) then this action can be extended to B and therefore also to \mathcal{B}' . As the divisor π^m is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell}$ -invariant, the action extends also on \mathcal{B} . We now check that the stabilizers are cyclic, by explicitly describing this action on \mathcal{B} . As $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell}$ is cyclic and \mathcal{B} is smooth away from its stacky point, we just check how the action is defined on the stacky point of \mathcal{B} . Recall that smooth locally at this stacky point, \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to $[\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\!]/(\alpha\beta-\gamma^m))/\boldsymbol{\mu}_d]$, and the action of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell}$ on its coarse space is trivial on π and the characters of y and $v' = \frac{v}{u}$ are inverse to each other. We can consider then the action of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell d}$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\!])$ that leaves γ invariant, and acts faithfully with dual characters on β and α . One can check that this gives an action of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell d}/\boldsymbol{\mu}_d$ on $[\operatorname{Spec}(k[\![\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\!]/(\alpha\beta-\gamma^m)/\boldsymbol{\mu}_d]$ which extends the desired action: the stabilizers are cyclic as they are a subgroup of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell d}$. The commutativity with étale base changes follows from the explicit construction of \mathcal{B} . \Box

4.3. Lifting line bundles via twisted blow-ups. We now study the local structure of a nodal singularity that does not smooth over a DVR R with uniformizer π . This will be the pushout of a diagram that has the two branches of the node B_1 and B_2 , together with the inclusions of the singular locus $S \to B_1$ and $S \to B_2$. We adopt the following

Notation 4.4. We denote by R_1 and R_2 the localizations of two smooth smooth *R*-algebras of dimension 2, so that $B_i = \operatorname{Spec}(R_i)$, and the maps $R \to R_i$ are injective. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1 = (\pi, x)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_2 = (\pi, y)$) be maximal ideal of R_1 (resp. R_2). As $R_1/(x) \cong R_2/(y)$ are isomorphic to R, we identify both with $R := R_1/x$. So $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and let $i_1 : S \to B_1$ (resp. $i_2 : S \to B_2$) be the closed embedding given by x = 0 (resp. y = 0).

We can then form a cocartesian diagram

$$S \longleftrightarrow B_1$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$B_2 \longleftrightarrow N$$

The scheme N is a (constant) nodal singularity over Spec(R), where B_i are the two branches of the node. We denote $p \in N$ the single node over the closed point of Spec(R).

Proposition 4.5. With the notation from Notation 4.4, let A be a ring and let \mathbb{G}_{m}^{n} act on Spec(A). Consider the action of the k-th \mathbb{G}_{m} on Spec(A) and the induced \mathbb{Z} -grading on A, and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the graded ring A is generated in degrees [-d, d]. Assume that there is a diagram as follows:

By composing with the map $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$, we have n line bundles on $N \setminus \{p\}$, and assume that the line bundles corresponding to the first k-1 factors of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ lift, but the remaining n-k-1 do not.

A. DI LORENZO AND G. INCHIOSTRO

Then we can perform a birational transformation $\rho : \mathcal{N}_d \to N$ which:

- is an isomorphism on $\rho^{-1}(N \setminus \{p\})$;
- $\mathcal{N}_d \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is flat and the special fiber is a nodal twisted curve with 3 irreducible components and a single stacky point $q \in \mathcal{N}_d$;
- the map ρ contracts a single (stacky) curve \mathcal{P}^1 whose coarse space is \mathbb{P}^1 ;
- there is a commutative diagram as follows, where α is representable and we denote again by p the intersection of the double locus of \mathcal{N}_d and the closed fiber:

• the line bundle corresponding to the k-th factor of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}^n_m$ lifts to a line bundle on \mathcal{N}_d with degree $\frac{1}{d}$ on the stacky \mathcal{P}^1 , and the line bundles corresponding to the first k-1 factors of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}^n_m$ still lift as well.

The construction of \mathcal{N}_d commutes with étale base change.

Moreover, if there is an action of μ_{ℓ} on R_1 (resp. R_2) which is trivial on π (i.e. $\xi * \pi = \pi$) and acts faithfully on x (resp. y), such that a and b commute with this action, then we can put an action of μ_{ℓ} on \mathcal{N}_d such that α and β are equivariant. This action leaves \mathcal{P}^1 invariant and it acts faithfully on it.

The stack \mathcal{N}_d in the statement of Proposition 4.5 is obtained as follows: first, one performs an (m, d)-blow up on one of the two branches B_i (for example, $\mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B_2$) centered at the point p of the irreducible component B_2 . Then, one glues B_1 and $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ along the proper transform of S.



Figure: the stacky surface \mathcal{N}_d .

The integer d depends on the action of the k^{th} factor of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$, as specified in the statement of Proposition 4.5. The integer m depends on the map $N \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$, where the last morphism is the projection on the k^{th} factor.

More precisely, the composition above corresponds to a \mathbb{G}_{m} -torsor $T_{k} \to N \setminus \{p\}$, obtained by gluing the two restrictions $T_{k|B_{1} \setminus \{p\}} \to B_{1}$ and $T_{k|B_{2} \setminus \{p\}}$ along $S \setminus \{p\} = \operatorname{Spec}(R_{0})$. Such a gluing corresponds to an invertible element $f \in R_{0}$, and the integer m is obtained by the valuation of f at the closed point of R.

Remark 4.6. If we can lift *all* the line bundles coming from $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}$ to N, then from Proposition 4.1 we can uniquely lift the map a to $N \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}]$.

Proof of Proposition 4.5. First observe that if we can find a map α which satisfies all the conditions except for its representability, then we can find a representable one, as we can take its relative coarse moduli space.

14

As our construction of \mathcal{N}_d will commute with étale base change, we consider the case $B_1 := \operatorname{Spec}(R[x])$ and $B_2 := \operatorname{Spec}(R[y])$. We also denote by $\mathcal{X} := [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m^n]$.

We begin by analyzing the first diagram of the proposition. As $N \setminus \{p\}$ is a push-out, the data of a map $N \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to two maps $\phi_i : B_i \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{X}$, and an isomorphism $\phi_1 \circ i_{1,\eta} \to \phi_2 \circ i_{2,\eta}$, where $i_{j,\eta}$ denotes the restriction of the inclusion i_j to the generic point η of S.

From Proposition 4.1, the two maps ϕ_i extend (we still denote the extensions by ϕ_i), so in order for a not to lift we need that the isomorphism $\phi_1 \circ i_{1,\eta} \to \phi_2 \circ i_{2,\eta}$ does not extend. More explicitly, the maps ϕ_i induce $n \mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor $\{T_{i,j} \to B_i\}_{j=1}^n$, which are trivial torsor as B_i is regular and local. When restricted to S, these give torsors $(T_{i,j})|_{S_{\eta}}$ and we have an isomorphism $f_{T,j}: (T_{1,j})|_{S_{\eta}} \to (T_{2,j})|_{S_{\eta}}$ for every j when we restrict these torsors to η , the generic point of S. If we denote by \mathcal{L}_i the line bundle associated to $T_{i,k}$, the map $f_{T,k}$ induces a map $f_{\eta}: (\mathcal{L}_1)|_{S_{\eta}} \to (\mathcal{L}_2)|_{S_{\eta}}$. Up to swapping $f_{T,k}$ with its inverse, we can assume that this extends to a map $f: (\mathcal{L}_1)|_S \to (\mathcal{L}_2)|_S \to (\mathcal{L}_2)|_S (-mp)$ where p is the closed point of S, for a certain strictly positive integer m (positive as the k-th line bundle does not extend to N).

Now, the map ϕ_2 induces a map $\varphi: A \to \mathcal{O}_{T_2}(\mathcal{O}_{T_2}) = R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$ where T_2 is the \mathbb{G}_m^n -torsor over B_2 , and by definition of d the generators of A as a k-algebra map into $\mathcal{R}t_k^{-d} \oplus \mathcal{R}t_k^{-d+1} \oplus ... \oplus \mathcal{R}t_k^d$, where $\mathcal{R} = R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$. For these choices of d and m, let $b: \mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B_2$ be the blow-up of Lemma 4.2, with line bundle \mathcal{I} , and let $j: S \to \mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ be the lifting of $S \to B_2$ to $\mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to B_2$ of Lemma 4.2. Then $\mathcal{I}_{|S} = (\mathcal{L}_2)_{|S}(-mp)$, and consider $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{B}_{m,d}}(\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{I}^j)$ be the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to \mathcal{I} . From Lemma 4.2, we have an inclusion $b_*(\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{I}^j) = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} b_*\mathcal{I}^j \subseteq R[\![y]\!][t^{\pm i}]$ and since $R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_{k-1}^{\pm 1}, t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a flat $R[\![y]\!]$ -algebra, an inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_* \mathcal{I}^j \otimes_{R[\![y]\!]} R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_{k-1}^{\pm 1}, t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}] \subseteq R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}].$$

We interpret both terms in this equality. The right hand side is $\mathcal{O}_{T_2}(T_2)$: the regular functions on the total space of the \mathbb{G}_m^n -torsor over B_2 . The left hand side are the regular functions on the total space of the \mathbb{G}_m^n -torsor over $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ that on the *j*-th component, for $j \neq k$, is the pull back of $T_{2,j}$, and on the *k*-th component is the torsor associated to the line bundle \mathcal{I} of Lemma 4.2. We denote this torsor by \mathcal{T} .

Then the situation is as in the following diagram:

ł

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_* \mathcal{I}^j \otimes_{R\llbracket y \rrbracket} R\llbracket y \rrbracket [t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_{k-1}^{\pm 1}, t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$$

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi} R\llbracket y \rrbracket [t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}].$$

Claim. The map $\varphi: A \to R[\![y]\!][t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$ lifts to $A \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T})$.

Observe that this would finish the argument. Indeed, we would have a graded map $b^*A \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T})$, so an equivariant map $\mathcal{T} \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. This in turns induces a map $\mathcal{B}_{m,d} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that when restricted to S(which embeds in $\mathcal{B}_{m,d}$ via j) gives a \mathbb{G}_m^n -torsor whose k-th line bundle is $(\mathcal{L}_2)_{|S}(-mp)$, and such that the isomorphism $f_{T,k}$ extends.

A. DI LORENZO AND G. INCHIOSTRO

Proof of the claim. Let g be a generator of A in degree k. Observe the following commutative diagram. where K(R) is the fraction field of R, π is the uniformizer of R and u a unit of R:



If we chase the generator g via the diagram above, we see that $\varphi(g) \in (\pi^{mk}, y)$. In particular, from Lemma 4.2 points (6), every generator of A maps via φ to $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_* \mathcal{I}^j \llbracket y \rrbracket [t_1^{\pm 1}, ..., t_{k-1}^{\pm 1}, t_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, ..., t_n^{\pm 1}]$. Therefore the map φ lifts.

The moreover part follows as above, from Lemma 4.2.

4.4. Iterated twisted blow-ups. We end this Section with a mild generalization of Proposition 4.5, which can be understood as follows. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will use Proposition 4.5 iteratively, to lift each \mathbb{G}_{m} -torsors associated to $N \setminus \{p\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$; and once we will lift all the \mathbb{G}_{m} -torsors, we can lift the map from Remark 4.6. Doing so will not represent any new significant challenge, however there are some technical aspect that we prefer to isolate from the proof of Theorem 1.1 in order to simplify its exposition. This is why we introduce Corollary 4.7.

With the same notation as in Notation 4.4, let $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)} \to B_2$ be a twisted blow up of B_2 at p: observe that in $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)}$ we have a distinguished point that lies in the intersection of the proper transform of S with the exceptional divisor. With a little abuse of notation, we call such a point p and we denote the proper transform of S in $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)}$ by the same name. By construction $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack and

- (1) there is a Zariski open morphism $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)} \smallsetminus \{p\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_2^{(1)}$; (2) we can take an étale neighbourhood $\mathcal{B}_{2,p}^{(1)}$ of p in $\mathcal{B}_2^{(1)}$, and we can apply Lemma 4.2 to obtain a stack $\mathcal{B}_{2,p}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{B}_{2,p}^{(1)};$
- (3) The blow-ups constructed étale locally glue (from the last part of Lemma 4.2) and give a diagram as below

16

The stack $\mathcal{B}_2^{(2)}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, that is isomorphic to $(B_2)|_{\eta}$ over the generic point η of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and whose fiber over the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ consists of a chain of two stacky \mathbb{P}^1 's and an open subset of a curve, meeting in two stacky points with cyclic stabilizers, as in the figure below.

Again, we can consider the proper transform of S in $\mathcal{B}_2^{(2)}$: this meets the new exceptional divisor in a (schematic) point p, so we can apply again points (1)-(3) above.

Doing this operation r times, we obtain an *iterated twisted blow up* $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r)}$: this is flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, it is isomorphic to $B_{2|\eta}$ over the generic point, and the special fiber consists of a chain of r stacky \mathbb{P}^1 's with twisted nodes.

We can glue back $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r)}$ to B_1 along S: we denote the resulting stack by $\mathcal{N}^{(r)}$.



Figure: an iterated twisted blow-up.

Corollary 4.7. Let Spec(A) be an affine scheme endowed with a \mathbb{G}^n_m action as in Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{N}^{(r)}$ be a stack obtained by performing an iterated twisted blow up on N, as explained above. Assume that there is a diagram as follows:

By composing with the map $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m^n] \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n$, we have n line bundles on $\mathcal{N}^{(r)} \setminus \{p\}$, and assume that the line bundles corresponding to the first k-1 factors of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n$ lift, but the remaining n-k-1 do not. Then we can perform a birational transformation $\rho : \mathcal{N}^{(r+1)} \to \mathcal{N}^{(r)}$ which:

inch we can perjoint a orranonal transformation p :

- is an isomorphism on $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{N}^{(r)} \setminus \{p\});$
- $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is flat and the special fiber is a nodal twisted curve made of r+1 irreducible components which are stacky \mathbb{P}^1 's, and r twisted nodes;
- the map ρ contracts a single (stacky) curve \mathcal{P}^1 whose coarse space is \mathbb{P}^1 ;
- there is a commutative diagram as follows, where α is representable and we denote again by p the intersection of the proper transform of S with the closed fiber:



A. DI LORENZO AND G. INCHIOSTRO

- the line bundle corresponding to the k-th factor of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}^n_m$ lifts to a line bundle on $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)}$ with degree $\frac{1}{d}$ on the stacky \mathcal{P}^1 , and the line bundles corresponding to the first k-1 factors of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}^n_m$ still lift as well.
- The construction of $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)}$ commutes with étale base change.

Remark. The stack $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)}$ in the statement of Proposition 4.5 is obtained by performing an (m, d)-blow up. As in Proposition 4.5, the integers m and d are determined by the morphism $N \setminus \{p\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m^n]$.

The proof of Corollary 4.7 essentially relies on the following.

Lemma 4.8. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a DM stack which is S_2 and of dimension 2, and let p be a closed point and U its complement. Assume that one has a line bundle L on U, that extends to a line bundle on an étale neighbourhood $j: V \to \mathcal{X}$ of p. Then it extends to a line bundle on \mathcal{X} .

Proof. Up to working on a chart, we can assume that $\mathcal{X} = X$ is a scheme. If $i: U \to X$ is the inclusion, then i_*L is S_2 . The pull-back of S_2 sheaves under étale morphisms is still S_2 , so j^*i_*L is S_2 . As it agrees with a line bundle away from the preimage of p, the sheaf j^*i_*L is a line bundle, so its stalk at the preimage of p is one dimensional. So the stalk of i_*L at p is one dimensional, so i_*L is a line bundle as desired. \Box

Proof of Corollary 4.7. First, we perform an additional iteration of the twisted blow-up. Write $\mathcal{B}_1^{(r)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r)}$ for the two components of $\mathcal{N}^{(r)}$. We define $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r+1)}$ by performing an (m, d)-blow up of $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r)}$ centered at p as explained above (the values of m and d and the branch on which to perform the blow up are determined exactly as in Proposition 4.5), and then we define $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)}$ by gluing together $\mathcal{B}_1^{(r)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2^{(r+1)}$ along S. Let $\varphi_k : \mathcal{N}^{(r)} \smallsetminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, where the last map is the projection on the k^{th} factor, and let

Let $\varphi_k : \mathcal{N}^{(r)} \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, where the last map is the projection on the k^{th} factor, and let $\mathcal{N}_p^{(r)}$ be an étale neighbourhood of p, so that we have the restricted morphism $\varphi_{k,p} : \mathcal{N}_p^{(r)} \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$. Applying Proposition 4.5 we can lift this map to $\mathcal{N}_p^{(r+1)}$, and this extension is unique. We also have the restriction $\varphi_{k,0} : \mathcal{N}^{(r)} \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, hence we obtain a commutative diagram



The dotted arrow exists on the codimension one points of $\mathcal{N}^{(r+1)}$ since those are the codimension one points of $\mathcal{N}^{(r)}$ (where $\varphi_{k,0}$ is defined), and the generic point of the exceptional divisor for the twisted blow-up (where φ'_k comes from the line bundle \mathcal{I} of Lemma 4.2). Then the dotted arrow exists from Lemma 4.8. The other statements are straightforward to check using Proposition 4.5.

5. PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE PART OF THE VALUATIVE CRITERION

This section is devoted to the proof of our main theorem. On a first approximation, the argument proceeds as follows. We first deal with the case where the generic fiber is smooth. For this case, using the properness of Kontsevich spaces, we find a limit of the map on good moduli spaces. We will lift this to a map to the stack, up to adding some stacky structure along the nodes of the generic fiber, in two steps. First, we will lift it along the generic points of the special fiber using Theorem 3.9. Then we will use Proposition 4.1 to extend it along the closed points of the special fiber.

To deal with a nodal generic fiber, we reduce to the smooth case by taking the normalization of the generic fiber, and we use the fact that a nodal curve is a pushout. Therefore, we first extend the map to the normalization of the generic fiber, using the case of a smooth generic fiber. After that, using Proposition 4.5, we show that we can extend the pushout data up to performing some (m, d)-blowups. Finally we will use some results from Section 5.1 on contracting (stacky) rational curves to obtain point (4) in Definition 2.2.

Theorem 5.1. Let R be a DVR with algebraically closed residue field, let η (resp. x) be the generic (resp. closed) point of Spec(R). Let $\mathcal{M} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack by a linear algebraic group G having a projective good moduli space \mathcal{M} . Assume we are given a pointed twisted map $(\pi : C_{\eta} \to \eta, \phi : C_{\eta} \to \mathcal{M}, \{\sigma_i : \Sigma_{i,\eta} \to C_{\eta}\})$ over η .

Then, up to replacing $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with a ramified cover, we can extend the pointed twisted map over η to a pointed twisted map $(\pi : \mathcal{C}_R \to \operatorname{Spec}(R), \phi : \mathcal{C}_R \to \mathcal{M}, \{\sigma_i : \Sigma_{i,R} \to \mathcal{C}_R\})$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Proof. First observe that we can assume $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$: indeed, by hypothesis we have $G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_n$, hence $\mathcal{M} = [X/G] = [Y/\operatorname{GL}_n]$, where Y is the quotient of $X \times \operatorname{GL}_n$ by G.

Let $(\pi : C_{\eta} \to \eta, \phi : C_{\eta} \to \mathcal{M}, \{\sigma_i : \Sigma_{i,\eta} \to C_{\eta}\})$ be a family of pointed twisted curves, with coarse space C_{η} . Let $\Sigma_{\eta} := \bigcup \Sigma_{i,\eta}$ be the extra stacky points and let S_{η} be its coarse moduli space.

Case 1: C_{η} is smooth and its coarse space is *not* a destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 .

Then the resulting map $(C_{\eta}, S_{\eta}) \to M$ is a stable map. From properness of Kontsevich stable maps, it can be extended to a map $(C_R, S_R) \to M$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, up to replacing R with a ramified cover of it. We perform a root stack $\mathcal{C}'_R \to C_R$, ramified over S_R , so that its restriction to the generic fiber $(\mathcal{C}'_R)_{|\eta}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C}_{η} .

We first extend the map $(\mathcal{C}'_R)|_{\eta} \to \mathcal{M}$ to the generic points of the special fiber. If ξ is one such generic point, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'_R,\xi}$ is a DVR and the induced map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'_R,\xi}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ comes from a family of curves, in the sense of Definition 3.1. Moreover, as $\mathcal{M} = [X/G]$ is a quotient stack, it has a Zariski open cover given by substacks of the form $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/G]$ and hence we have a factorization



where μ (and therefore also μ') is universally closed from [AHLH18, Theorem A.8].

Therefore Theorem 3.9 applies, and we have a map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'_R,\xi}) \to \mathcal{M}$ extending our map on $(\mathcal{C}'_R)_{\eta}$. This allows us to extend the map $\mathcal{C}'_{\eta} \to \mathcal{M}$ to the generic points of the special fiber of $\mathcal{C}'_R \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. We can now spread out this map: up to removing a finite set of points $\{p_1, ..., p_n\}$ of the special fiber, we get an extension $\mathcal{C}'_R \setminus \{p_1, ..., p_r\} \to \mathcal{M}$.

Observe that \mathcal{C}'_R has cyclic quotient singularities, so we can take its covering stack $\mathcal{C}''_R \to \mathcal{C}'_R$. We show now that we can extend the map to $\mathcal{C}''_R \to \mathcal{C}'_R$ in a unique way.

As the extension will be unique, we can replace C''_R to the strict henselization of the local ring at the points p_i , that we denote by \mathcal{R}_i . The map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i) \to M$ lifts to $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}^G)$, and similarly $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i) \setminus \{p\} \to \mathcal{M}$ lifts to $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i) \setminus \{p\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})/G]$. As $\mathcal{C}''_{\mathcal{R}}$ is smooth, $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ is smooth. So from Proposition 4.1 we can extend this map uniquely to $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_i) \to \mathcal{M}$. This gives the extension to a map $\mathcal{C}''_{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathcal{M}$, and now we can take $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathcal{M}$ to be its relative coarse moduli space. One can check that this procedure gives a pointed twisted stable map over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R})$.

Case 2: $(\mathcal{C}_{\eta}, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ is such that its coarse space is a destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 .

The coarse space of \mathcal{C}_{η} is \mathbb{P}^{1}_{η} , and the two gerbes give two points p_{1}, p_{2} in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{η} . Those are sections of $\mathcal{P}^{1}_{\eta} \to \eta$, so we have a map $\eta \to M$, and in turn as M is proper, a map $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \to M$. We can extend $(\mathbb{P}^1_{\eta}, p_1, p_2) \to \eta$, as $\mathbb{P}^1_{\eta} = \operatorname{Proj}(K(R)[X_0, X_1])$, to the trivial family over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, to get $(\mathbb{P}^1_R, S_1, S_2)$, where S_1 and S_2 are obtained by taking the closure of p_1 and p_2 . Observe that, up to acting on \mathbb{P}^1_{η} via $\operatorname{Aut}((\mathbb{P}^1_n, p_1, p_2)) \simeq \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(K(R))$, we can assume that S_1 and S_2 remain disjoint in the limit.

If μ_{k_i} is the stabilizer of Σ_i , we can take a root stack of \mathbb{P}^1_R along S_1 and S_2 with orders k_1 and k_2 respectively. If we denote this root stack by \mathcal{P}^1_R , then $\mathcal{P}^1_\eta \cong \mathcal{C}_\eta$, and we have the following diagram of solid arrows:



We now proceed as in the previous case and we obtain the desired extension.

General case: We now assume that $(\mathcal{C}_{\eta}, \Sigma_{\eta}) \to \mathcal{M}$ is a family of twisted pointed curves over η . Let $(\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{n}, \Sigma_{\eta} + \Theta_{\eta}) \to \mathcal{M}$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{C}_{\eta} \to \eta$, where Θ_{η} is the preimage of the nodal points. Up to replacing R with a cover of it, we can assume that the connected components of Θ_{η} are gerbes over η . Therefore from cases (1) and (2) we can extend the twisted pointed map $(\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{n}, \Sigma_{\eta} + \Theta_{\eta}) \to \mathcal{M}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ to get maps $((\mathcal{C}')_{R}^{n}, \Sigma_{R} + \Theta_{R}) \to \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\Theta_{i,\eta}$ and $\Theta_{i,R}$ be the connected components of Θ_{η} and Θ_R , indexed by $i \in I$. Recall that C_{η} is obtained from C_{η}^n via the gluing data $\Theta_{i,\eta} \simeq \Theta_{\sigma(i),\eta}$, where $\sigma : I \to I$ is an involution with no fixed points. By construction, the gerbes $\Theta_{i,R}$ are obtained as root stacks over their image in the coarse space of $(\mathcal{C}')_R^n$; from this, it follows easily that the gluing data over the generic point extend to isomorphisms $\Theta_{i,R} \simeq \Theta_{\sigma(i),R}$, and therefore from $(\mathcal{C}')_R^n$ and the extended gluing data we can obtain a new family of curves \mathcal{C}'_R . We summarize now the situation in the diagram of solid arrows below:



We wish to extend the dotted arrow ϕ . Observe that ϕ is already defined away from the one dimensional nodes of C'_R , hence we just need to extend it at the nodes. Indeed, if the node is an isolated singularity, then we can apply Proposition 4.1 to extend the map on the node. Otherwise, if the node is not an isolated singularity, we cannot in general extend the map (see Section 2.2.2). Nevertheless, we will show that the map can be extended after performing some twisted blow-ups as in Corollary 4.7.

Therefore, let us fix a node $\Theta_{i,R}$ which is not an isolated singularity of \mathcal{C}'_R . Let then $T_{i,R} \to \mathcal{C}'_R$ be the map $\Theta_{i,R} \to \mathcal{C}'_R$ on coarse spaces. As $T_{i,R} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is an isomorphism, we have a section $s : \operatorname{Spec}(R) \to T_{i,R} \to M$. As $\mathcal{M} = [X/\operatorname{GL}_n]$ is a quotient stack, there is a ring A with an action of GL_n on it such that there is a Zariski open morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(A^{\operatorname{GL}_n}) \to M$ and an isomorphism $\operatorname{Spec}(A^{\operatorname{GL}_n}) \times_M \mathcal{M} \cong [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$. We can choose the map $\operatorname{Spec}(A^{\operatorname{GL}_n}) \to M$ to be a neighbourhood of s(x), namely a neighbourhood of the image of the closed point via s.

In what follows we will adopt the following notation: we denote by p_i the closed point of $\Theta_{i,R}$ and we use the symbol \mathcal{N}_i to denote a small enough étale neighbourhood of p_i , which is obtained by gluing two

smooth irreducible components B_1 and B_2 along a closed subscheme S, thus forming the codimension one singularity.

We will now proceed in three steps. First, we will collect some numerical invariants $d, m_1, ..., m_n$ that depend only on the neighbourhood of s(x) we choose and on the map $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \to \mathcal{M}$; second, we will perform some twisted blow-ups and, using the uniqueness of the extension in Corollary 4.7 once the numerical invariants are chosen. Finally, we will apply Theorem 5.6 to guarantee that the resulting limit satisfies condition (4) in Definition 2.2.

Step 1: we collect the numeric invariants $d, m_1, ..., m_n$. To do so, consider the inclusion of the diagonal torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \to \operatorname{GL}_n$. We first show that the map $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/(\operatorname{GL}_n]$ lifts to $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n]$, using Smith's normal form.

As $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n] \cong [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n] \times_{\mathcal{B}\operatorname{GL}_n} (\mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ and from the universal property of the fiber product, it suffices to check that the vector bundle induced by $\mathcal{N}_i \smallsetminus \{p_i\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n] \to \mathcal{B}\operatorname{GL}_n$ splits as a sum of line bundles. If we denote by B_1 and B_2 the two branches of \mathcal{N}_i , the data of a map $\mathcal{N}_i \smallsetminus \{p_i\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$ is the data of two vector bundles $E_j \to B_j$ together with an isomorphism $\phi: (E_1)_{|S_\eta} \to (E_2)_{|S_\eta}$, where S is the singular locus of \mathcal{N}_i (and which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$). Up to choosing two isomorphisms $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{B_1}^{\oplus n} \to E_1$ and $\beta: \mathcal{O}_{B_1}^{\oplus n} \to E_1$, the map ϕ corresponds to a matrix A with entries in the fraction field of R. If π is a uniformizer of R, there is an $\ell \geq 0$ such that $\pi^{\ell}A$ has coefficients in R, therefore from Smith's normal form we can find two matrices D'_1 and D'_2 with entries in R such that $D'_1\pi^{\ell}AD'_2 = \Delta$ is diagonal. As $S \to B_j$ is a closed embedding of affine schemes, if $B_j = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}_j)$, we can find two matrices D_1 and D_2 with entries in \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 respectively which restrict to D'_1 and D'_2 . Those remain invertible as their determinant is not 0 when restricted to the closed point of S (and also of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}_j)$). Therefore if we change basis on E_j according to D_j , we can assume that the morphism ϕ is a diagonal matrix.

Moreover, the diagonal entries are of the form $(u_1\pi^{m_1}, ..., u_n\pi^{m_n})$ where u_j are units, and $m_j \leq m_{j+1}$ for j < n. Therefore, from the uniqueness properties of Smith's normal form, the integers m_j are uniquely determined.

To determine the integers d_1, \ldots, d_n , we look at the action of \mathbb{G}_m^n on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$: the k^{th} factor of \mathbb{G}_m^n defines a grading on A, and we set d_k to be an integer such that the graded ring A is generated in degree $[-d_k, d_k]$. **Step 2**: We extend the map $\mathcal{N}_i \smallsetminus \{p_i\} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n]$. We start by extending the induced morphism $\mathcal{N}_i \smallsetminus \{p_i\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n$.

For this, we first apply Proposition 4.5, thus obtaining a stack $\mathcal{N}_i^{(1)}$ where we can extend the composition $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, the last map being the projection on the first factor. The stack $\mathcal{N}_i^{(1)}$ is obtained by performing an (m_1, d_1) -blow up on one branch, and then glue the two branches back together.

We can then apply Corollary 4.7 to produce a stack $\mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}$ together with an extension of the composition $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\} \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^n \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m^2$, the last map being the the projection on the first two factors. Again, the stack $\mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}$ is obtained by performing an (m_2, d_2) -blow up on the second branch and then gluing back together the two branches.

After applying Corollary 4.7 enough times, we obtain a stack $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)}$ which is birational to \mathcal{N}_i , its exceptional divisor consists of a chain of stacky \mathcal{P}^1 's, with twists in the nodes, and more importantly it has a morphism to \mathcal{B}_m^n that extends the one defined on $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\}$.

We can now apply Remark 4.6 to obtain a map $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m^n]$. Composing this map with the morphism $[\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{G}_m^n] \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$, we finally obtain $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)} \to [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n] \to \mathcal{M}$. We can repeat the process above for every closed non smoothable node p_i on the central fiber of $\mathcal{C}'_R \to$

We can repeat the process above for every closed non smoothable node p_i on the central fiber of $\mathcal{C}'_R \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, thus producing several $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)}$ for every *i*, and a morphism $\sqcup_i \mathcal{N}_i^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Observe that the complement in $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)}$ of the exceptional divisor is by construction isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_i \setminus \{p_i\}$, and \mathcal{N}_i is an étale neighbourhood of p_i . The construction of $\mathcal{N}_i^{(n)}$ are uniquely determined by the integers $d, m_1, ..., m_n$, so they commute with étale base change. Then we can glue them to get a twisted curve $\mathcal{C}_{i,R}^{"}$, i.e. we get the following diagram, where the vertical maps are étale neighbourhoods

$$\begin{array}{c} \sqcup_{i}\mathcal{N}_{i}\smallsetminus\{p_{i}\} \longrightarrow \sqcup_{i}\mathcal{N}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_{R}'\smallsetminus(\sqcup_{i}p_{i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i,R}'' \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

The morphism $\sqcup_i \mathcal{N}_i^{(n)} \to \mathcal{M}$, which extend $\sqcup_i \mathcal{N}_i \smallsetminus \{p_i\} \to \mathcal{M}$, are uniquely determined by the integers $d, m_1, ..., m_n$, the map $\mathcal{C}'_R \smallsetminus (\sqcup_i p_i) \to \mathcal{M}$, and a choice of a maximal torus in GL_n (the diagonal one) so they descend to $\mathcal{C}''_{i,R} \to \mathcal{M}$ as in the diagram above. In other terms, after performing twisted blow ups at the non-smoothable nodes in the central fiber of $\mathcal{C}'_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, we are able to extend the map.

Step 3: the goal of this last step is contracting stacky \mathcal{P}^1 s that factor via $\mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k \to \mathcal{M}$ for some integer k. In fact, at the end of step (2), we have proved that we can extend the morphism $C_\eta \to \mathcal{M}$ the map whose domain is a new family of curves \mathcal{C}_R'' . We are then left with showing that if the generic fiber is a twisted map, then we can assume that also the generic one is a twisted map. More precisely, we claim that we can perform a further birational modification $\mathcal{C}_R'' \to \mathcal{C}_R$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ so that the morphism $\mathcal{C}_R'' \to \mathcal{M}$ descends to a morphism $\mathcal{C}_R \to \mathcal{M}$ and the new family of twisted curves $\mathcal{C}_R \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ satisfies also condition (4) in Definition 2.2. This claim follows from Theorem 5.6.

5.1. Contracting (stacky) \mathcal{P}^1 s on a stacky surface. The goal of this section is to collect a few results, analogous to those of Artin [Art62], on contracting rational (stacky) curves on 2-dimensional Deligne-Mumford stacks. We focus on the case where the coarse moduli spaces of our surface singularities are A_n singularities, as those are the singularities which are of interest for one parameter families of curves. Therefore we begin with the following.

Lemma 5.2. Consider the singularity $X = \text{Spec}(k[x, z, y]/xy - z^a)$, with a > 1, and assume that μ_k acts on $k[x, z, y]/xy - z^a$ by sending $\xi * x = \xi x$, $\xi * y = \xi^{-1}y$ and $\xi * z = z$. Consider the blow-up of the closed point of X. Then the action extends to the two exceptional divisors, and the action on the two components of the exceptional divisor is balanced. Such an extension is unique.

Proof. First observe that we can extend the action of interest to an action on \mathbb{A}^3 . We can extend it to the blow-up of \mathbb{A}^3 at the origin: this is $\operatorname{Proj}(k[x, y, z, u, v, w]/(xu - yv, xw - zv, yw - zu))$, and extend our action by defining $\xi * u = \xi^{-1}u$, $\xi * v = \xi v$ and $\xi * w = w$. We can take the proper transform of the hypersurface $xy - z^a$, which will be the desired blow-up with the desired action extended. The uniqueness follows as both actions agree on a dense open subset, and our blow-up is separated.

Remark 5.3. It is easy to check how the action is defined on the blow-up of Lemma 5.2. Indeed, the chart where w = 1 is isomorphic to $k[\frac{u}{w}, \frac{v}{w}, z]/\frac{v}{w}\frac{u}{w} - z^{a-2}$, with the action that sends $\xi * \frac{v}{w} = \xi \frac{v}{w}$ and $\xi * \frac{u}{w} = \xi^{-1} \frac{u}{w}$ and $\xi * z = z$. The charts where u = 1 or the one where v = 1 can be described analogously.

Proposition 5.4. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a proper 2-dimensional Deligne-Mumford stack, which is a scheme in codimension one, with coarse moduli space X. Let $\mathcal{P}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a proper substack with coarse moduli space isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Assume that:

- (1) There are two distinct points $p, q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ with stabilizer μ_k , such that $\mathcal{P}^1 \setminus \{p, q\}$ is a scheme,
- (2) The singularities of \mathcal{X} (resp. X) at p and q are of type A_{m-1} and A_{n-1} (resp. A_{km-1} and A_{kn-1} respectively,

- (3) \mathcal{X} is smooth at every point of $\mathcal{P}^1 \setminus \{p,q\}$, and
- (4) There is a contraction $f : X \to Y$ whose exceptional divisor is just the coarse moduli space of \mathcal{P}^1 , and Y has $A_{n'}$ -singularities for an integer n'.

Then there is a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{Y} with coarse moduli space Y and with a representable map $\psi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ lifting f, which induces isomorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(p) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\psi(p))$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(q) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\psi(q))$.

Proof. We can assume $k \geq 2$. Let $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be the coarse space of \mathcal{P}^1 on X and C_Z its proper transform on a minimal resolution $\xi : Z \to X$ of X at p and q. We first check that C_Z is not a -1 curve. Indeed, Xhas Gorenstein singularities so $K_X.C$ is an integer. Moreover, $C^2 < 0$ as it is contracted by $X \to Y$. By inversion of adjunction for log-canonical surface singularities, $(K_X + C)|_C = \omega_C(\text{Diff}_C)$ where Diff_C is the different (see [Kol13, Definition 2.34] or [BI21, Section 2.2] with $\Delta = 0$). Then from [BI21, Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.11 (1)], since p and q are quotients by μ_{km} and μ_{nk} ,

$$(K_X + C).C = \deg(\omega_C(\text{Diff})) = -2 + 1 - \frac{1}{km} + 1 - \frac{1}{kn} \ge -2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = -1.$$

As $C^2 < 0$, we have $K_X.C > -1$. But the singluarities of X are Du Val, so $\xi^*K_X = K_Z$, so $K_Z.C_Z > -1$: the curve C_Z is not a -1-curve. Then from the minimality of the resolution ξ , there are no -1 curves contracted by $Z \to Y$, so $Z \to Y$ is a minimal resolution which contracts km + kn - 1 rational curves. As Y has $A_{n'}$ -singularities, Y has an $A_{k(m+n)-1}$ -singularity at the image of p and q; we denote it by z.

This singularity is the μ_k -quotient of an A_{m+n-1} -singularity, so let \mathcal{Y} be the stacky surface having this singularity, with a μ_k stabilizer at the singular point, and with a map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Consider $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ a minimal resolution of singularities around z. This is obtained by blowing up the closed singular points $\lfloor \frac{m+n}{2} \rfloor$ times (see Observation 5.3). Then there is a map $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}$. Indeed, we can similarly resolve the singularities on \mathcal{X} by blowing up the singular points, and it is easy to see (for example using [GS17]) that such a resolution is isomorphic to \mathcal{Y}' . Therefore we have two birational, proper and representable maps $a: \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $b: \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}$. Now, consider $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ the covering stack of \mathcal{X} around \mathcal{P}^1 . As $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism away from \mathcal{P}^1 , and $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{P}^1 \cong \mathcal{Y} \setminus \{z\}$ by construction, there is a dense open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X}' that admits a morphism $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$. We wish to extend this morphism to \mathcal{X}' using [DH18, Lemma 7.4]. So let Spec(R) $\to \mathcal{X}'$ be a morphism from a DVR, that sends the generic point on \mathcal{U} (i.e. away from the preimage of \mathcal{P}^1 in \mathcal{X}'). As $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is proper and representable, and an isomorphism over \mathcal{U} , we can lift *uniquely* the map $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{X}'$ to a map $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{Y}'$. In turn, by composing it with $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$, we have a (unique) map $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{Y}$. Therefore from [DH18, Lemma 7.4] we have a morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}$. Consider now $\mathcal{X}^r \to \mathcal{Y}$ its relative coarse moduli space. The stabilizer points $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}'}(p)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}'}(q)$ are isomorphic to μ_{km} and μ_{kn} , so $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}'}(p)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}^r}(q)$ are quotients of μ_k : the composition $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}^r$ factors via $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}^r$, so there is a map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}^r$ and in turn a map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$. It is easy to check (for example, using [DH18, Lemma 7.2]) that the compositions $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ are isomorphic. As $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ is surjective on automorphism groups, also $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is surjective on automorphism groups. Then it is also injective in automorphism groups as both have automorphisms groups isomorphic to μ_k along the points p, q and z, and elsewhere they are isomorphic. So $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is representable, as desired. The statement about automorphisms groups now follows since an injective map $\mu_k \to \mu_k$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 5.5. With the assumptions and notations of Proposition 5.4, assume also that there is an algebraic stack \mathcal{M} and a representable map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{M}$. Assume that there is a factorization $\phi|_{\mathcal{P}^1} : \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}\mu_k \to \mathcal{M}$ for a certain k. Then there is a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{Y} with coarse moduli space Y and with a representable map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ which factors $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{M}$. The map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ is unique.

Proof. Let \mathcal{Y} be the surface constructed at the end of Proposition 5.4. We check that there is a map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ which factors $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{M}$. Since the resulting map will be unique, from étale descent and using [AHR20, Theorem 13.10], we can assume that $\mathcal{M} = [\operatorname{Spec}(A)/\operatorname{GL}_n]$. Consider now $\pi : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ the canonical

covering stack, and let $y \in \mathcal{Y}'$ be the locus where $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is not an isomorphism. From Proposition 4.1, we can extend uniquely the map $\mathcal{Y}' \setminus \{y\} \to \mathcal{M}$ to a map $\psi : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{M}$. We aim now at showing that \mathcal{Y} is the relative coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{M}$. It suffices to check that the kernel of the maps $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(y) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(y))$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(y) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{M}}(\psi(y))$ agree. But this follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

Therefore the relative coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{M}$ is \mathcal{Y} . To see that ϕ agrees with $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ it suffices to check that the compositions $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B} \operatorname{GL}_n$ and $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B} \operatorname{GL}_n$ agree. But those are two vector bundles which, by assumption, agree on all points of codimension 1, so they agree.

Combining Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, one obtains the following:

Theorem 5.6. Assume that $\mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a family of twisted curves over the spectrum of a DVR with closed point p. Assume that \mathcal{M} is an algebraic stack with a representable map $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p$ be an irreducible component of \mathcal{C}_p , with coarse space isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Assume that there are two (possibly stacky) nodes of \mathcal{C}_p on \mathcal{P}^1 , and assume that the restriction $\phi|_{\mathcal{P}^1} : \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{M}$ factors via a map $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}\mu_m \to \mathcal{M}$ for a certain m.

Then one can contract \mathcal{P}^1 . In other terms, there is a family of twisted curves $\mathcal{Y} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, with two morphisms $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that the composition $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to ϕ and such that the morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ on coarse spaces is an isomorphism away from \mathcal{P}^1 and it contracts \mathcal{P}^1 .

Proof. Consider the map $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$. As it is representable, there is a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ -cover $C \to \mathcal{P}^1$ which is a scheme. Up to possibly replacing k, we can assume that C is connected. Then the composition $C \to \mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is ramified at at most two points (the nodes on \mathcal{P}^1) and from Lemma 2.4, $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ and the map is $[a, b] \mapsto [a^k, b^k]$. Then \mathcal{P}^1 has two points with $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ -stabilizers. Then the results of Propositions 5.4 and 5.5 apply, which give a surface \mathcal{Y} with a map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ as desired. One can check from the construction of \mathcal{Y} in Proposition 5.4 that $\mathcal{Y} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a family of twisted curves, as desired. \Box

6. An example: the case $\mathcal{M} = [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$.

In this section we study a particular example: the case where the target stack is $\Theta := [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$. Recall that a morphism $X \to \Theta$ from a scheme X is equivalent to the data of a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X, and a section $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}$. We give three examples of degenerations of maps to Θ . In each one of these examples, we will have a DVR R with generic point $\eta = \operatorname{Spec}(K(R))$ and closed point 0. We will start from a curve C_η over η , with a map $f_\eta : C_\eta \to \Theta$ and we will try to extend it to $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

6.1. Example 1: smooth generic fiber. Assume that we have a smooth genus 2 curve C_{η} over η , the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, with a marked K(R)-point p. This corresponds to a section $\mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}}(p)$, so to a morphism $C_{\eta} \to \Theta$. We want to extend this curve over $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

As the good moduli space of Θ is the point, we first extend the stable map $C_{\eta} \to \operatorname{Spec}(K(R))$ to $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ (which will also be the Kontsevich limit of the map $C_{\eta} \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$). Let C_R be such extension, and assume that the special fiber $C_0 := (C_R)_0$ is nodal. We aim at extending the map $f_{\eta} : C_{\eta} \to \Theta$ to a stacky modification of C_R . The first step of Theorem 5.1 is to extend the morphism f_{η} to the generic points of C_0 .

In the proof of Theorem 5.1 we use Theorem 3.9, however in this case it is easier. We can take a proper closed subscheme $D_{\eta} \subseteq C_{\eta}$ containing p such that on its complement the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(p)$ is trivial. Let D

be the closure of D_{η} . The subscheme D will not contain the generic points of C_0 , and the map $\mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}}(p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}} \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} \mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}}$ on the complement of D_{η} , so it extends to the complement of D. Therefore, at the end of this step we have an extension of the map f_{η} to a map defined the generic points of C_0 , that then spreads out to an extension of the map defined over $\mathcal{U} := C_R \setminus \{p_1, ..., p_n\}$ for a finite set of closed points p_i .

Observe that there are multiple extensions one can pick. Indeed, for example, if Γ is an irreducible component of C_0 which is Cartier in C_R , we can compose any map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}(n\Gamma)$ for every n > 0. It is not hard to check, however, that this is the only freedom we have. Namely, once we fix C_R , two extensions of f_η that are isomorphic in codimension one are isomorphic. And among all these extensions we have a "minimal" one: the one whose section does not vanish along any of the generic points of the fibers. We will choose this limit in the remaining part of this example.

We now have to extend the morphism $\mathcal{U} \to \Theta$ to C_R , up to replacing some of the nodes with twisted nodes. For this step, it is useful to compare our limit with the corresponding limit in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$. Indeed, there are two cases. We denote by (C^{DM}, p^{DM}) the limit of (C_{η}, p) in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$. If C^{DM} is stable, then $C_0 = C^{DM}$ and the line bundle with section is the Cartier divisor given by p^{DM} . If however C^{DM} is not stable, since instead (C^{DM}, p^{DM}) is stable, the marked point is on a destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 . In this case, the curve C_0 (which is obtained by contracting the destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 to a point) will have a twisted node n. Indeed, there is no principal sheaf of ideals I on k[[x, y]]/xy such that $k[[x, y]]/(xy, I) \cong k$. So on an étale chart around n, we will have a multisection with the action of μ_n acting on the fibers of the map to Spec(R). Moreover, the degeneration of $\mathcal{O}_{C_{\eta}}(p)$ and its section will be a line bundle \mathcal{L} on C_0 with a section vanishing only at the twisted node.



Figure: The zero locus (in red) of $s \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$ on an étale chart around a node with a μ_2 -stabilizer. In this picture, the singularity is $\operatorname{Spec}(k[x, y]]^{\mu_2}$ with $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$ and $V(s) = \{x - y = 0\}$.

6.2. Example 2: nodal but stable generic fiber. Assume now that the generic fiber is as the special fiber of Section 6.1. Namely, assume that we have a twisted curve C whose coarse moduli space is a stable curve (i.e. it has no destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1). Assume also that we have a line bundle \mathcal{L} with a section that vanishes only along a stacky node n, and assume that $C \setminus \{n\}$ is connected. Let k be the the order of the automorphism group of n.

Let C' the curve obtained by separating the node n. Namely, C' is a partial normalization of C which is isomorphic to C away from n and isomorphic to its normalization in a neighbourhood of n. Let also $i_1: n_1 \to C'$ and $i_2: n_2 \to C'$ be the inclusions of the nodal points in C', i.e. the points lying over the node n of C. Then C is isomorphic to the push-out $C' \cup_n (n_1 \cup n_2)$. This implies that the data of a map $C \to \Theta$ is equivalent to the data of a map $f': C' \to \Theta$, and an isomorphism $f' \circ i_1 \to f' \circ i_2$.

Consider then the product family $f': C' \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$, let $\eta = \operatorname{Spec}(k(t))$ and assume that we have a morphism $C_\eta \to \Theta$ given by a morphism $C'_\eta \to \Theta$ and the isomorphism $(f' \circ i_1)_\eta \to (f' \circ i_2)_\eta$ given by $t^m \in \mathbb{G}_m(k(t))$ for m > 0. This data is equivalent to the data of a line bundle L_η on C'_η whose fiber $L_{\eta,n_1} \simeq k(t)$ over n_1 is glued to the fiber $L_{\eta,n_2} \simeq k(t)$ over n_2 via the isomorphism $k(t) \stackrel{\cdot t^m}{\to} k(t)$. At this step we have to choose a d such that the graded ring k[t] (graded by the \mathbb{G}_{m} -action) is generated in degree at most d. Assume also that the stabilizer at p has order k. Then, following the procedure of Theorem 5.1, we need to perform a (m,d)-blow up on one of the branches of the node. The resulting exceptional component will have an exceptional (stacky) \mathbb{P}^1 with two stacky points p_1 and p_2 : the point p_1 has automorphism group μ_k . The action is faithful, and assume that μ_k acts on a generator y of the stalk at p_1 by $\xi * y = \xi^{-1}y$. Whereas p_2 (which smooths along this degeneration) has automorphism group $\mu_{k'd}$ where $k' = \frac{k}{mcd(k,d-1)}$. The zero of the section is still along the twisted node, and along the \mathcal{P}^1 . The degree of our line bundle along \mathcal{P}^1 is $\frac{1}{dk}$. It can be understood as the line bundle of the divisor $\frac{1}{dk}[0] - \frac{1}{k}[0] + \frac{1}{k}[\infty]$ on a root-stack of \mathbb{P}^1 .



Figure: quotient of the (m, d)-blow up. In red the zero locus of the section.

6.3. Example 3: nodal unstable generic fiber. For this last example, we assume that the generic fiber is as the special fiber of Section 6.2.

Namely, assume that we have a twisted curve C whose coarse moduli space has a single destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 . Let \mathcal{P}^1 be the stacky- \mathbb{P}^1 on C that is destabilizing, with n_1 and n_2 the two nodes with automorphisms groups $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k'd}$ respectively, where $k' = \frac{k}{mcd(k,d-1)}$. Assume that we have a line bundle \mathcal{L} with a section that vanishes only along a stacky node $n_1 \in \mathcal{P}^1$, and along \mathcal{P}^1 . Assume that the restriction of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{P}^1 is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}(\frac{1}{dk}[0] - \frac{1}{k}[0] + \frac{1}{k}[\infty])$, and that $C \smallsetminus \{n_1\}$ is connected. Let $C' \cup \mathcal{P}^1$ be the curve obtained by separating the nodes n_1 and n_2 . Namely, $C' \cup \mathcal{P}^1$ is a partial normalization of C which is isomorphic to C away from n_1 and n_2 , and isomorphic to its normalization in a neighbourhood of n_1 and n_2 . Let also $i_1 : n_1 \to C'$, $i_2 : n_2 \to C'$ and $j_1 : n_1 \to \mathcal{P}^1$, $j_2 : n_2 \to \mathcal{P}^1$ be the inclusions of the nodal points in C' and \mathcal{P}^1 . The following picture helps keeping track of the notations



Figure: the normalization of C'.

As before C is a push-out of a diagram, that is $C = (C' \sqcup \mathcal{P}^1) \cup_{(n_1 \cup n_1) \cup (n_2 \cup n_2)} (n_1 \cup n_2)$. Therefore the data of a map $C \to \Theta$ is equivalent to two maps $f' : C' \to \Theta$ and $g' : \mathcal{P}^1 \to \Theta$, and two isomorphisms $f' \circ i_1 \to f' \circ i_2$ and $g' \circ j_1 \to g' \circ j_2$.

Consider then the product family $f': (C' \cup \mathcal{P}^1) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$, let $\eta = \operatorname{Spec}(k(t))$ and assume that we have a morphism $C_\eta \to \Theta$ given by two morphisms $f': C_\eta \to \Theta$ and $g': \mathcal{P}^1_\eta \to \Theta$, and two isomorphism $(f' \circ i_1)_\eta \to (f' \circ i_2)_\eta$ and $(g' \circ j_1)_\eta \to (g' \circ j_2)_\eta$ given by t^{m_1} and $t^{m_2} \in \mathbb{G}_m(k(t))$.

Now, the limit we will obtain will be easier than the one in Section 6.2:

Claim. We can assume that $m_1 \ge 0$ and $m_2 = 0$.

Assuming the claim, we can perform an (m_2, d) -blow up along n_2 , so the degeneration of \mathcal{P}^1 along Spec(R) will have two irreducible components: one is the exceptional divisor \mathcal{E} of the (m_2, d) -blow-up, and another component \mathcal{D} . One can check (for example, using that the degree of \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{E} is $\frac{1}{kd}$ and Proposition 2.7) that we can use Theorem 5.6 to contract \mathcal{D} . So the limit will have a single destabilizing \mathbb{P}^1 .



Figure: Contraction of Theorem 5.6.

Proof of the Claim. The map $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \Theta$ corresponds to the line bundle $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}(\frac{1}{dk}[0] - \frac{1}{k}[0] + \frac{1}{k}[\infty])$ on \mathcal{P}^1 with the 0 section. We show that there is an automorphism of $(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{G})$ that acts via t^{-m_2} on the fiber of \mathcal{G} at n_2 and by $\delta \gg 0$ on the fiber of \mathcal{G} at n_1 .

First, we can act on $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(k(t))$ on the fibers of the line bundle. This will allow us to assume $m_2 = 0$: if we multiply each fiber by π^{m_2} then the gluing map becomes 1. To introduce the next automorphism, we first present its analogue on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ (i.e. in the case where there is no stacky structure).

We can construct the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ as the complement of the locus (a, b) = (0, 0) in $[\mathbb{A}^3/\mathbb{G}_m]$, where the action of \mathbb{G}_m is with weights (1, 1, 1). Our automorphism will send $(a, b, v) \mapsto (t^{\delta}a, b, t^{\delta}v)$. This restricts to \mathbb{P}^1 (the section v = 0) to be the multiplication by t^{δ} on one chart of \mathbb{P}^1 , and by its inverse on the other chart. When a = 0, the action on the fibers of the line bundle is the multiplication by t^{δ} , and when b = 0 it is the multiplication by 1 (as $(t^{\delta}a, 0, t^{\delta}c)$ is in the same \mathbb{G}_m -orbit as (a, 0, v)). We will use an analogue of this action on \mathcal{P}^1 to multiply the fibers of the line bundle by t^{δ} for $\delta \gg 0$ on n_1 , and by 1 on n_2 .

Recall that \mathcal{P}^1 is constructed as follows. First, we performed an (m, d)-blow up. The exceptional \mathcal{D}' is the d-th root stack of \mathbb{P}^1 at [0]. Then we consider the μ_k -action on \mathcal{D}' by scaling, and we extend it to an action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}([0]\frac{1}{d})$. The quotient is the root stack of \mathbb{P}^1 at $[\infty]$ with root k, and at [0] with root dk. The descended line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}([0]\frac{1}{kd})$ (it is the quotient of the line bundle \mathcal{I} of Lemma 4.2, restricted to the exceptional). We denote this root stack by \mathcal{D} , and we tensor the descended line bundle by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(-\frac{1}{k}[0]+\frac{1}{k}[\infty])$ (this is the line bundle that does not extend in Lemma 4.2, restricted to the exceptional). Our final curve \mathcal{P}^1 is the relative coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{P}^1 \to \mathcal{B}\mathbb{G}_m$, where the map is given by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(\frac{1}{dk}[0]-\frac{1}{k}[0]+\frac{1}{k}[\infty])$. We

will construct an automorphism of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(\frac{1}{dk}[0])$ which, when tensored by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(-\frac{1}{k}[0] + \frac{1}{k}[\infty])$, will descend to the desired automorphism on the corresponding line bundle on \mathcal{P}^1 .

We can construct our automorphism étale locally. Namely, we construct a μ_k -invariant automorphism on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}([0]\frac{1}{d})$. The total space of this line bundle is still a quotient of $\mathbb{A}^3_{a,b,v} \setminus \{(a,b) = (0,0)\}$ by \mathbb{G}_m , where the action has weights (d, 1, 1). As before, we choose an automorphism of the form

$$(a, b, v) \mapsto (t^{dk\delta}a, b, t^{k\delta}v) \text{ for } \delta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The action on the fiber b = 0 is trivial, and on the fiber b = 0 is by the multiplication by $t^{k\delta}$. A generator ξ of the group μ_k sends

$$\xi * (a, b, v) \mapsto (\xi a, b, \xi * v)$$

so the automorphism above commutes with this action (as $dk\delta$ is a multiple of k).

References

- [ACV03] Dan Abramovich, Alessio Corti, and Angelo Vistoli, *Twisted bundles and admissible covers*, 2003, pp. 3547–3618. Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman.
- [AHLH18] Jarod Alper, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, and Jochen Heinloth, Existence of moduli spaces for algebraic stacks, 2018. available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.01128.
- [AHR20] Jarod Alper, Jack Hall, and David Rydh, A luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks, Annals of Mathematics 191 (2020), no. 3, 675–738.
- [Alp13] Jarod Alper, Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 63 (2013), no. 6, 2349–2402.
- [AOV11] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli, Twisted stable maps to tame Artin stacks, J. Algebraic Geom. 20 (2011), no. 3, 399–477.
- [Art62] Michael Artin, Some numerical criteria for contractability of curves on algebraic surfaces, American Journal of Mathematics 84 (1962), no. 3, 485–496.
- [AV02] Dan Abramovich and Angelo Vistoli, Compactifying the space of stable maps, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 15 (2002), no. 1, 27–75.
- [BI21] Dori Bejleri and Giovanni Inchiostro, Stable pairs with a twist and gluing morphisms for moduli of surfaces, Selecta Mathematica 27 (2021), no. 3, 1–44.
- [Cap94] Lucia Caporaso, A compactification of the universal Picard variety over the moduli space of stable curves, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), no. 3, 589–660.
- [DH18] Anand Deopurkar and Changho Han, Stable log surfaces, admissible covers, and canonical curves of genus 4, arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.08413 (2018).
- [DM69] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 36 (1969), 75–109.
- [FP97] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande, Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 1997, pp. 45–96.
- [FTT16] Edward Frenkel, Constantin Teleman, and A. J. Tolland, Gromov-Witten gauge theory, Adv. Math. 288 (2016), 201–239.
- [GS17] Anton Geraschenko and Matthew Satriano, A "bottom up" characterization of smooth deligne-mumford stacks, International Mathematics Research Notices 2017 (2017), no. 21, 6469–6483.
- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne, Stable reflexive sheaves, Mathematische Annalen 254 (1980), no. 2, 121–176.
- [HL15] Daniel Halpern-Leistner, Θ-stratifications, Θ-reductive stacks, and applications, Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City (2015), 349–379.
- [Kau10] Ivan Kausz, Stable maps into the classifying space of the general linear group, Teichmüller theory and moduli problem, 2010, pp. 437–449.
- [Kol13] János Kollár, Singularities of the minimal model program, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Kol22] János Kollár, Families of varieties of general type, Available on his webpage (2022).
- [Kon95] Maxim Kontsevich, Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), 1995, pp. 335–368.
- [Liu02] Qing Liu, Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications. MR1917232
- [LMB18] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly, Champs algébriques, Vol. 39, Springer, 2018.

- [MT16] Johan Martens and Michael Thaddeus, Compactifications of reductive groups as moduli stacks of bundles, Compos. Math. 152 (2016), no. 1, 62–98.
- [Pan96] Rahul Pandharipande, A compactification over of the universal moduli space of slope-semistable vector bundles, Journal of the American Mathematical Society (1996), 425–471.
- [Ryd11] David Rydh, Étale dévissage, descent and pushouts of stacks, Journal of Algebra 331 (2011), no. 1, 194–223.
- [Ser97] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author. MR1466966

(Andrea Di Lorenzo) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, ITALIA *Email address*: andrea.dilorenzo@unipi.it

(G. Inchiostro) UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA *Email address:* ginchios@uw.edu