

Math 425 Assignment 7

due Wednesday, March 3

Concerning the derivatives in problems 2–3, see the remarks on implicit differentiation on the next page. Concerning problems 4–6, see the remarks on curves and surfaces there.

- Investigate the possibility of solving the equation $x^2 - 4x + 2y^2 - yz = 1$ for each of its variables as differentiable functions of the other two near the point $(2, -1, 3)$. Do this both by checking the hypotheses of the implicit function theorem and by explicitly computing the solutions.
- Let $f(x, y, z) = xe^{-z} + 5z + 2y$.
 - Show that there is a differentiable function g defined in some neighborhood of $(2, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $g(2, -1) = 0$ and $f(x, y, g(x, y)) = 0$.
 - Compute $D_1g(2, -1)$ and $D_2g(2, -1)$, and use the result to give an approximate value of $g(2.03, -1.06)$.
- Consider the pair of equations $xy + 2yz - 3xz = 0$, $xyz + x - y = 1$.
 - Show that these equations can (in principle) be solved for y and z as functions of x near $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 1)$, and compute dy/dx and dz/dx as functions of x , y , and z .
 - Can these equations be solved for x and y as functions of z near $(1, 1, 1)$? How about x and z as functions of y ?
- Let $f(x, y) = (y^3 - x^2)(y - 1)$, and let $S = \{(x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\}$.
 - Draw a sketch of S . (Hint: Keep in mind that a product is zero precisely when at least one of its factors is zero.)
 - Find the critical points of f (points where $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$). There are four of them, three of which lie in the set S . You should find that they are located precisely where the sketch in (a) shows some peculiar behavior of the set S . (The approximate location of the fourth one is also predictable from the sketch; do you see why?)
 - You'll find that D_2f is nonzero at $(2, 1)$ and $(2, 4^{1/3})$ (both of which lie in S), so the implicit function theorem says that the equation $f = 0$ determines y as a function of x near each of these points. What are these functions? (Don't work too hard; use your sketch.)
- Let $\mathbf{f}(u, v) = (au \cos v, au \sin v, u)$, where a and b are positive numbers. Think of \mathbf{f} as a parametric representation of the surface $S = \{\mathbf{f}(u, v) : (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
 - Using the identity $\sin^2 + \cos^2 = 1$, find a smooth function $g(x, y, z)$ so that $S = \{(x, y, z) : g(x, y, z) = 0\}$.
 - Draw a sketch of the surface S . (It may be helpful to consider the curves obtained by intersecting S with the horizontal planes $z = \text{constant}$.) You should find that S is a smooth surface everywhere except at the origin $(0, 0, 0)$.
 - The singularity of S at the origin should be reflected in the behavior of $D\mathbf{f}(0, v)$ (since $\mathbf{f}(0, v) = (0, 0, 0)$) and of $\nabla g(0, 0, 0)$. Verify this; precisely what happens?

6. Curves in \mathbb{R}^3 are usually best represented parametrically, that is, as the range of a map $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. As in the case of plane curves, the condition that guarantees that such a map yields a smooth curve (locally) is that \mathbf{f} be of class C^1 and that $\mathbf{f}'(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$. However, another way of producing curves is as the intersection of two surfaces. Let S_1 and S_2 be the smooth surfaces defined by the equations $g_1(x, y, z) = 0$ and $g_2(x, y, z) = 0$, where we assume that $\nabla g_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ on S_j ($j = 1, 2$). Then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is the set where $g_1(x, y, z) = g_2(x, y, z) = 0$. Show that if ∇g_1 and ∇g_2 are linearly independent — or, equivalently, that $\nabla g_1 \times \nabla g_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ — at every point of $S_1 \cap S_2$, then the equations $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ can be solved (at least locally) to yield two of the variables x , y , and z as C^1 functions of the third, and hence a parametrization of (at least a small piece of) $S_1 \cap S_2$ using that third variable as the parameter. (Remarks: (1) Which one of x , y , and z ends up as the independent variable may depend on the nature of ∇g_1 and ∇g_2 . (2) Geometrically, the condition that ∇g_1 and ∇g_2 be linearly independent on $S_1 \cap S_2$ means that S_1 and S_2 are nowhere tangent to each other.)

Implicit Differentiation. In freshman calculus you learn that if two variables x and y are related by an equation $f(x, y) = 0$, you can find dy/dx in terms of x and y by differentiating this equation with respect to x , assuming y to be a function of x and using the chain rule, to get $(D_1f) + (D_2f)(dy/dx) = 0$, then solving this for dy/dx . Similarly, suppose $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the conditions of the implicit function theorem so that the equation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$ can be solved (locally) to yield $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{t})$. One can differentiate the equation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{t}), \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$ with respect to \mathbf{t} to get $\mathbf{D}_x\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})\mathbf{Dg}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{D}_t\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$ (where $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{t})$), and then solve this system of (linear!) equations to find $\mathbf{Dg}(\mathbf{t})$ in terms of \mathbf{t} and \mathbf{x} . Explicitly, $\mathbf{Dg}(\mathbf{t}) = -[\mathbf{D}_x\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})]^{-1}\mathbf{D}_t\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$, but in specific examples it is usually easier to solve the linear system directly rather than computing the inverse matrix $[\mathbf{D}_x\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})]^{-1}$.

Curves and Surfaces (sketch of results to be discussed in class). There are 3 common ways of representing a curve C in the plane: (i) as a graph $y = f(x)$ or $x = g(y)$; (ii) as the set of (x, y) satisfying an equation $F(x, y) = 0$; (iii) as the range of a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that (i) is a special case of (ii) (with $F(x, y) = y - f(x)$ or $x - g(y)$) and (iii) (with $\mathbf{f}(t) = (t, f(t))$ or $(g(t), t)$). Conversely, if F is of class C^1 and $\nabla F \neq \mathbf{0}$ on C , the implicit function theorem implies that the equation $F(x, y) = 0$ can be solved (locally) for y as a function of x or vice versa, so (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Also, if \mathbf{f} is of class C^1 and $\mathbf{f}'(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$, the inverse function theorem implies that one of the equations $x = f_1(t)$, $y = f_2(t)$ can be solved (locally) for t , which can then be substituted into the other to yield one of x and y as a function of the other, so (iii) \Rightarrow (i) too. Analogous results hold for curves in \mathbb{R}^3 ; see Problem 6.

Similarly, a surface S in \mathbb{R}^3 can be represented (i) as a graph $z = f(x, y)$ (maybe with variables switched), (ii) as the set where $F(x, y, z) = 0$, or (iii) as the range of a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Again (i) is a special case of (ii) and (iii); the implicit function theorem implies that if F is of class C^1 and $\nabla F \neq \mathbf{0}$ on S , then the equation $F = 0$ can be solved (locally) to yield an equation $z = f(x, y)$ (maybe with variables switched); and the inverse mapping theorem implies that if \mathbf{f} is of class C^1 and $\mathbf{Df}(s, t)$ has rank 2 (i.e., if its columns $D_1\mathbf{f}$ and $D_2\mathbf{f}$ are linearly independent), the parameters (s, t) can be eliminated (locally) to yield an equation $z = f(x, y)$ (maybe with variables switched).