Below are some extra examples for Math 300. These examples are to augment the lectures and help you do some of the assigned homework problems.

1. To show  $(P \wedge R) \vee (\neg R \wedge (P \vee Q))$  is equivalent to  $P \vee (\neg R \wedge Q)$ :

$$(P \land R) \lor (\neg R \land (P \lor Q))$$
 is equivalent to 
$$(P \land R) \lor (\neg R \land P) \lor (\neg R \land Q) \quad \text{(distributive and associative laws)}$$
 is equivalent to 
$$((P \land R) \lor \neg R) \land ((P \land R) \lor P)) \lor (\neg R \land Q) \quad \text{(distributive law)}$$
 is equivalent to 
$$(((P \land R) \lor \neg R) \land P) \lor (\neg R \land Q) \quad \text{(absorbtion)}$$
 is equivalent to 
$$((\neg R \lor P) \land (\neg R \lor R) \land P) \lor (\neg R \land Q) \quad \text{(distributive law)}$$
 is equivalent to 
$$P \lor (\neg R \land Q) \quad \text{(absorbtion and tautology)}$$

2. Enumeration of all logical connective possibilities.

	P Q	P Q	P Q	P Q
	F F	F T	T F	ТТ
contradiction	F	F	F	F
$P \wedge Q$	F	F	F	T
$P \wedge \neg Q$	F	F	T	F
P	F	F	T	T
$\neg P \land Q$	F	T	F	F
Q	F	T	F	T
$P \oplus Q$	F	T	T	F
$P \lor Q$	F	T	T	T
$\neg (P \lor Q)$	T	F	F	F
$P \leftrightarrow Q, \neg (P \oplus Q)$ $\neg Q$ $P \lor \neg Q$ $\neg P$	T	F	F	T
$\neg Q$	T	F	T	F
$P \vee \neg Q$	T	F	T	T
$\neg P$	T	T	F	F
$P  o Q$ , $\neg P \lor Q$	T	T	F	T
$\neg (P \land Q)$	T	T	T	F
tautology	T	T	T	T

3. Suppose we wish to show that  $\exists x (P(x) \to Q(x))$  is equivalent to  $\forall x P(x) \to \exists x Q(x)$ . By the conditional laws (given on page 47 of Velleman),

$$\exists x (P(x) \to Q(x))$$

is equivalent to

$$\exists x (\neg P(x) \lor Q(x)). \tag{1}$$

Now, any statement  $\exists x (R(x) \lor S(x))$  means that there exists an x such that R(x) or S(x) is true. That is equivalent to saying that there exists an x such that R(x) is true, or there exists an x such that S(x) is true. That is,

$$\exists x (R(x) \lor S(x))$$
 is equivalent to  $\exists x R(x) \lor \exists x S(x)$ 

Thus, expression (1) is equivalent to

$$\exists x \neg P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$$

and this is equivalent, by our quantifier negation laws, to

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\forall x P(x) \to \exists x Q(x)$$

by our conditional laws.

Along these same lines, note that

$$\forall x (R(x) \land S(x))$$

says that for all x, R(x) and S(x) are true. This is equivalent to saying that for all x R(x) is true and for all x S(x) is true (the latter just takes longer to say!). Hence,  $\forall x (R(x) \land S(x))$  is equivalent to

$$\forall x R(x) \land \forall x S(x).$$

4. An example of a uniqueness argument.

Theorem: Let S be a set. For every  $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ , there is a unique  $B \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  such that for every  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ ,  $C \setminus A = C \cap B$ .

*Proof.* Let S be a set. Let  $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Let  $B = S \setminus A$ . Then, let  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ .

Suppose  $x \in C \setminus A$ . So,  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin A$ , so  $x \in B$ .

Hence,  $x \in B \cap C$ . Thus,  $C \setminus A \subseteq C \cap B$ .

Suppose  $x \in C \cap B$ . Then  $x \in C$  and  $x \in B$ , so  $x \notin A$ .

Hence,  $x \in C \setminus A$ . Thus,  $C \cap B \subseteq C \setminus A$ .

Thus,  $C \cap B = C \setminus A$ .

Thus there exists a set with the required property, namely  $B = S \setminus A$ .

To show uniqueness, suppose a set D in  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  also has the required property.

Then for all  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ ,  $C \cap D = C \setminus A$ .

Since for all  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S), C \cap B = C \setminus A$ , we have that for all  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ ,

$$C \cap B = C \cap D$$
.

In particular, if we let C=B, we have  $B \cap B = B \cap D$ , i.e.,  $B = B \cap D$ . This shows that  $B \subseteq D$ , since if  $x \in B$ , x is also in D.

On the other hand, if we let C=D, we have  $D \cap D = D \cap B$ , i.e.,  $D = D \cap B$ . This shows that  $D \subseteq B$ , since if  $x \in D$ , x is also in B.

Hence, D = B. And so the choice of B is unique.

## 5. A surprising bijection.

Let's consider the intervals of real numbers A = (0,1] and B = (0,1). Since both A and B contain an infinite number of elements, and B is simply A with one element (1) removed, it would be surprising if A and B were not equinumerous. However, if we try to find a bijection from one set to the other using combinations of our standard functions (e.g. trig functions, rational functions, etc.), we find that a bijection eludes us.

It turns out that it is possible, but it requires a surprising bit of cleverness. First, consider this function from *A* to *B*:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ x & \text{if } x \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that this *almost* works. It maps the set (0,1] onto the set (0,1), but it is not one-to-one: f(1) = f(1/2).

To fix this, we might try sending 1/2 to something else:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1/3 & \text{if } x = 1/2, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ x & \text{if } x \neq 1 \text{ and } x \neq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Again, g maps the set (0,1] onto (0,1) and now  $f(1) \neq f(1/2)$ , but now f(1/2) = f(1/3) so it is again not one-to-one.

One more try: let's send 1/3 to something else:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } x = 1/3, \\ 1/3 & \text{if } x = 1/2, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ x & \text{if } x \neq 1, x \neq 1/2, \text{ and } x \neq 1/3. \end{cases}$$

This function maps the set (0,1] onto (0,1) and  $h(1) \neq h(1/2)$  and  $h(1/2) \neq h(1/3)$ , but now h(1/3) = h(1/4), so h is not one-to-one.

Although this doesn't seem to be working, if we *extend this strategy forever*, we get a function that does work.

Let  $k : A \rightarrow B$  be defined like this:

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } x = 1/n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\ x & \text{if } x \neq 1/n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \end{cases}$$

So we have k(1) = 1/2, k(1/2) = 1/3, k(1/3) = 1/4, k(1/4) = 1/5, etc. We are basically pushing our problem down the sequence of 1/n, and since this sequence is infinite, it becomes a non-problem.

It is not too hard to show that our k function is a bijection from A to B, and this shows that A and B are equinumerous.