582: PROBLEM 4.16

SASHA ARAVKIN

Minimum Fuel Optimal Control. We consider a linear dynamical system with state $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, t = 0, ..., N, and actuator or input signal $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, for t = 0, ..., N - 1. The dynamics of the system is given by the linear recurrence

$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + bu(t), t = 0, \dots, N-1$$

where $A\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given. We assume that the initial state is zero, i.e, x(0) = 0.

The minimum fuel optimal control problem is to choose the inputs $u(0), \ldots, u(N-1)$ so as to minimize the total fuel consumed, which is given by

$$F = \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} f(u(t))$$

subject to the constraint that $x(N) = x_{\text{des}}$, where N is the given time horizon, and $x_{\text{des}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the given desired target state. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the fuel use map for the actuator, and gives the amount of fuel as a function of the actuator signal amplitude. In this problem, we use

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} |a| & |a| \le 1\\ 2|a| - 1 & |a| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Formulate the minimum fuel optimal control problem as an LP.

Solution:

We have specified x(0) = 0 and $x(N) = x_{des}$. Combining these conditions with the specified system dynamics, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= bu(0) \\ x(2) &= Abu(0) + bu(1) \\ x(3) &= A^2 bu(0) + Abu(1) + bu(2) \\ &\vdots \\ x(N) &= A^{N-1} bu(0) + A^{N-2} bu(1) + \dots + Abu(N-1) + bu(N-1) = x_{des} \end{aligned}$$

We now define the controllability matrix:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}b & A^{N-2}b & \cdots & Ab & b \end{bmatrix}$$

If we let $u^T = [u(0) \dots u(N-1)]$, we can write our initial and target conditions for the problem as follows:

$$Cu = x_{des}$$

We now turn our attention back to f(a). We can add new variables and inequalities in order to turn f into a linear objective. There are several ways to do this.

First, we may add a single variable t, together with the constraints

$$|a| \le t$$
$$2|a| - 1 \le t$$

To see that this works, note only that for $|a| \leq 1$, we have $|a| \geq 2|a|-1$, while for |a| > 1, $|a| \leq 2|a|-1$. Then for $|a| \leq 1$, the second condition is redundant, while for |a| > 1, the first is redundant, which gives us exactly what we want.

Rewriting above, we have the inequalities

$$-t \le a \le t$$
$$-\frac{t+1}{2} \le a \le \frac{t+1}{2}$$

Following this methodology, we have added one new variable and four new inequality constraints for each u(i).

We can now introduce a vector $t = [t_0, \ldots, t_{N-1}]$, one t_i for each u(i). Our linear program is then

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{cases} \text{minimize} & \underline{1}^T t \\ \text{subject to} & -t \le u \le t \\ & -\frac{t+\underline{1}}{2} \le u \le \frac{t+\underline{1}}{2} \\ & Cu = x_{\text{des}} \end{cases}$$

We form the Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} L(t, u, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Delta, \theta) &= \underline{1}^T t - \alpha^T (u+t) + \beta^T (u-t) - \gamma^T (2u+1+t) \\ &+ \Delta^T (2u-1-t) + \theta^T (Cu - x_{des}) + \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}_+} (\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}) \\ &= (-\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma + 2\Delta + C^T \theta)^T u + (\underline{1} - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - \Delta)^T t \\ &- (\gamma^T \underline{1} + \Delta^T \underline{1} + \theta^T x_{des}) + \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}_+} (\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}) \end{split}$$

Now we find the dual objective function:

$$g(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\Delta,\theta) = \inf_{u,t} L = \inf_{u} (-\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma + 2\Delta + C^{T}\theta)^{T}u + \inf_{t} [\underline{1} - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \Delta)]^{T}t - (\gamma^{T}\underline{1} + \Delta^{T}\underline{1} + \theta^{T}x_{des}) + \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}_{+}}(\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\\\gamma\\\Delta\end{bmatrix})$$

Looking at the t part of the expression, it is clear that we must have $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \Delta \leq \underline{1}$ in order for g to be finite, since $t \geq 0$. With this condition, the infimum over t is zero.

SASHA ARAVKIN

By similar logic, looking at the u part gives us $-\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma + 2\Delta + C^T \theta = 0$, in order to ensure that g is finite. In this case the infimum again is 0. Then under the conditions just derived, we have

$$g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Delta, \theta) = -(\gamma^T \underline{1} + \Delta^T \underline{1} + \theta^T x_{\text{des}}) + \delta_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}_+} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \Delta \end{pmatrix})$$

Then our dual problem is

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{cases} \text{maximize} & -\gamma^T \underline{1} - \Delta^T \underline{1} - \theta^T x_{\text{des}} \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Delta \\ & C^T \theta = \alpha - \beta + 2\gamma - 2\Delta \\ & \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \Delta \le \underline{1} \end{cases}$$